State of South Carolina )
County of Anderson )

# ANDERSON COUNTY COUNCIL COUNTY COUNCIL MEETING JULY 7, 2020

IN ATTENDANCE:
TOMMY DUNN, CHAIRMAN
GRACIE FLOYD
CRAIG WOOTEN
BRETT SANDERS
JIMMY DAVIS
M. CINDY WILSON

ALSO PRESENT: RUSTY BURNS LEON HARMON LACEY CROEGAERT

TOMMY DUNN: At this time I'd like to call the regular Anderson County Council meeting of July 7th to order. I'd like to welcome each and every one of you here tonight. Thank y'all for coming and participating in your local county government.

I'm going to go over a few things. It might be your first council meeting and just want to make sure we all understand one another. Appreciate everybody tonight practicing social distancing. Also, there's two sign-ups. One at the first of the meeting is on agenda items only. You have three minutes. At the last of the council meeting you can talk on other items that's not on the agenda. I hope we treat everybody here with respect. And let's get alone. We might not always agree. At least we can show respect and courtesy and get alone and act like we're somebody.

At the last council meeting it was brought to my attention after the meeting there was some remarks made that was insensitive. If I had heard them, I would have dealt with them then. But I'm going to say right now that council will not tolerate that tonight. You'll be asked to leave.

Also, this is a county council meeting. We're here to do the people's business of Anderson County. It's not a town hall meeting. So don't do cat calls and hollering back. Please give your attention when people are up there speaking and let them talk. When it's your turn they'll show the same courtesy.

I hope we don't have no problems. We're going to get the business done tonight in an orderly fashion. And if not, you'll be dealt with.

Moving on, let the record show that Mr. Graham is out of town and unable to be here tonight.

At this time I'm going to ask Councilman Craig Wooten if he would lead us in the invocation and pledge of allegiance. If we'll all rise, please.

# INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE BY CRAIG WOOTEN

TOMMY DUNN: Moving on now to item number 3, approval of the minutes of the June 18th and 23rd meeting. We haven't received them yet so we can't approve them until we get them.

Be skipping on, next on the agenda is citizens comments. Again, if you signed up -- and there are some public hearings some of y'all might be interested to on this, be coming on down the line. But like I said, the first time the attorney calls your name, it's on agenda items only. State your name and district and address the chair, please. Mr. Attorney.

LEON HARMON: Mr. Chairman, the first speaker is Josh Smith.

1 JOSH SMITH: Thank you, Mr. Dunn. 2 wanted to thank the Honorable Mr. Graham who's not here 3 tonight and our beautiful staff members that we had for 4 Brett Sanders for their part in the Second Amendment, 5 getting that together. This is the third and final 6 reading for that. And I'm looking forward to seeing 7 that passed, as well. It's a good step in the 8 direction of immediate stuff. 9 Second, small homes in the state. 10 Third, people worried about a statue. Hopefully we 11 can worry about a crime rate and drive-bys to solve the 12 problems now and then worry about that later. 13 been here a hundred years. 14 TOMMY DUNN: Thank you. That's not 15

on the agenda. Move on. Next, please. LEON HARMON:

Next speaker is Linda

Davis.

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47

48

49

50

LINDA DAVIS: Good evening. I reside at 54 William Walker Road, Anderson, South Carolina. Been there almost thirty years. And I'm speaking in reference to the rezoning for Centerville Road, 187, Fants Grove Road and William Walker Road.

I'm asking that you hear we, the people. I heard you state earlier, we, the people. We, the people, do not want the rezoning. We're concerned about taxes increase. We're concerned about the traffic and the noise. And so we're asking that you all take into consideration our concerns and that you will not approve the rezoning.

We have elderly people that live on William Walker Road. It's a minority community. It's peaceful and it's quiet and we love it. And if you bring in the businesses, the homes that are so closely together, according to the plans, it's going to create a lot of noise.

And so we're asking that you please take into consideration we, the people, who are voters, who are taxpayers, and who are citizens, and that you say no to the rezoning. Thank you.

TOMMY DUNN: Thank you. Next. LEON HARMON: Mr. Chairman, next

speaker is Irene Wheaton.

IRENE WHEATON: Hello. Good evening.

TOMMY DUNN: Yes, ma'am.

IRENE WHEATON: I wanted to come to say the same exact thing. I live on William Walker Road, as well, a neighbor. And my concerns is really practically the same thing. Where we live at is all seniors. We all been there twenty-five to thirty-five or more years. And the same thing with traffic and us

```
being in that little development where we're at, it
     seems like to me that it would be pushing all the
 3
     seniors out, you know, with us that's been living there
 4
     for all these many years to try to find another place,
 5
     really, to move to go.
 6
         And I made phone calls while I was outside.
 7
     when I made the phone calls on the little development
 8
     that we do have with all the seniors, no one got
 9
     anything in the mail. Nobody got no kind of card.
10
     They got nothing.
11
         And I made some other phone calls on Burns Bridge
12
     Road, which is combined right around the corner. I
13
     made calls to them. They did not get anything in the
14
     mail. So nobody really knew anything about the meeting
15
     or anything. I didn't even come prepared because I
16
     didn't find out till after 5:00 when somebody had
17
     called to, you know, let me know.
18
         And I just feel that that's unfair to us.
19
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                    Thank you.
20
              LEON HARMON:
                                    Next speaker is Anna
21
     Haster.
22
              ANNA FOSTER:
                                     It's Anna Foster. And I
23
     also would like to speak in the public hearing if
24
     possible.
25
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                    Yes, ma'am.
26
              ANNA FOSTER:
                                    Really, I just wanted to
27
     request that y'all deny the rezoning of 187 because of
28
     safety hazards, traffic issues. But I have a lot more
29
     to say on that matter, but I guess I need to wait till
30
     the public hearing.
31
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                    You can do it then or
32
     you can do it now. You've got three minutes.
33
              ANNA FOSTER:
                                    Do it now?
                                                Okay.
34
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                    I mean that's up to you.
35
              ANNA FOSTER:
                                    I don't know if I can
36
     squeeze it in.
37
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                    Just wait till the
38
     public hearing.
                      That'll be fine. Okay?
39
              ANNA FOSTER:
                                    I'm sorry.
40
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                    No problem.
                                                 Yes, ma'am.
41
     Next.
42
              LEON HARMON:
                                    Next speaker is Randy
43
     Jones.
44
              RANDY JONES:
                                    My name is Randy Jones.
45
     I'm from Cindy Wilson's district, District 7. I'm here
46
     to represent the South Carolina Carry, as well as
47
     Belton Gun Club, and to say that we appreciate all the
48
     work that the county has done for the Second Amendment
49
     Sanctuary Ordinance. And look forward to it passing
50
     tonight. Appreciate your help.
```

```
1
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                    Thank you. Mr. Harmon.
 2
              LEON HARMON:
                                    Next speaker is
 3
     Katherine Farrah.
 4
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                    I don't understand.
 5
     checked this out today and we could hear fine. But
 6
     it's Katherine Farrah. Give me just one minutes; okay?
 7
              KATHERINE FARRAH:
                                    All right.
 8
              JIMMY DAVIS:
                                    And if you could get as
 9
     close -- there you go.
10
              KATHERINE FARRAH:
                                    How's that?
11
              JIMMY DAVIS:
                                    You're fine.
12
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                    I'm sorry. Go ahead.
13
              KATHERINE FARRAH:
                                   Thank you all for
14
     hearing me tonight. My name is Katherine Farrah and
15
     I'm here to speak about the rezoning on Highway 187 and
     Fants Grove Road. I've lived there, about a mile and a
16
17
     half from there, since 1996, and I love the peaceful
18
     nature of the area and have had the opportunity to move
19
     away a couple of times but chose to stay because of the
20
     peaceful nature. And I hope that you all will hear us
21
     when we say that we would like to keep the peaceful
22
     nature. If you will please vote to keep the R-20 as it
23
     is.
          Thank you very much.
24
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                    Yes, ma'am.
                                                  Thank you.
25
     Next, Mr. Harmon.
26
              LEON HARMON:
                                    Next speaker is Grayson
27
     Buckner.
28
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                   Mr. Buckner, do you want
29
     to speak? You abstain, okay. Next.
30
              LEON HARMON:
                                    Next speaker is Chris
31
     Heelwagen.
32
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                    Okay.
33
              LEON HARMON:
                                    Next speaker is Jamie
34
     McCutchen.
35
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                    Okay.
36
              LEON HARMON:
                                    Next speaker, Alex
37
     Dmyterko.
38
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                    You go right ahead.
39
     you'll just state your name and where you're from. And
40
     I'm listening.
41
              ALEX DYMTERKO:
                                   Alex Dymterko from
42
     Greenville, South Carolina. I am the petitioner and
43
     developer for the Welpine Road rezoning. We appreciate
44
     the support in our first reading of the five nothing
     vote. I'm here available to answer any questions
45
46
     should any come up during the course of the evening.
47
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                    Thank you. Mr. Harmon.
48
              LEON HARMON:
                                    Next speaker is
49
     Elizabeth Fant.
50
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                    You ain't got to get
```

that close, Ms. Fant. I promise they can hear you. They've got people back yonder. That's what that tape is there for. You ain't got to get that close. Just speak up. They'll hear you. I promise.

ELIZABETH FANT: Elizabeth Fant, District 3. I'm speaking on the Welpine development and also the RV park.

Anderson is such a nice town and we have room to spread out and not be on top of each other. Both of these things I think are a hindrance to the well being and the friendliness of a community. I don't want to see us so stacked on top of each other. In the past year we've had many, many developers wanting to put a whole lot of houses on a small acreage of land. People do not get along that way. So I want you to really reconsider.

And I don't think the RV park, at all, is a good idea.

TOMMY DUNN: Next, Mr. Harmon.
LEON HARMON: Next speaker is James

Allen.

 JAMES ALLEN: Is this one on gun

control?

TOMMY DUNN: You can talk about anything that's -- it's on the agenda so you can talk about it. You've got three minutes and address the chair, please. State your name and district, where you're from.

JAMES ALLEN: James Allen from Anderson, South Carolina. And this is standing up to how I feel about gun control.

I had a lady call me the other night and told me to come by her house first thing in the morning. She rents from me. Her house is shot up. Bullets through the windows to the back. Terrified. She said I was by myself. I generally have my grandchildren here, but I was by myself. Had no way of protecting myself. called the police department. They did come out. Took a few minutes to get here, but said they did come out. But they done drove by. They stopped in front of her house and just starting shooting. No way to protect herself. Like I said, the police did get there. But they had broke her door down -- she said, if they come back and break my door down, what do I do? I said, ma'am, I don't know. I can't tell you what to do. But get some way of protecting yourself. Something to protect yourself. That's all I told her. Protect yourself somehow another.

Our church, Evergreen Street, 1305, go by it. You'll see our front door shot up. Our fellowship we

built, took us a long time to save the money. Bullet holes through it. I've got a concealed weapon. I don't tote it to protect myself as much as I do my grandchildren and my children. Our church. We have somebody at the front door, back door, bottom door watching, along with cameras.

Y'all all know, everybody's heard about what goes on at some churches. They walk in. This is not to I don't carry a gun to show out. I don't show out. I'm not that type of person. want to do that. I do it for one reason from what my daddy taught me a long time ago. You shoot a gun -- two times you shoot a gun. One for food. The second is to protect your family only. And that's the way I feel. And I hope they never, ever consider about taking the only way that we can protect ourselves. Especially people that's not in the city. It may take the law a little bit longer to get there. When we called that time, of course, it had done happened. They had done shot the church and pulled off before we found out about it.

A man was killed right across the street from the church. And it's just an ongoing thing. I wish to Lord God we didn't have to have them. I do. I wish everybody was Christians and everybody got along and loved one another. But until that happens, we need some way of protecting our family.

## **APPLAUSE**

TOMMY DUNN: Mr. Harmon.
LEON HARMON: Mr. Chairman, next

speaker is Rich Bennett.

TOMMY DUNN: Wait till the next time?

Okay. I got you. Next.

LEON HARMON: No one else is signed

up, Mr. Chairman.

TOMMY DUNN: Thank you, Mr. Harmon.

Moving on to item number 5(a), reading of -- third reading of ordinances. First is 5(a), 2020-005, an ordinance authorizing the execution and delivery of a special source revenue credit agreement by and between Anderson County, South Carolina and Tactical Medical Solutions, LLC, with respect to special source revenue credit to be applied against fee in lieu of tax payments related to certain investments in the county.

Mr. Burriss Nelson, would you like to add anything and sort of give a little bit for the people out here that don't know what's going on?

BURRISS NELSON: Yes, sir, Mr. Chairman. This is a company, Tactical Medical, that is expanding their operation and they're going to manufacture -- the reason it's called Robo -- they're going to manufacture

50

```
a medical robot that will be used in training nurses.
     It will actually have a heartbeat, respiration, blood
 3
     pressure, all of those things. And they can imitate
 4
     wound repair, wound care. All kinds of things with
 5
     this. And it's a very expensive piece of equipment.
 6
         This company will be paying an average wage,
 7
     twenty-one new jobs, thirty-seven dollars and seventy-
     three cents an hour. Annual payroll is 1.5 million
 8
     dollars. Property tax in the first year on the new
 9
10
     expansion will be about thirty-two thousand dollars a
11
     year. And the community impact, first year, is 4.1
12
     million dollars. And over a twenty-year period,
13
     nineteen million dollars.
14
         This comes to council as a recommendation from
15
     staff and the advisory board. Thank you, sir.
              CRAIG WOOTEN:
16
                                   Mr. Chairman.
17
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                    Mr. Wooten.
18
              CRAIG WOOTEN:
                                   I abstained from this
19
     vote, I think in second reading. It was a while back.
20
     I worked for this company for seven years while I was
21
     on council, so I thought it would be appropriate to
22
     abstain.
23
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                    Okay. We're going to
24
     have a public hearing. You're welcome to sit in here
25
     before we take the vote. Whatever you want to do.
26
     Okay?
27
              CRAIG WOOTEN:
                                    It don't matter.
28
     Whatever is proper.
29
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                    Either way will be fine.
30
     Whatever you want to do.
31
         At this time we're going to go into a public
32
     hearing on this matter. Anyone wishing to speak to
33
     this matter, please step forward, state your name and
34
     district, address the chair, please. Anyone at all?
35
         Seeing and hearing none, public hearing will be
36
     closed. Let the record show Mr. Wooten has abstained
37
     from voting. Do we have a motion to put this on the
38
     floor?
39
              BRETT SANDERS:
                                    So moved.
40
              CINDY WILSON:
                                    So moved.
41
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                    Motion Mr. Sanders;
     second Ms. Wilson. Any discussion?
42
43
              JIMMY DAVIS:
                                    Mr. Chair.
44
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                    Mr. Davis.
45
              JIMMY DAVIS:
                                    I just want to take just
46
     a quick few seconds to explain to the people out here,
47
     your county is hard at work for you, and our Economic
48
     Development Department is led by Mr. Burriss Nelson is
```

out there and we see great opportunities in businesses

and expanding in Anderson County. And this is a great

opportunity for us. Twenty-one new jobs with a high 2 rate of pay with health insurance. And a community 3 impact of 4.1 million dollars the first year. 4 So this is a great example of how hard Anderson 5 County Economic Development is working to better the 6 lives of the communities and the people of Anderson 7 County. Thank you, Mr. Chair. 8 TOMMY DUNN: Thank you, Mr. Davis. 9 Anyone else? 10 CINDY WILSON: May I? 11 TOMMY DUNN: Ms. Wilson. 12 CINDY WILSON: This is another one of 13 our local success stories. This is a young man who 14 came out of the military, having been a medic, and he 15 had to improvise on the battlefield to stop bleeding 16 and deal with horrific wounds. And he came up with a 17 lot of things that he was soon shipping to his former 18 comrades in the field. And he has made a living doing 19 it now that he's back stateside and brought a lot of 20 good jobs to the county. And it's so nice to have a 21 local person do well. Thank you. 22 TOMMY DUNN: Thank you, Ms. Wilson. 23 Anyone else? All in favor of the motion show of hands. 24 All opposed like sign. Show the motion carries 25 unanimously. 26 Ask Mr. Wooten if he would come back in and join 27 us. 28 BURRISS NELSON: Thank you for your 29 support, sir. 30 TOMMY DUNN: Thank you, Mr. Nelson. 31 Moving on now to item number 5(b), ordinance 2020-32 008. Chairman Graham chairs this committee and is 33 unable to be here tonight. Business out of town. I've asked Councilman Sanders who is on that committee 34 35 if he'll introduce this. 36 BRETT SANDERS: Yes, sir. Thank you, 37 Mr. Chairman. I left my glasses in the car running in 38 from the rain. Let's see. This is an ordinance to amend the code of 39 40 ordinances, Anderson County, South Carolina, by adding 41 Article X to Chapter 42 Titled Second Amendment 42 Protection. 4.3 And I would just like to thank the Sheriff's 44 Department for working on it, Mr. Harmon for allowing 45 us -- or sharing some of his expertise and advice with us, the members of the committee. And I look forward 46

TOMMY DUNN: Thank you, Mr. Sanders. At this time we'll be going into a public hearing.

rights of our forefathers.

to working on anything that protects our constitutional

I thank you, sir.

47

48

49

50

Anyone wishing to speak on this matter, please step forward, state your name and district and address the chair, please. Anyone at all.

Ma'am, you ain't got to -- ma'am, I promise you, you can sit back there and make yourself comfortable and that mic will pick you up.

JUDITH POLSON: I have a soft voice. TOMMY DUNN: Okay.

JUDITH POLSON: I'm Judith Polson. I'm from District 1. As a matter of fact, I'm running for the State Senate in District 3.

Now, guns are useful in a lot of ways, but we have too many. We have -- they're the bane of the world. They cause so much harm. They're too easy to get. Any fool can get a gun. Any young person can get a gun. And the last thing we should do is make this a place, a safe haven, for guns.

Everyone knows someone who's been shot and killed by a gun. Many kids get killed by guns that got in the wrong hands. My cousin lost a toddler this way. She has no grandchildren now. Guns can get picked up by someone that is not supposed to have them. They're not kept up right.

And you know that many of us also have family members who are emotionally fragile. We shouldn't have a gun in our house because of this. We need to have guns -- less guns; not more. We don't need guns to be so easy to acquire.

One of my students at Westside High School was in the tenth grade and killed himself with a gun over a girl.

What kind of community do we want? One that's full of guns? That's not the way, people.

TOMMY DUNN: Anyone else? Anyone?

Come on up.

RAY BROCK: Mr. Dunn, council members, I'm Ray Brock. I live in District 2. I would like to personally thank y'all for your attention to supporting and recognizing the Second Amendment rights of all Americans as they were intended by our forefathers and our founding fathers. And they saw a need to recognize the safety and security of firearms. Not just so they could hunt, but to protect their rights. Because they had just came out of a revolution and they fought that because one of the reason the British wanted to confiscate their weaponry, their only means to overthrow an oppressive government and to gain their freedom. But we all know this. Or most of us know this. But I just wanted to thank y'all. And I appreciate it.

TOMMY DUNN: Thank you. Anyone else? Yes, sir. RUSSELL RAMSEY: Russell Ramsey of District 1. Councilwoman Floyd asked many times when we were discussing this Second Amendment Ordinance, she didn't understand why we needed it. BRETT SANDERS: Could you step up just a little bit. You keep turning your head. I can't hear you, sir. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Councilwoman RUSSELL RAMSEY: Floyd asked many times when we were discussing the Second Amendment Ordinance that she didn't understand why we needed it, because she had a gun, she had a concealed weapons permit. You just heard why we need The lady over here thinks we don't need it. I don't care what she thinks. It's my right to own a gun

TOMMY DUNN: Anyone else?

### **APPLAUSE**

and protect my family. And I will continue to do that.

And I thank everyone on this council for allowing us to

TOMMY DUNN: Calm it down, please.

Anyone else?

Thank you.

do this.

TONYA WINBUSH: My name is Tonya Winbush. I'm in District 1. And I just want to speak up for gun owners, gun rights, Second Amendment. Yes, I'm a veteran also, combat veteran, fifteen years in the Army. And I do own guns. I do have a concealed weapons license. But the only issue that I have is the — the implication of being a sanctuary county may mean. It may mean that people who don't want to abide by the law can come here to actually do things that they shouldn't do with guns. And so that being the case, I didn't see anything in the ordinance that says that people who actually are criminals who don't need to have a gun should be able to hide from being prosecuted because we're a sanctuary county.

Where are we going to stop people who are criminals because they are -- and everybody knows, South Carolina is number one, number two, for domestic violence. And if you are able to beat someone to a pulp and you need your weapon confiscated, you can't say, oh, well, I'll go to Anderson County so I know that I don't have to get my weapon confiscated.

It's not a full proof legislation. It's not full proof law, but somehow, someway, we have got to be able to make sure that we regulate gun ownership to the point to where we're not trying to take people rights, but we have to regulate because we have so much gun violence, so much unnecessary killing, so much

unnecessary dying.

Yes, some people do have wrong intentions, and yes, we do have a lot of criminals that own guns. But the law abiding citizens that are -- have the right to have their weapons -- we still have that right until you do something wrong. If you're on the wrong side, if you have committed a crime, then should you necessarily have a right to bear arms. That's what's in question. I know if I go and do something that I shouldn't do and I break the law and I get my weapon confiscated, then that's not on the law. That's on me and that's on my behavior.

13 APPLAUSE

TOMMY DUNN: Hold it down,

please. Anyone else?

BRETT SANDERS: Very back, sir. TOMMY DUNN: Step on up.

TERRY ALLEN: First of all I'm going to say it's good to be here to hear all you people and to listen to what people have to say.

But as far as the ---

TOMMY DUNN: If you would, sir,

just state your name for the record and where you're from.

TERRY ALLEN: I'm Terry Allen.

I'm sixty-seven years old. I've been living in Anderson County all my life. I was trained and brought up how to use a gun. If a gun is laying somewhere locked up or out, it doesn't hurt anyone until someone picks it up. As long as that gun is laying there with good intentions to protect a home. I was brought up to protect a home. And until we in our minds decide we're going to do bodily harm to someone and we take that upon ourselves to go get that weapon, then it's our blame. It's not the gun to blame.

And I do have a concealed permit. I do assist a SLED agent with the CWP classes. And so we're taught unless you're going to use that gun leave it where it's at. And never put yourself in a position where you're misusing, misrepresentation yourself. Because once you get a bad sheet on using your gun unlawfully, that is on your record forever. And it will be there documented through the South Carolina Law Enforcement Division, which I support all men and women in law. I support our military. I support all our law enforcement.

So I don't care to hear people say do away with our law enforcement. I think we need to strengthen our law enforcement. Go back to two per car like we used to have because we're outnumbered. Our population has

grown. We're outnumbered.

So the only way we can protect our self is if it takeS a weapon to do that in your home, so be it. We've got dogs that comes over to our house. Attacks me all the time. I run in the house. And they tell me I can't shoot the dog. But I mean a dog comes inside of my home still trying to bite me and I still don't have the right to shoot the dog.

But my goal is here, folks, unless we pick that gun up, that gun cannot do anybody any harm. And the parents should not say -- come on television and say my three year old went to a drawer and picked up a gun and he shot his self or shot one of them. Now, parents ought to have enough gumption about them to keep their weapons locked up. And we know where they're at if we need them. But no child needs to be able to get a hold a gun. And I thank you for that, sir.

TOMMY DUNN: Next. Anyone else?

# **APPLAUSE**

TOMMY DUNN: Haven't you done spoke, Mr. Jones? All right. You done spoke. Anyone else? Come on up.

GRISHAM BUCKNER: My name is Grisham Buckner. I'm in District 4. I have a friend that's from Australia. And she gave me a little history lesson. She's traveled all around like I have. And she said in America we have one thing that no other country has. Every country has a Constitution. We have a Bill of Rights. You start pecking away at it, where there's First Amendment, where there's guns, where there's assembly, you start pecking at it everybody -- you open up a hole and everything starts to fall apart.

There's a lot of responsibility involved here. But keep your hands off what should be left alone. If people are too stupid and too irresponsible to maintain their arms where a child can't get to them, then that's -- those are the people who should be held responsible; not the people in here on gun ordinance. Thank you.

TOMMY DUNN: Thank you. Anyone

else? Anyone?

ELIZABETH FANT: Elizabeth Fant. It is our right to own guns. And it is our responsibility to own them in a responsible way to where things don't happen.

But we have a problem. And that is that people who break into pawn shops, homes, whatever, are often looking for weapons. Somebody may break into your house and get your handgun, your rifle, whatever. It's not registered. We have no way of knowing who has it.

And these -- this is the element that causes us so much trouble.

One of the last years when I was teaching, one of my students who was fifteen years old was living with his grandmother. And unbeknownst to her, he was sneaking out at night to hang around with another group of young'uns. Well, the child wasn't real -- I won't say real bright, but didn't have anybody really to talk to about things and so gang members on the other side told him that if he didn't get a gun and shoot this other gang member, that that gang member was going to come over and shoot his sister. What did he do? He did just what the gang members asked him to do and he went and shot the person. And he's now still in jail.

You can't -- there's a element out here where we're never going to be able to take up all the guns, even if you wanted to. But when you take it away from the responsible people, the ones who are protecting their loved ones and their turf, that just leaves the guns in the people's hands who shouldn't have them from the get-go. And we can't have that. So I'm glad you're doing the Second Amendment.

TOMMY DUNN: Mr. Jones, I apologize. You haven't spoke on this public hearing. You're more than welcome to speak. You spoke the first go-around. I apologize. You've got a right to speak at the public hearing on this.

RANDY JONES: Thank you. My name is Randy Jones from District 7.

Just wanted to just reiterate what was spoke earlier about -- this is really about doing things that are going to ensure that in Anderson County that we're going to be protected for our Bill of Rights. Our Constitution and Bill of Rights are important things. There's never been a time like we're seeing just in the last few weeks where we've seen places in this country where that's not been honored and those rights have been taken away from individuals. And we're seeing the kind of disaster that's occurring in those locations.

So I appreciate Anderson County, our council, our local Sheriff, for standing up and saying, we want to do everything we can to make sure that that doesn't happen in Anderson County.

So I appreciate the efforts and I just -- I hate that there's not more people to understand that -- what these Bill of Rights mean to us. They're not granted by our government. These are things that are -- they're things that we have that we're protected against our government. So everything that we can do to protect that is going to be critically important. I

```
appreciate your support and hope it'll pass tonight.
 2
                                         Thank you. Anyone
              TOMMY DUNN:
 3
     else?
 4
              DAKOTA MURRAY:
                                         My name is Dakota
 5
     Murray. I'm from Anderson. I'm really not here for
     the gun rights today, but since it's been brought up, I
 6
 7
     thought I'd go ahead and share my opinion.
 8
         We all talk about gun violence and I feel like that
 9
     creates a stereotype. Violence is violence whether
     it's from a gun or a knife or somebody hit and run.
10
11
     You take away a gun, if somebody wants to kill
12
     somebody, of course, that's a horrible thing and if
13
     anybody has had that happen to them whether it be
14
     suicide or homicide, I'm extremely sorry. But taking
15
     away the rights to firearms, you know, is completely
16
     going against the Second Amendment. It isn't going to
17
     solve anything because if somebody is that violent and
18
     that vile, there's just going to find another way to do
19
20
         We always like to talk about how many lives were
21
     taken by guns, but if you look at the CDC website,
22
     between five hundred thousand and three million lives
23
     are saved, and it's really hard to calculate just
24
     because we don't know how many lives are actually saved
25
     or how many people are deterred from violent forces
26
     just from seeing somebody carrying a gun with a
27
     concealed weapons permit.
28
         So I just kind of want to get rid of the stereotype
     of gun violence because we don't say knife violence; we
29
30
     don't say hit and run violence. We just call it
31
     violence. So it's kind of like an agenda to say gun
     violence instead of just calling it what it is, which
32
33
     is violence. So thank you.
34
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                         Thank you. Anyone
35
     else?
            Public hearing will be closed. Do I have a
36
     motion on the floor?
37
              BRETT SANDERS:
                                         So moved.
38
              CINDY WILSON:
                                         Second.
39
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                         Motion Mr. Sanders;
40
     second Ms. Wilson. Any discussion?
41
              JIMMY DAVIS:
                                         Mr. Chair.
42
                                         Mr. Davis.
              TOMMY DUNN:
43
              JIMMY DAVIS:
                                         Thank you, Mr.
44
             I just want to say a few comments.
     Chair.
45
         I am very proud of our county tonight. I'm very
46
     thankful and proud of Chairman Ray Graham and
47
     Councilman Brett Sanders for leading this committee.
48
     There were several citizens that had input. Our county
49
     attorney, Mr. Leon Harmon, made sure that things were
     done right and in a legal way. And last, but not
50
```

```
least, I want to thank Sheriff Chad McBride who also
 2
     contributed to this ordinance that we're reading
 3
     tonight. And I'm very appreciate to the Sheriff for
 4
     being a part of that and having input on it.
 5
         I'm a proud supporter of the Second Amendment and
 6
     the protection of our rights. I'm a lifetime member of
 7
     Belton Gun Club. I'm a proud member of the NRA. And
 8
     I'm thankful that tonight we will see Anderson County
 9
     become a Second Amendment Sanctuary County.
10
         Thank you, Mr. Chair.
11
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                          Thank you, Mr.
12
     Davis. Anyone else?
13
         I'd just like to say in closing I want to
14
     reiterate, I want to thank the committee and the
15
     council members, the Sheriff, our attorney. I want to
16
     thank the citizens for -- that served on this committee
17
     that got us where we're at today. I want to thank
18
     y'all, the public for being here, and putting y'all's
19
     input on both sides of the issue. That's what makes
20
     good government.
21
         All in favor of the vote ---
22
              GRACIE FLOYD:
                                         Mr. Chair.
23
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                          Yes, ma'am.
24
              GRACIE FLOYD:
                                          I would like to
25
     make a comment.
26
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                         Go ahead.
27
                                         Thank you.
              GRACIE FLOYD:
                                                      I think
28
     I've told y'all this before ---
29
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                          Speak into the mic,
30
     Mr. Floyd.
                We can't hear you.
31
              GRACIE FLOYD:
                                         I think I've told
32
     y'all this before, that I am a carrier. I am a -- I
33
     have my whatever you call it thing that allows you to
34
     carry a gun. I have one of those; okay. But I heard
35
     it all from the back.
36
         Now, I don't care -- I don't care what you say
37
     about me, just like you don't care what I think, but I
38
     will fight for the right for you to say it. And I will
39
     do anything I can to make sure you have a chance to say
40
     it. We don't have to agree. Thank you.
41
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                         All in favor of the
42
     vote show of hands. All opposed. Show the motion
43
     carries with Mr. Davis, Mr. Sanders, Mr. Dunn, Mr.
44
     Wooten and Ms. Wilson in favor and Ms. Floyd opposes.
45
         Moving on.
46
                             APPLAUSE
47
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                          Keep it down,
48
     please.
49
         Moving on to item number 5(c), 2020-009, an
50
     ordinance authorizing the execution and delivery of
```

```
certain agreements by and between Anderson County,
 2
     South Carolina and Sargent Metal Fabricators,
     Incorporated and Bailtuck, LLC whereby under certain
 4
     conditions, said companies will acquire, by
 5
     construction and purchase, certain property in Anderson
     County and create certain jobs in Anderson County and
 6
 7
     will execute amendments to certain fee in lieu of tax
 8
     agreements and special source revenue agreements and
 9
     provide certain economic development inducements and
10
     enact certain further legislation for said companies,
11
     Project MIT involving an expected nine million five
12
     hundred thousand dollar investment and create an
     expected fifty jobs in the county.
13
                                         Mr. Nelson.
14
              BURRISS NELSON:
                                         Mr. Chairman, thank
15
           MIT and Sargent Metal and Industrial Coaters,
16
     they're expanding fifty jobs and 9.5 million dollars in
     capital investment. Fifty jobs with an annual -- with
17
     an hourly salary of seventeen twenty-five, generating
18
19
     an annual payroll of 1.725 million dollars each year.
20
     MIT is currently -- is current with its capital
21
     investment and jobs creation from previous projects and
22
     is certainly up to date with its current property tax.
23
     And is bringing to us an opportunity for great jobs.
24
         There was a press release that you should have in
25
     the back of your packet of your document from -- a
26
     press release from the governor's office on Tuesday --
27
     last Thursday, July 2nd.
28
         But this project is presented to council for
29
     consideration and comes with a recommendation from
30
     staff and the Economic Development Advisory Board.
31
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                         Thank you, Mr.
32
     Nelson. At this time we're going to go into a public
33
     hearing. Anyone wishing to speak on this matter,
34
     please step forward and state your name and district
35
     you live in and address the chair, please. Public
36
     hearing. Anyone at all. Seeing and hearing no one,
37
     the public hearing will be closed. Do we have a motion
     to move this forward?
38
39
              JIMMY DAVIS:
                                         So moved.
40
              CINDY WILSON:
                                         Second.
41
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                         Motion Mr. Davis;
42
     second Ms. Wilson. Now discussion.
43
              CINDY WILSON:
                                         May I real quick?
44
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                         Ms. Wilson.
45
              CINDY WILSON:
                                         This is another
     home grown company that's been here for a long time and
46
47
     they've consistently added more and more employees and
48
     more and more manufacturing. We're very blessed to
49
     have home grown family-owned businesses because we all
50
     know that small businesses in this country generally
```

```
hire the most people collectively. So we're blessed to
 2
     have these companies in our county. Thank you.
 3
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                         Thank you.
 4
         Ms. Floyd.
 5
              GRACIE FLOYD:
                                         Mr. Burriss -- Mr.
 6
     Burriss Nelson, at one time did we hear, or am I
 7
     mistaken, I'm asking a question because I don't know
 8
     now. Okay? But did we say at one time that they were
 9
     going to have more jobs than fifty?
              BURRISS NELSON:
10
                                         Well, they have
11
     currently over a hundred jobs that they're maintaining.
12
     This is the second expansion. You know, we did an
13
     expansion for them about four years ago. And they
14
     continue to grow. This is an eighty thousand square
15
     foot expansion of their current building and will add
16
     an additional fifty jobs.
17
              GRACIE FLOYD:
                                         Okay. But at one
18
     time did we hear that they were going to add more than
19
     fifty jobs?
20
              BURRISS NELSON:
                                         Not to my ---
21
              GRACIE FLOYD:
                                         It has always been
22
     fifty jobs?
23
              BURRISS NELSON:
                                        Not to my
24
     knowledge, on this project.
25
              GRACIE FLOYD:
                                        Now what's not to
26
     your knowledge. Have they always said fifty jobs?
27
     That's what I'm asking.
28
              BURRISS NELSON:
                                         Yes, ma'am.
29
              GRACIE FLOYD:
                                         And how much money
30
     are they getting out of this?
31
              BURRISS NELSON:
                                         There's a SSRC
32
     multi-county park agreement ---
              GRACIE FLOYD:
33
                                         Uh-uh, no, no, no,
         No, no, no, no, let's not do SSRC. Let's say
34
35
     what SSRC is because a lot of people don't know, and I
36
     don't know either.
37
              BURRISS NELSON:
                                 Special Source
38
     Revenue Credit.
39
              GRACIE FLOYD:
                                        Okay. All right.
40
41
              BURRISS NELSON:
                                        And that special
42
     source revenue credit allows them a discount of
43
     property tax of sixty percent for the first five years.
44
              GRACIE FLOYD:
                                        Okay, now, it says
     the company's nine million five -- okay, 9.5 million
45
     investment will increase -- will create fifty new jobs.
46
              BURRISS NELSON:
47
                                         That's right.
48
              GRACIE FLOYD:
                                         Okay. So is this
49
     money coming from the, from the federal government?
50
     This money is coming from where?
```

```
BURRISS NELSON:
                                         Well, they have
 2
     cash of their own and will borrow money from various
 3
     lenders and banks.
 4
              GRACIE FLOYD:
                                         Okay. Is this part
 5
     of the opportunity zone money?
 6
              BURRISS NELSON:
                                         To my understanding
 7
     they did not apply for an opportunity zone grant or
 8
     application.
 9
              GRACIE FLOYD:
                                         Oh, good. So --
10
     all right. Good. Thank you.
11
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                         Any more
12
     discussion? All in favor of the motion show of hands.
13
     Opposed like sign. Show the motion carries
14
     unanimously.
15
         Moving on to item number 5(d), 2020-011, an
16
     ordinance declaring a moratorium for six months on the
17
     issuance of approvals of development permits for
18
     recreational vehicles, that's RV, parks and tiny home
19
     subdivisions in Anderson County. Ms. Floyd.
20
              GRACIE FLOYD:
                                         Thank you.
21
         I know most of you have heard now about the RV
22
           It's -- I don't even know how to get started.
23
     I'm asking the council tonight to please pass a third
24
     reading that we can have a moratorium on the park.
25
     moratorium will give us more time to figure out what
26
     happened. What happened. This came up in November of
27
     last year and they sent me nothing. They sent me a
28
     sheet of paper with the moratorium on it, that they
29
     were going to have them -- this thing coming up. And
30
     it didn't say what district. It didn't say anything.
31
     No district. Just an RV park is coming.
32
         The second page had the agenda on it for that
     meeting which was going to be held in November the
33
34
     12th. It, too, didn't have anything on it about what
35
     district it was. After that the third sheet had
36
     November the 12th at the top. And on November the 12th
37
     they had District 2 was going to be on it.
38
         I had never been to a meeting for that because
39
     nobody ever put anything in my district. And when they
40
     finally did, it scared me to death. I didn't know what
41
     they were talking about. But they put it on there
42
     anyway.
43
         Of course, I have complained about that.
                                                    And the
44
     Director of the department tells me, well, they've
45
     changed it now or they're going to change it now.
46
     They're going to start putting the date on there. But
47
     other things happened that wasn't right. I only have
48
     five minutes to tell you about it, and I can't do it in
49
     five minutes. But I'm going to tell you one thing, it
```

wasn't right. And while I was waiting back there I

50

heard you talking about the Centerville -- any more Centerville people in here? All of them gone; right? But anyway, Centerville, you better watch out. You better watch out. They've got four opportunity zones they're calling them. It's not an opportunity for you. It's an opportunity for them. They're going to come over in this district and they're going to take these people's community from them. They're going to put up one hundred one day and ninety the next day. But today they're putting up one hundred little tiny houses on wheels. On wheels.

Do you want that in your backyard? They don't either. They don't either. But they don't seem to have a chance.

There's another way to do it. It's being done in District 4. The people there are putting in a subdivision. And before they came to Anderson they got in touch with the county council person who worked with them and talked with them. And then they had a meeting with the people of that community. And the people had a chance to express how they feel about this subdivision coming in. Then they had another meeting with this company.

But District 2, poor ole District 2 didn't get that opportunity. What they did to us is say, wham, here it is. You've going to have a RV park, a little tiny RV park.

One time it was one family; the next time we looked around it was for two families. It's going to be on wheels. The law says you can't put an RV on wheels permanently. But what does that have to do with it? I've been fighting for this now since February. They called me about it -- they voted on it in February on the first reading.

Now up here on county, before we can do things we have to have three readings before it passes. But they did it on the first reading and passed it.

Now we're facing an RV community park around people who have almost paid for their homes and been there for a long time and are in a system where they're getting ready to retire if they haven't already retired. But look what they're facing. And then they told us in ten years they're going to sell the RV homes. Who they going to sell it to? Who's going to want it? You know.

Council members, I'm asking you, please pass the third reading so that District 2 and its community members and others will have an opportunity to fight this thing. To work this thing. To get the truth about these things.

 Here we heard that they don't have any water. They're not going to get water. Today I heard, oh, yeah, we're going to get water. Nobody knows what to think.

Now, it can happen to you. They have one coming up on Belton Highway. They have one coming up on Whitner Street. And they have one planned for Centerville. But you know, I don't know. I'm just, I'm just a voice crying in the night to tell you you've been gone away too long. You are not coming to the meetings to hear what they can do to you. You need to be aware.

Council members, I'm asking you to please vote with me. Your homes, your situations, are secure. You don't live in District 2. Some of you live in really nice districts. But give others a chance to have the opportunities that you have. Thank you.

TOMMY DUNN: That's time. We'll be going into a public hearing on this issue. Anyone wishing to speak to this matter, please step forward, state your name and district and address the chair, please. Anyone? Anyone at all?

MICHELLE BURTON: My name is Michelle Burton and I saw you guys last time. And we're coming again asking for a moratorium for us to be able to have at least six months. I think everyone pretty much kind of agreed that there's been some mishandling of this situation. We could point fingers. However, there's always fingers that's being pointed back at yourselves. I mean, that's just common sense.

So what we are asking, though, is to please allow us to have that six month moratorium to see if we have a leg to stand on. Possibly -- we were told maybe appeals. Then we were told maybe we don't have any rights to appeals because this happened in November. But we would appreciate some time so that we can see where we can go forward. Thank you.

TOMMY DUNN: Thank you. Anyone else? Anyone else at all? Seeing and hearing none the public hearing will be closed.

Ms. Floyd, did you put a motion on the floor?

GRACIE FLOYD:

I move that county council vote to put a -- on the third reading to put a moratorium on the floor which would give us time to work through this situation that we are in. And I ask that you consider voting for it.

TOMMY DUNN: Do we have a second? I second it. Open the floor up for discussion. I'll start it off. I just want to make

CINDY WILSON: May I, Mr.

Chairman?

TOMMY DUNN:

CINDY WILSON:

Our Planning and

Public Works Committee met recently and we've been
informed that we do have definitions of the tiny homes,
the RVs, the RVs on wheels, mobile homes, modular,
stick built. So we already have all of those
definitions in place in our Codes of Ordinances.

It appears that this particular project has already been permitted. It's already in place. What we do want to do going forward, because we've had a number of projects coming through under either special exceptions or in unzoned areas where there's a minimum of notification required, we as a council are moving forward on some measures to make sure from here on out that neighboring properties are informed of proposals and we get the notifications out.

Currently in zoned areas we send out postcards to every landowner of record within two thousand feet. And we have a specific process to go by where the citizens who voted to impose zoning on themselves have more opportunities to say or help form what goes in beside them. That was the one advantage of zoning.

But with the special exceptions, we're concerned about that being the same type of issue as what we're dealing with in unzoned areas.

The good news is this county is doing such a good job of encouraging business development and we have such wonderful people here, the logistics, the aesthetics, everything is perfect here. We're now getting a rash of outside developers coming in. They want to take advantage of and benefit from what our county has done here.

So that is a brief explanation of how we got to this point and where we're planning to go from here. And we do enlist your help in this effort.

TOMMY DUNN: Thank you, Ms.

Wilson.

You know, I just want to -- I've said this I think about -- I know two other meetings, maybe three. We can pass this tonight, but it's not going to affect the situation down on Highway 29. It's just not -- it can't do it. Legally they can -- we ain't got a leg to stand on. And so we have learned from this. They went by the rules. They've done everything. This is not a rezoning thing. It's apples and oranges compared to what's going on in District 4 because it's two different things.

We have learned from this so fortunately we can change some things and Ms. Hunter is going to make some

recommendaations to us, and like Ms. Wilson alluded to about the public notice and public things.

We've been dealing with this or it's been coming since like February. I announced at the last council meeting anybody wants to call me I'll be glad to set up meetings to see what we can do. The only course is in the law, state law, is going across the street at -not here, but at the new courthouse and file a suit with a lawyer. We can't do it for you. We're not got the thing. It's got to be the citizens doing it. That's the remedy. That's what the law says. That's not going -- it's been since February since this thing has been in the works and there should have done been some meetings and some things. Like I said we know what a definition of RV park is. what the definition of tiny homes is. It's in our ordinances and what we adopted in the National Codes of Building.

I just want to get that out there. And I hate some people have still got a false hope that we can stop this. If I could stop it, vote to stop it, believe you me, I would. Anyone else?

GRACIE FLOYD: Mr. Chair?
TOMMY DUNN: Ms. Floyd.
GRACIE FLOYD: What we wan

GRACIE FLOYD: What we want is to give us more time. Don't ever accept, folks, that you can't do anything about it. Don't ever accept that. There's always something that can be done about it. You have to work. You have to dig. But if you can get to the right person there's always something you can do about it.

The only thing that you can't do nothing about is what God controls. That's the only thing you can't do anything about. But don't ever let nobody tell you that there's nothing you can do about it because it's defeating. It's to defeat your spirit. And if God be for you, who can be against you?

### **APPLAUSE**

GRACIE FLOYD:

Now what we're trying to do is to make it fair. Our people in that community were not told anything. Nobody told them they were going to come and take their community from them as they did this councilman over here. They came down and talked to him before they went into his community, his district, to talk to him. We didn't get that. They said they put a sign up. Nobody saw a sign. Lady shaking her head right there. Nobody saw a sign up.

The only thing we know is that I was sent some papers about -- just like we do every month -- about a

meeting that's going to held. It didn't have District 2 on there anywhere. It could have been seven districts and I can't afford to go to seven districts and find out if this was mine. Somebody should have called me first as they had been doing to others. But I didn't get that. I didn't get that.

I love my district. I have the greatest people in the world -- in Anderson County in District 2. They're people that care about people. And I care about what's happening to them. Would you want your mother to live in the same house all those years and all of a sudden somebody's going to put a tiny house behind her house? Who's going to live there? We've heard all kind of stories. And yes, I'm passionate about it because I believe in it. And I will stand up for it and I will work. I will work. Even when they slam the door in my face, I'll work.

Now, they've made all kind of things about what they're going to do now. Next time we'll do this and next time we'll do that. What about this time? Get the people out of there then so you can have the whole place. Find houses for them. And you can have your next time. But we're talking now. We're talking about my eighty-two year old lady that lives down there now; several of them. What are they going to do? It's going to increase the crime rate. You're going to have the police presence there more. People can't live like that. You don't just go and just take people's property. You don't do that without giving them a word.

Now, if you're zoned, if you're zoned, it's different. If you're zoned, they will write you a nice little card and tell you that this is coming. They will have all the people down there. But if you're unzoned, you are discriminated on. What's the difference between zoned and unzoned? Still people. Still people.

And what I'm asking tonight is for the council to please vote to give us six months. When these people came down and they said the word lawsuit, oh, man. We scrambled. Scared us. All you have to say is lawsuit and it scares you.

Who told these people -- who gave these people permission to go down there and do things? Who gave these people permission to go and hire lawyers and stuff? All of the -- the Planning Committee just signed a paper that gave them permission. But they came back the next month and they wanted a variance. And some of these papers say no variance. But now they need a variance. I don't think it's fair. I don't

```
think it's fair. And I don't think it's right.
 2
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                          We've got time on
 3
     this issue. All in favor of Ms. Floyd's motion?
 4
              GRACIE FLOYD:
                                          Well, I was through
 5
     anyway.
 6
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                          Okay. All in favor
 7
     of Ms. Floyd's motion, show of hands. All opposed.
 8
     Show the motion is defeated with Mr. Sanders, Mr. Dunn,
 9
     Mr. Davis and Ms. Wilson opposed. Mr. Wooten and Ms.
10
     Floyd in favor.
11
              GRACIE FLOYD:
                                         It's what we
12
     expected, but ---
13
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                         We're move on to
14
     number 6(a).
15
              GRACIE FLOYD:
                                         Watch out for your
16
     neighborhoods.
17
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                         Ordinance second
18
     reading, 2020-013, an ordinance to amend the zoning map
19
     to rezone plus or minus nineteen plus or minus acres
20
     from C-2 highway commercial to R-M multi-family
21
     residential on Welpine Road.
22
         Mr. Sanders, would you like to make any comments
23
     before we go into public hearing.
24
              BRETT SANDERS:
                                          Yes, sir, Mr.
25
     Chairman. This is a project that Ms. Floyd was
26
     referring to. It passed unanimously last time.
27
     developers did things the proper way. Prior to
     spending money and drawings, they actually came before
28
29
          I met with the developers. They met with the
30
     community. I had some concerns and issues about
31
     ingress and egress. They met with Holt Hopkins over
32
     our Roads and Bridges; with Matt Hogan, as well.
33
         This is the way a project should be done, and not
34
     get caught up midstream later on after money has been
35
     invested and spent.
36
         I think it's a great addition for the area over in
37
     Welpine. It's right there at the Cracker
38
     Barrel/Outback area. They've also agreed to make the
     Interstate Boulevard, which is one way in/one way out,
39
40
     to actually offer another alternative.
41
         And I would appreciate council's support on this
42
     issue.
43
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                          Thank you, Mr.
44
     Sanders.
45
         We'll be going into public hearing now.
46
     wishing to speak to this matter, please step forward
47
     and state your name and district and address the chair,
48
     please. Anyone at all? Hearing and seeing none, the
49
     public hearing will be closed. Do we have a motion to
     put it on the floor?
50
```

```
BRETT SANDERS:
                                         So moved.
 2
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                        Motion Mr. Sanders.
 3
     Do we have a second?
 4
              JIMMY DAVIS:
                                         Second.
 5
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                         Second Mr. Davis.
 6
     Now discussion.
 7
         Ms. Hunter, do you have anything you want to add?
 8
              ALISIA HUNTER:
                                         No, sir.
 9
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                          Anything at all?
     All in favor of the motion show of hands. All opposed
10
11
     like sign. Show the motion carries unanimously with
12
     council members here. Show Ms. Floyd has left. We've
13
     got Mr. Davis, Mr. Sanders, Mr. Dunn, Mr. Wooten and
14
     Ms. Wilson in favor.
15
         Moving on to item number 6(b), an ordinance to
16
     amend the zoning map to rezone plus or minus 43.91
17
     acres from R-20 single family residential to R-A that's
18
     residential agricultural, located at 2705 Centerville
19
     Road. District 5.
20
         Ms. Hunter, do you have anything you want to add to
21
     this before we go into public hearing?
22
              ALISIA HUNTER:
                                         No, sir, unless you
23
     have some further questions.
24
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                         Okay. Thank you.
25
     We'll go into public hearing on this matter. Anyone
26
     wishing to step forward and speak to this matter,
27
     please step forward and state your name and district
28
     and address the chair, please. Anyone at all? Seeing
29
     and hearing none, the public hearing will be closed.
30
     Put this in the form of a motion to put on the floor.
31
     Do we have a second?
32
              JIMMY DAVIS:
                                          Second.
33
                                         Second Mr. Davis.
              TOMMY DUNN:
34
     Now any discussion? Seeing and hearing none, all in
35
     favor of the emotion show of hands. All opposed like
36
           Show the motion carries unanimously.
     sign.
37
         Moving on to item number 6(c), 2020-017, an
38
     ordinance authorizing the execution and delivery of a
     fee in lieu of tax agreement by and between Anderson
39
40
     County, South Carolina and Project Westwind with
41
     respect to certain economic development property in the
42
     county, whereby such property will be subject to
43
     certain payments in lieu of taxes, including the
44
     provision of certain special source revenue credits.
45
         Mr. Nelson.
46
              BURRISS NELSON:
                                          Thank you, Mr.
47
     Chairman, members of council. Westwind is a project we
48
     have an independent citizen in the community who is
49
     willing to spend 2.8 million dollars of his own money
50
     to build a spec building out at the Airport Industrial
```

```
Park. We do not have any Class A industrial buildings.
     This will be the only Class A industrial building that
     we'll have available in the county to attract other
 4
     industries. Fifty-five thousand square feet.
 5
     will give us an opportunity to bring jobs and an
 6
     opportunity to further develop the Anderson Airport
 7
     Industrial Park.
 8
         This project will pay -- the property last year
 9
     paid sixty dollars in property tax. The first year of
     2021 or probably 2022, the project will pay about five
10
11
     thousand in taxes. And over twenty years will pay over
12
     four hundred thousand just as a shell building.
13
     Obviously we hope, and so does the developer hope, that
     that building will be filled with an industry full of
14
15
     good high paying jobs.
16
         But the important part of this is we're getting a
17
     spec building for industrial recruitment and using
18
     somebody else's money to do that. The county doesn't
19
     have to spend a dime in the development of this
20
     particular project other than the discounted property
21
     tax.
22
         This comes to council as a recommendation from
23
     staff as well as from the Advisory Board. And we
24
     appreciate your consideration.
                                      Thank you, sir.
25
                                          Thank you, Mr.
              TOMMY DUNN:
26
              Do we have a motion to move this forward?
     Nelson.
27
              CINDY WILSON:
                                          So moved.
28
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                          Motion Ms. Wilson.
29
     Do we have a second?
30
              BRETT SANDERS:
                                          Second.
31
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                          Second Mr. Sanders.
32
     Now, any discussion? Hearing none, all in favor of the
33
     motion show of hands. Show the motion carries
34
     unanimously.
35
              BURRISS NELSON:
                                          Mr. Chairman, ---
36
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                          Yes, sir.
37
                                          --- I'd like to
              BURRISS NELSON:
38
     thank you and all the members of council for all your
39
     continued support.
40
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                          Thank you and your
41
     staff for the great work you're doing for Anderson
42
     County.
43
              BURRISS NELSON:
                                          Thank you, sir.
44
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                          We're going to move
45
     on now to item number 7(a), this is an ordinance first
46
     reading. This is 7(a), 2020-014, an ordinance to amend
47
     the zoning map to rezone plus or minus 141.83 acres
48
     from R-20 single family residential to PD planned
49
     development located at Highway 187, Fants Grove Road,
50
     Burns Bridge Road, and William Walker Road.
```

We'll be going into a public hearing in just a second. But I'm going to ask Mr. Sanders if he's got any comments before we go into public hearing?

BRETT SANDERS:

Yes, sir. Thank

you, Mr. Chairman.

I want all the people from 187 area to know that I am a part of your community. I live on LaFrance Road. This development is a mile, mile and a half from where I live. I pass it daily. I've had multiple calls, multiple emails. I have spent weeks on this. I've called Ms. Hunter multiple times, even after hours to gather information and facts.

And there's two facts that I want to share with everyone here tonight. Number one, I only have one way or two ways to vote. That's either a yes or a no. I want people to understand what the yes or no means.

No means it stays R-20 which the community voted on in 2002 at Mount Taber for the zoning. What that means is -- and correct me if I'm wrong, Ms. Hunter -- there can be on that particular site with everything in there, two hundred and forty homes or two hundred and forty mobile homes can be put on that property with no input from council or from you guys.

TOMMY DUNN: If I'm not mistaken that would be double wides.

BRETT SANDERS: Double wides, and they do have to be underpinned, thank God. But if we vote yes, that means more than two hundred and forty homes.

But I talked with our attorney, Mr. Harmon, today and I would like to vote yes on first reading. It has to have three readings in order to pass. I want to vote yes on first reading with a caveat that the developers or engineers and the community, citizens from the community, get together and ask questions, talk. I mean we don't know what we can get done over there unless we ask.

Is Mr. McCutchen here? This gentleman right here, I talked to him today. He is with Elliott, Davis. Is that correct, sir?

TOMMY DUNN:

BRETT SANDERS:

Davis & Floyd.

He's the engineer on that project. I talked with him today. They're willing to listen to the people, to make concessions, to put berms up, extra shrubbery, green space.

So the thing is, I can vote yes first reading. Then it'll have to come back before us again after the community group meets with Mr. McCutchen -- excuse me if I pronounce that wrong -- to see if they can work

out something. Other than that there can be two hundred and forty houses or trailers there with no input from us.

I do know that I've had calls complaining about there's not enough infrastructure, the roads. there. I looked through the packet. I'm not sure --I'm sure I can get everyone a copy of it. But the South Carolina DOT, the engineer studies say yes, it can handle it. The water, the sewer is already there. I had calls today, I think, from Mr. Buckner. he got up and spoke earlier. I don't know if he's still here. Yes, there he is. The sewer is there. What I would like to see is pass first reading. Let's sit down with these people and try to come to some kind of mutual agreement or some common ground to where we actually have some input. The other side of that is if it doesn't and it gets turned down, it goes back to two hundred and forty houses or mobile homes. But maybe Mr. McCutchen would actually remember our faces when we sit down and talk with him and we could still have some input.

I do know in order to go to PD they will -- turn lanes will have to be put in there at their expense. Possible red light. There's some other things in there that's in the packet. But I would like for us to do like I had to do. At first I was going on emotion and not common sense and reality. But I would like for us to put our emotions aside, sit down, try to work something out. If not, we turn it down and it's over. It goes back to two hundred and forty houses with no input.

And I'm requesting that council go with me on first reading. If it's not the community's agreement, it will be voted and turned down the next two readings. There has to be three readings, again.

But I don't know how anyone could not want to sit down with someone that's getting ready to put a minimum with no input two hundred and forty houses. This will allow us and make them come to the table to where we can have some input. I think it's the common sense way to do it. And that's what I'm putting forth tonight.

And I appreciate everyone being here and I look forward to hearing your comments.

TOMMY DUNN: We'll go into a public hearing now on this project. Anyone wishing to speak on this, please step forward and state your name, your district and address the chair, please. Public hearing.

JAMIE MCCUTCHEN: My name is Jamie McCutchen. I'm with Davis & Floyd Engineering out of

Greenville. I came up here first because I do want to -- I know there's people here that have concerns. Quite a few of those in the hearing earlier. And unfortunately we haven't been able to get information out to everyone just because of COVID and other issues, so I kind of want to go through with council to show you what we've already done and address a few key issues.

So first of all, the infrastructure, we have had a traffic study done. It's been approved by Anderson County and DOT. It does require substantial turn lanes and improvements which the developer has to do under the PD. He doesn't have a choice. He has to have those put in. And we talked to Anderson County and they said before he finishes a hundred lots he's got to have it done. So we're talking about a four hundredish, four twenty development. But early on in the project all those improvements have to be in place.

We have a new sewer line coming through that area. I think it's about an eighteen million dollar investment from what I understand. This project is possible because of that. And in hindsight, you know, R-20 was put in place before sewer was really there. So it really wasn't practical to do smaller lots in that case.

Touching on our plan, this was our original plan. This had -- was a planned development. We met with staff to come up with this plan. We had a community meeting planned in March at Pendleton High School. COVID hit right then and it had to be canceled. We sent out two hundred and forty-four letters to all the property owners in the area that was provided by the county. We asked them to go to a website and sign up to get information. We did our best to communicate through the COVID pandemic, but obviously that made it very difficult. We only had about thirty folks that actually signed up and we were able to send information to

But with the input we did have our plan included twenty acres of commercial along Highway 187. It included single family residential on the backside of that, a section of town homes in the middle and single family on the other side of the road.

Now we also had a section here on the other side of William Walker Road that was to be developed into housing, as well.

At the public hearing we heard the comments. We were able to finally get a lot of information from the community. And so what we did is we went back and said, okay, if it stayed R-20 -- I'm sorry, I don't

have this one in color so it may not show quite as well -- what would happen. How could we do it if it was R-20? Well, in order to get enough lots out there to make it work, we had to use every bit of the property. Our previous plan had buffers all the way around. We were saving a lot of stuff in open space, a lot of parks.

Under this plan we have a row of lots fronting on William Walker Road. In our previous plan we didn't have any lots on William Walker Road. They were all backing up, but we had a connection except for this one little area in here. Okay? We also had to use all of this area back in the back by this stream. Under the county requirements in order to get the number of lots we have to use basically every square inch. And so it goes all the way around, no buffers, nothing to really protect the adjoining property owners because if you're going to limit it to that, you've got to get as much yield as you can.

We don't want to do that. To be honest, I don't believe my client would actually do that. I believe the current owner would probably either do it himself or find someone else to do it.

So what we did do is last week, with Councilman Sanders' help and the property owner's help, we met with a small group of residents in the area. So there was about five -- four families represented. Five people there. And we went back to the drawing board and we listened to them. We had a two-hour meeting. And we said tell us your concerns. Tell us what all it is that's really giving you heartburn.

Well, first off they said we don't want as much commercial. We said okay. We're okay with that. We took it down from twenty acres commercial to three and a half acres commercial. The only commercial now is right here on the corner of Fants Grove and Highway 187. So we took out sixteen and a half acres of commercial development out of this plan.

We heard the citizens from William Walker that there's elderly there, they've been there a long time. They don't want to be disturbed. We took that property out entirely. We're not going to touch that property. It's not going to be included in the plan. There is no access at all to William Walker Road. There is a twenty foot buffer down William Walker. There's a great row of trees down William Walker Road now. We're maintaining those and leaving an extra room to plant more wherever it's been and needs it. So the residents on William Walker would only have the back of these houses with a solid twenty foot natural buffer with the

large trees remaining in place.

We talked to the folks in the back along Fants Grove. They had a lot of concern about the stream and the natural area, natural habitat and just having the houses against them. We've agreed not to go on the other side of that stream. There's a -- sixteen acres of a park to remain natural, undisturbed, including that stream area on that side.

We heard from the folks on the other side that had the stables. I believe it's the Parkers. They said we're really concerned about residents coming over and messing with our horses or being with our stables. We said what would you like us to do? They said we'd like a fence. In addition to the buffer we'd like a fence. Okay. We've agreed to install a six foot fence around their entire property line and extending in both directions to minimize people being able to go and interfere with them.

This plan has twenty percent, twenty percent of the property as open space and buffers. Think about that a second. Twenty percent that we're giving up, not touching, not using to develop, just to protect the community. We've also agreed along Highway 187 to put in a fifty foot buffer. It's a landscape berm. When you drive by there you won't see houses. The only area that doesn't apply is in the limited commercial area.

So we've done that on both sides of 187, both side of the project, fifty foot buffer, heavily landscaped with a big landscape berm.

So, Mr. Chairman, members of council, I think these are the key points. We're certainly willing to talk. I'm not saying this is the final plan. We've talked to staff. We've made sure and we've been to the Planning Commission and they recommend denial, although we did have staff recommendation for approval. We asked the question, do we have an opportunity during this process to make changes? They said yes you do. We're here tonight, we're asking you to move us forward, allow us to make some more changes, allow us time to meet with the community.

And in the community meeting we did have -- and I don't know if any of you were here tonight to speak because I hate to speak for someone else -- but I believe when I left there, their agreement -- or their perception of the project was a plan like this with all these buffers and all these protections and all these requirements is better than what it could be. And that's our goal. Yes, we're asking for a little higher density. We need a few more units. Not everybody wants to live on a half acre or an acre. You know,

we're meeting the demand that's out there. But in order to do that we can give up some areas around the outside and preserve it.

One other thing that the community brought up. And to be honest, I hadn't thought about this, was we're worried about student housing. We're worried that this gets rented by students. It's not that far from Clemson, especially on the town homes, might be very attractive. My developer doesn't want that. There's some fair housing rules. There's some legal issues we have to work through. But he's committed to do what he can under the law to prevent student housing from being in this development.

I believe that's all the points. I sent you all some information earlier today. You've all got my contact information. If there's some way to do that. I will say we've had a hard time reaching residents. We weren't able to reach anyone on William Walker Road for our meeting. I just didn't have that contact information and wasn't able to get it to them. So and I'm not sure if they could do a sign-up or give it to staff. My company name is all over these plans. I will return a phone call. I will return email. I'd love to sit down and talk. But before we have our next meeting, I commit to you to sit down and listen and help them understand the advantages of a plan like this versus what it could be.

TOMMY DUNN: Thank you.

JAMIE MCCUTCHEN: Thank you very

much.

TOMMY DUNN: Next. ANNA FOSTER: Hi. 1

ANNA FOSTER: Hi. I'm Anna Foster from District 4. I live on Tivoli Drive, which is located directly across from the property that extends onto Burns Bridge Road.

For many years there has been safety issues at the Intersection of 187 and Wild Hog Road where Burns Bridge Road is. There are accidents that have happened. And there's been some serious accidents when you try to pull out onto the road. There's actually a lot not currently, but usually there's plenty of high schoolers that drive on that road. And my main concern is when you're putting all the turning lanes and three lanes and making it all different like there's a whole lot of high schoolers that do drive on that road. And I think we should take that into consideration. I just can't imagine what it would be like if the road becomes even more busy.

Now also a thing to take into consideration is when it floods there are two main issues with this area in

traveling to and from Wild Hog Road. The main issues with flooding have to do with low-lying areas near this property. My neighborhood is known for flooding and it is directly across the road.

But the major concern would be travel. When going to and from Wild Hog Road, there are two bridges that sometimes hinder your travel during certain weather conditions. These two bridges are located on Burns Bridge Road and Centerville Road. When there is a lot of rain Centerville Road bridge turns into a river itself and is impassable. The bridge on Burns Bridge Road is completely impassable when there is a tiny bit of ice because it is in a shaded area.

My point is that by adding over four hundred residential homes/commercial spaces, you will be adding way more than four hundred additional commuters, which creates many more safety issues involving traffic.

Our community does not want Wild Hog Road to become a three-lane road with turning lanes and congestion.

I completely understand the concept of wanting to see our county grow. But if a developer can create an over-filled neighborhood, it will lead to a perilous environment. There will be more crime, issues with School District 4 being able to facilitate additional children, traffic hazards and mainly an invasion of privacy to current residents.

We love our community just the way that it is. That is why we choose to call it home. Rezoning would be a mistake because when all of us current landowners bought our land we were under the impression that it would remain a safe haven to retreat to. But now it is being pushed to the brink by developers who are not even from here and do not understand the significance of the land to us.

For heaven sake, I must say I have a question for the developer and for everyone in this room. Why on earth would you dream of putting four hundred plus residential homes, commercial spaces on a hundred and forty-one acres of land in this particular location? I mean the road is named Wild Hog for a reason. And I bet the developer has no clue where the name came from. But we all do. They used to transport hogs to Portman Marina to be shipped off. There was a wreck and some of them fell out of the truck. Until this day everybody says those hogs are still running loose somewhere in them woods.

This Cornerstone development is not the ideal development for our area. But ultimately if they want to develop, it will be done. But what I ask of you as the council is to deny their rezoning request so that

at least it will limit their capacity of development.

Thank you for your time. And I greatly appreciate everything that you all do.

TOMMY DUNN:

Thank you. Next,

please.

MICHAEL WHITAKER: My name is Michael Whitaker from Anderson, South Carolina. I'm actually here to speak on behalf of something else, but I wasn't even aware of this issue. I live off of 187 and Centerville Road and I was not addressed in it. I was never informed of anything. My home is a permanent foundation. I urge you to give us some time to talk about what's going on because that traffic — the road is not situated for two hundred and fifty homes.

I'd have to research it. Like I said, I definitely want the community to hear more about this because I have heard nothing about this. So that's what I've got to say. Thank you.

TOMMY DUNN:

BEN DOBSON:

My name is Ben

Dobson, in Anderson County, District 4, Fants Grove

Road. I just would like to say -- express our concern

with the proposed rezoning of the property located at

Highway 187 and Fants Grove Road and the rezoning of

Highway 187 and Burns Bridge Road. My wife and I, with

our two daughters, live right down the road. My

biggest concern is the traffic increase. Traffic is

already bad enough with people coming off of 85 are

using Fants Grove Road as a cut-through road to Clemson

University.

We have only lived in this community for the last year and a half and have already had to replace about two hundred yards of fencing due to somebody driving way too fast, losing control and driving through our entrance gate and down the fence line at two thirty in the morning. Thankfully our horses did not get hurt or get out and get hit on the road. You can still see the black marks on the pavement from him sliding around the curve.

People also use this road as a racetrack to test out how fast their sports cars and motorcycles can go. There's also been several deaths in the Fants Grove area and that's with less than ten residence houses there now. The speeding is -- traffic down our road makes me nervous having two kids playing around the farm. I can't imagine having more traffic down our road, bringing more speeders, being late for work or hurrying home to their families, not thinking of the houses and the kids they're passing from the development of the roads rezoning application.

This is also worrying, considering there is a proposed entrance to the development on our road. We are also concerned with the development at 187 and Burns Bridge Road for the same reasons; the traffic. There are regular wrecks and accidents on 187 and the road is already busy. It's plenty busy with the amount of people who live and travel down 187 without the addition of well over two hundred and fifty houses — proposed houses and several businesses added to that.

As a local in this community I can say every neighbor I talked to said the same thing. We do not want more development in our area. We like the small town feel. We like living there for that reason, for the country setting. That is why me and my wife, we moved to that area for that reason because we liked the local setting. There wasn't, you know, a ton of houses. It was more farmland, and that's why we bought our farm. I thought this was a great area to raise my kids in, and I hope y'all will consider the locals' desires for our small community and reject the forward movement of the rezoning application. Thank y'all.

TOMMY DUNN: CONNIE PAUL:

Thank you. Next. My name is Connie

Paul. I'm from the Anderson County area off the Centerville Road area. I support the young man that was just standing here and everybody that lives in that area. But as being from the Centerville area, we'll trade y'all for the RVs on wheels.

# **APPLAUSE**

TOMMY DUNN:

BRIAN CULBERTSON:

District 4. I live on Paul Miller Road. We're about a mile away from this development. I'm new to the area. We've been here about a year. We searched for six years to find an area to live around Lake Hartwell and we chose this area mostly because of the people. And a lot of these people have spoke tonight already and a lot more probably will.

But the big problem that we're going to open up here is not just this development. But as soon as you allow this development how many other acre lots are free to be sold off. And the same thing could repeat itself.

My wife and I are from Columbus, Ohio. The reason we came down here is because Anderson County reminds us of Columbus, Ohio in the seventies. Columbus, Ohio went through the same thing that you all are going through right now. Business is beating your doors down to be here because of the quality of life here. Don't let it get ahead of you. If it will you'll end up like

Columbus. We're so happy to be down here now because with COVID and the riots, we watched our families back in Columbus get drug through this and we didn't suffer from it. And we didn't suffer because Anderson is the way it is. Make sure you pay attention to what happens going into the future now.

One development isn't a big thing. The developer is already willing to make sacrifices because this is a great area. The problem is what happens when it's fifteen developments on 187? And everybody knows there's room to put in fifteen of these communities on 187. There's probably room to put twenty. Once you allow one, how long is it going to be before there's going to be fifteen? Thank you all.

TOMMY DUNN:

CHRIS HEELWAGEN:

Good evening. My

name is Chris Heelwagen. I live on Indian Trail about

a mile from the proposed rezoning on Highway 187, right

smack in the middle of it. I'm here to voice my

preference to keep the R-20 zoning similar to what

council has been hearing so far.

Several reasons. You know, first I chose to live here for the rural nature of the area. Been here since '94. I worked in Greenville. I had to drive an hour and a half, two hours round trip each day for my job. Why? To get away from the craziness in Greenville. I don't want it. I didn't want it. If I wanted to live in a high density area I could have easily lived up that way. I could have picked locations off of Exit 27, 21, 19. I chose to live here for the rural quiet nature of the area.

There's a couple of particulars that I'm not happy with in the proposal. I really don't want to see homes more dense that what R-20 currently allows. I would take two hundred and thirty-five slab-type double wide homes over four hundred and some homes in that same area. And I absolutely don't want to see commercial property put out into this highway. I'm real concerned that if that happens it's just going to open the door and Highway 187 is going to become the next Clemson Boulevard.

The second point to the council, Brett Sanders, sir, is that you? You and I had a very nice conversation yesterday. I appreciate your time. And I understand what you're trying to do to encourage discussion on the topic. You know, while I'm still against the proposals, I just want to make sure the council, if in fact it's decided to pass it tonight, to allow further discussions, let's make sure we're looking at who's paying for the long term side effects

that these developments cost.

There was some touching on traffic. Okay. Wear and tear on the roads, potholes, crime, schools filling up. You know, there's probably going to need to be school expansions. School buses. Who's going to pay for that? These developers, they're good at what they do. They get in and they get out of there quick and get those homes sold. Three to five years later it's the communities that are stuck having to deal with them. And a lot of times it falls on the taxpayers that didn't want it in the first place.

So please, make sure we're looking at all angles on any proposals, if in fact it gets put through tonight. Now, I've got to admit, personally I'm a little awkward standing here. Rich Bennett I think owns the property. Rich, you're here. Listen ---

TOMMY DUNN:

Sir, you're to talk

18 to me.

CHRIS HEELWAGEN: I'm sorry. Rich and I go back many years; okay? He and I have shared many a favorite beverage together. He's invited me into his home. Rich, I sincerely hope this works out for you. I hope you make good money on this. I really do. I'm just asking that it's done in the spirit of the way the community is set up today.

And on my fourth point, let's talk about the spirit. I know this came out in the Planning Commission meeting that I attended. You know, if you read the R-20 regulations in that first paragraph, it clearly states that the districts are intended to discourage any use which would be detrimental to the quiet residential nature of the area.

Council, I'm asking you as our elected officials to please, please preserve and protect the spirit of the laws in the area and keep Wild Hog Road from becoming the next Clemson Boulevard. Thank you for your time.

## **APPLAUSE**

TOMMY DUNN:

Next. Next.

Anyone else?

AUDREY BALDWIN: Good evening, council members. My name is Audrey Baldwin. I live off of Shadow Lane in District 4. Nice to meet you. This is the first council meeting I've been to. Thank you for your time tonight. I know you're working hard to make Anderson County a great place to live and work. I know that's very difficult. There's a lot of opportunity in Anderson County; even more than there is in Greenville County so I really think if we could plan things intentionally that would be important. So I have a few things that I would like to read to you.

My husband and I both live and work in the upstate. We very much would like to remain in Anderson County to raise a family and enjoy the area we currently reside in. As an employee at Clemson University, the proximity and character of the area under rural zoning regulations allows us to live in a highly desirable area and with a more rural feel. There is no doubt urban sprawl is happening across Anderson County and is happening on 187. AnMed even owns property on 187. I'm not sure if people are aware of that.

Residential development is not bad. However, development that completely changes the character of an area is. Respectfully, how does Anderson County intend to promote the development of sustainable and resilient communities if we do not enforce zoning and develop additional parameters for responsible land use.

I realize most developers have spreadsheets that calculate what is most profitable in regards to lot size and home value. And I do appreciate you taking in consideration of the community. Calculations like these do not take into account what is needed or wanted by citizens in the community.

Anderson County does not need to base decisions of long term planning on spreadsheet calculations focused on profit. Rezoning this property is about profit only. And four hundred and thirty-five is the magic number. We need to focus on the wants and needs of citizens in this community.

I'm specifically concerned about a few things. Quality of life for residents in densely rezoned areas. Will communities have recreation areas, sidewalks large enough for two people to pass on? Some of the developments at the beginning of 187 by Southern Homes, there are sidewalks but it's skimped. It's only on one side of the community. It doesn't go through the whole community.

Will there be roads wide enough for two cars to pass on? Will the developments have an HOA to keep up with community needs and aesthetics over time? A lot of times these HOAs for some of these cheap developments dissolve over time.

Will developers be held to the plans they presented, respectfully? Evidence from developments on Highway 187 by Southern Homes, one of the nation's largest home builders, would lead us to believe that these things are not important to developers nor the county when it comes to quality of life.

How many acres and areas of Anderson County are we going to allow irresponsible development to occur before a master development plan is in place,

specifically one over a twenty-year term.

I'm also specifically concerned about quality of residential structures and predatory home building. This needs to undergo further exploration. For example, in documents provided on the Southern Homes' website, the developer of Wren Point, Cherry Hill, Belvedere, Piper Glen, I know we've all seen it. And this is also not taking into account in the traffic studies. So that's something else we need to consider when we're talking about approval for this development.

Their website states their homes come with a one year limited warranty. A limited warranty. What does that say about quality and intention of homes introduced into this community? We need to work with developers, which I appreciate Davis & Floyd being here, to build sustainable communities that are not just for profit. Cities like Raleigh, Charlotte, Alpharetta can provide examples and frameworks for us to do this in Anderson County.

Additional concerns I have, impact on Fants Grove recreation area in close proximity to the property, how will that be impacted? Additional traffic on Highway 187? How will additional homes on Highway 187 impact the traffic flow, the load, wrecks. We have yet to see how current developments will impact traffic in this area.

I'm also concerned about degrading the character of the area. What will this area be like in fifteen to twenty years? Who will want to buy these homes? I will say my husband and I personally lived in a development that is like some of the developments that are proposed. After fifteen or twenty years these homes are not good. The electrical may go bad. And they're built on a slab, so they just throw them in there and throw them up.

Another concern that I have is lack of involvement from citizens and representative groups in the long term planning process for Anderson County. Clemson University, as well as other institutes, maybe churches, need to be involved in the development process for this area. There's no doubt 187 is going to be developed. But how we do it and the intentionality that we do it with is really important.

And lastly, I am concerned about an increase in taxes and I think that's probably to be expected in this area as people continue to move here.

I have a great concern about the overall long term development plan for Anderson County and if quality of life and long term sustainable development is being prioritized over profit. Predatory home building for

profit in the upstate has been detrimental. We're seeing the impact of this in Greenville County which in January 2020 approved a twenty-year long range development plan to help combat the impact of allowing developers to prioritize profit over quality of life for citizens.

Anderson County, to my knowledge, and from looking on searching the website has not approved a twenty-year long range development plan since 2016. I submit to you as a concerned citizen there needs to be more input from the community about future residential development in Anderson County. And input, two thousand feet is not a lot. So it really needs to be the whole community. We need to develop a comprehensive plan developed similar to that of Greenville County. Comprehensive research and involvement from various community stakeholders and adjacent counties, such as Pickens County, should be included. Input beyond these planning meetings and council meetings should be included in the process.

There are other communities who sit down at the table and intentionally develop areas to be best for the community and the needs of their county.

Predatory home building decreases the quality of life for residents like myself and also discourages healthy and sustained community development.

I would respectfully ask the council to deny the rezoning request. However, after hearing the statements, if it is yes, and it is going to be developed no matter what, then I think it's worth sitting down and discussing. However, if we're going to have lots that are only ten thousand square feet and that's all that's on the table, then I would say, say

I would also respectfully request that we put a six month moratorium on all future residential development in Anderson County until a comprehensive plan similar to that of Plan Greenville County Comprehensive Plan is completed. This is something that was a two-year process for Greenville. However, Anderson has so much potential for growth, even more so than Greenville County, that if we're not intentional about things, we're going to look like other places around the country that we might not want to look like.

So thank you, respectfully, for your time. I'm willing to be involved in any process related to, you know, speaking with developers or just being an involved citizen. My husband and I want to stay in Anderson County for the long term. So I hope you will hear these requests. Thank you for your time in

listening to my comments.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

TOMMY DUNN:

DANA PARKER: I am Dana Parker, Anderson District 4. I am opposed to the rezoning. feel as if high density housing is detrimental to our

Next.

It does not -- it's not cohesive to what we community.

already have in place.

When you drive down Wild Hog Road right now, you don't see high density housing. That kind of population added into what we already have, we can't -our infrastructure won't support it. I'm an educator in the school district and right now two hundred and forty new families into our district would overflow our school, in my opinion, of course.

But also I was part of the planning meeting with the developers and one of our concerns was definitely student housing. And they said legally they cannot promise that those town homes will not be bought by families and then rented out to college students, which would make Fants Grove Road a highway straight to Clemson. And it would just -- the traffic on that road would be intense. Already it's high. And we use that road because that's also where T. Ed Garrison has a large equine facility and miles and miles of trails. So that's -- there are trailers with horses and people riding along those roads and walking along those roads. I know the ROTC uses that land also for training purposes and they have recruits out all over that land, as well. And increasing the traffic on that road would not be beneficial for our community.

Also, at the meeting we asked to follow the topography of the land because that's beautiful land where they want to developed. And it's also -- I believe what we said was one of the highest points in Anderson County. When you're sitting up there you can see straight to the mountains. It's stunning. absolutely gorgeous. And when a developer goes in a lot of times they look at all of that and they just flatten things out and put in as much as they can. And that's something that wasn't really addressed in the other thing and they were just -- it wasn't addressed.

But I think the biggest thing is that we really don't want college students -- college dorm type housing in those townhouses right there in our rural community.

And so I oppose the restructuring -- rezoning. Two hundred forty homes I would take over four hundred That's just too many new families. Thank you. homes. TOMMY DUNN: Next, please. Come This fellow back here -- okay. Come on. on up.

LANE OWEN: Good afternoon, council members. I appreciate your time. I live -- my name is Lane Owens and I live in District 4 off of Indian Trail that's about a mile from the development.

If anyone has seen Clemson Boulevard and seen I-85, the traffic that comes from Atlanta going north goes down 187. Four lane interstate, four lane highway, funnel through a two lane road. So that's the current standing. And let's not talk about game day, coming and leaving. All the traffic going toward Atlanta goes down 187. There's a Clemson RV park already up there at Clemson Boulevard and 187. We've got -- council members, you have a responsibility to manage the development of Anderson County.

The county to our north has experienced explosive growth. They haven't got a handle on their growth. They're trying to. The city of Clemson is experiencing explosive growth. Greenville County to the east is growing toward Anderson. We're backed up against the state line. We need a master plan. High density housing is not a good place on 187 to do at the moment. We need a master plan to develop the county and make it the jewel that it's going to be, and that responsibility falls on you.

And I will support you in any way that I can. And I'm sure we will support you in any way that we can to make a community development something that helps the existing community, that works with the existing community, to make the entire county a better place to live. Thank you.

TOMMY DUNN: Thank you. Next. GRAYSON BUCKNER: Grayson Buckner, District 4.

I didn't do part of my homework to find out how much was paid for this land that we're talking about developing. I'm sure that this is all about money. Somebody bought something and he's allowed to build two and a half houses per acre and now he wants to put fifty foot wide lots. You just saw a presentation of the changes that he's tried to make to help get this thing through, but all I saw back there was a blank piece of paper. I don't know if anybody else could see through.

If he can't get a way to get the people -- he wants to talk to the people to find out -- make this thing compatible, but right now the zoning that's there was dictated and went through the process and it was approved. And everybody that lives around there is living with that zoning.

So basically the people that live there are the

ones that are going to have to enjoy or take this change. So a fifty foot lot -- if somebody buys a lot -- I don't know if you've noticed or if you've gone on the area, on 187 where it hits 76 there's all kinds of storage units going up. The reason you have storage units is the houses aren't big enough for people to store their junk. There's no basements. You can't do much of anything on a lot that's fifty foot wide stuck down in a corner.

There was a picture that showed that in order for him to -- for this company to put this before you, you had to put signs up. So there are some signs out on 187. But if you're going fifty-five miles an hour with the traffic that's on there, you go by and it looks like a realtor sign. You can't stop and read it without getting run over. But like I said he filled the squares to do what he had to do to make this presentation.

Two weeks ago I was up in Walhalla and I needed to go to a store by the post office. I went through thirty-one traffic lights to get there. And now you're going to take 187 and after we have the obligatory crashes, then they're going to put up another light because that's the only way that we can get people to go from A to B. The more we get congested, the more traffic lights and the further away you're pushing people from where they were.

So if he wants to get together with the community I think that rather than us doing it, it's his responsibility to figure out how to get the message out. Nobody in the neighborhood that I live in knew what was going on because we're ignorant and so far to get these messages that are trying to be pushed on us. So you guys have got a real problem here. Good luck. I'm glad I'm not sitting up there.

TOMMY DUNN: Anyone else?

Anyone?

MATHERINE FARRAH: Hello council members. My name is Katherine Farrah. I spoke earlier. And I just wasn't aware of the choices that Mr. Sanders brought forward at the beginning of this. And so if the choice is just yes to give the developer to change the zoning -- to rezone the map or to not, I hope that you will choose to not.

Because if we say yes -- if you all choose to say yes to the developer with the caveat of input, that's what I'm concerned about. They can hear what you all will say, but do they have to accept that. You know, if you say yes and then say but, you know, that's what I'm concerned about. Is saying yes to the developer

2.7

for those four hundred plus homes versus what is available at this point which is about two hundred homes, which is a lot. And I've lived here for a long time, as I mentioned earlier, but I'm concerned about the changing of the landscape.

So it's saying yes to the developer I'm concerned about and then saying but now you need to listen to us. That's what I'm concerned about.

And I'm also concerned about once the land is rezoned there, then it seems like it would be easy to rezone the rest of the farm land around on 187 for commercial purposes and also this high density housing.

Thank you for listening. I know it's been a long night and I'm sorry I, at the last minute, jumped up when you thought it was all over.

TOMMY DUNN: Yes, ma'am.

KATHERINE FARRAH: So thank you.

TOMMY DUNN: Next.

SANDRA COFFMAN: Good evening,

Council. Thank you for hearing us out tonight. I appreciate your time and effort. My name is Sandra Coffman. I am the owner of Split Creek Farm located at 3806 Centerville Road. Our property and our farm basically backed up to this development. There is some standoff, so we do not share a property line as best I know.

All of that being said, back in April I did communicate with the developers after I had heard about the plans coming out for a PD. I initiated contact there, folks. We didn't receive a card. We're right around the corner. Nor did the owner of the property, the business, whatever. So I initiated contact at that point with the pure idea of safety of my animals in mind and what would happen if potentially four hundred and thirty-five homes, all of those families, were abutting to my farm, which has been in existence for forty years.

I'm relatively new to the area. I'm very committed to the agricultural aspect of this community, what exists now, which is a declining thing as put in your own comprehensive report, which someone had referenced from 2016. It is a declining industry, which I really am holding on to with my fingertips right now to keep our foothold there.

When we talk about this particular community and this particular location, I share all of the concerns that have been brought forward; the traffic, the taxes, the school problems, the need for public security, the need for pubic spaces, all of those things. I am completely on board with it.

My problem with a planned development is simply this, and I'm going to read it from the context of the website. And it says a planned development, encourage innovative and creative design -- I'm all for that -- of mixed use developments to permit a greater amount of flexibility to the developer by removing some of the restrictions of conventional zoning.

That is the problem that is standing here before you. Is that we are removing -- by making this a planned development, we are losing the voice of all of these people. Because all of that flexibility goes over to the developer. Because all the conventional zoning is out the window once we agree to that.

And I think that is really the crux of where we I stood in front of you guys or in front in the Planning Committee back in 2019 when this same development came up, and it was being brought forward as an R-A zoning. We stood up and we said no. Do not do that. All we -- and it was withdrawn and I do have the paperwork here where the committee had said it was not compatible with the character of That was in 2019. the area. It was not compatible with the character of the area. Your committee heard us a few weeks ago and their recommendation was, again, it as not comparable with the surrounding areas. they added the use and value of the surrounding properties and the compatibility with density levels as the basis for denial.

When you look at the plan that sits before us, it is not a R-A zoning. It is worse than that. It's far worse than that. We stood up and we said, please don't put homes on eight thousand square feet. This plan that is before you tonight, regardless of what has been said about a chance before, says in zone one they're going to put three units per acre on six thousand square foot lots. In zone two, three units per acre on six thousand square foot lots. In zone three, eight units per acre on six thousand square foot lots. Commercial use. And then 4.5 units per acre on six thousand square feet.

We've not moved ahead since it was withdrawn last year. We've simply put a shiny wrapper on it and called it a PD and put the same thing forward, even worse now. We've in a worse predicament than we were before. Listening to the developer say I'm going to go out; I want to talk to the community; I want to hear. It was April of this year and that communication was initiated by me to the developer over concerns over my animals. There was no outreach done. None, in a year's time. What came forward was a plan. And we

were all -- thankfully somebody shared a website and I was able to review it. So nothing has been done.

And since the last meeting the owner, developer, I'm not even sure what his role is in this, has been quite eager to speak to me. He has come to my farm unannounced, uninvited. I've returned phone calls and said please don't show up. I'm not interested in the meeting. I responded to an email requesting a meeting, saying I'm not interested in talking about what is proposed. My concern remains the barrier and standoff of my farm. But as an owner in that area, a minority business owner in this community, I have very, very big concerns about what's about to happen.

The thought and idea that there is only two hundred and forty homes going to be put out there, which is something that I read on Facebook that has been, you know, touted, oh it's going to be two units per acre. That's not true. That's not true. This proposal before you calls for four hundred and thirty-five residential lots. Not two hundred and forty. It calls for homes being built on six thousand square feet. It talks about a twelve hundred square foot home that is two to three bedrooms. And it's like someone else had said before, a one-year warranty. But I can't do lip service. I do what's in writing.

And what's before this board right now is not the solution. It is not amenable to the community. does not comport with what the surrounding community has. And someone had said before, it's only a matter of time before more creep in. Well, they were going to flip the scale over, guys. Then it will be all cookie cutter planned developments. We're talking about a cookie cutter planned development right now, folks. We're not talking about something that is innovative. We're not talking about something that is progressive, that serves to put Anderson County above the curve when it comes to our creativity and our out-of-the-box This is none of that. This is a cookie thinking. cutter planned development scheme. There's no talk of homeowner association, architectural review; none of that stuff. It's a cookie cutter throw up some houses, saturate the density. That's what this is.

For me, as a resident of Anderson County, I would be proud -- in fact I would champion it to the top of the world if this community would take this opportunity to do something that comported more with the surrounding area. This is a great opportunity for someone to come in and say you know what, we need to build a sustainable community. I'm not a tree hugger, folks, and I'm not. I'm a goat farmer. Okay. That's

what I do. I love my animals; I love nature. I love Anderson County because I can drive down the road and see a field and I can see somebody cutting it. I love the fact that I can source my hay locally versus having it trucked in from Georgia, another state. And God only knows at what expense. Okay? I like that I can do those things. I like that those things exist here and I have that opportunity. I do. But I'm not a tree hugger.

But I do understand sustainability. I also understand that the trend of the world now is about -- surely during this pandemic is about how can I survive with my food sources cut? You know, we've seen this. We are seeing it. We don't know how we're going to come out of this. And to take away land and space right now to put a bunch of houses in such a volatile time for this country, for this world as a matter of fact, is just outlandish to me. It truly is.

Let's look at something different. Deny this proposal tonight. Stop it right here. Have the developers and the landowner really put in the due diligence to talk to the community. Present something to us that talks about solar lighting; talks about agricultural opportunities; talks about a Farmer's Market, an open air environment; something that will support programs in this community. The FFA, 4H, all of those things. We don't even have those in this community. Find a resource. And put this county on the map for doing something that is forward thinking, out of the box, contemporary, grass roots and in compliance with what we are doing as a country.

### **APPLAUSE**

TOMMY DUNN: Let's hold it down, Let's wrap it up. please. SANDRA COFFMAN: So I digress. point is I'm very passionate about this. I appreciate your time. I appreciate your effort. I am open to talking about this with the developer when I am not farming my land from five o'clock in the morning until ten o'clock at night. When I'm not doing that I'm happy to talk or to have this group of people get together and sit down. But I am not happy with the proposal that sits before you tonight. I adamantly oppose it. And I hope that you will stop it here and we can come back in a year and talk about it again. Thank you.

### APPLAUSE

TOMMY DUNN:

TRINA DAVIS:

Next.

My name is Trina

Davis and I'm in District 4. And I think I texted with

you back and forth about the possibility of this meeting -- this being heard in another meeting.

My question to you is if R-20 was good enough in the past why are you willing to say yes and listen to the developer instead of voting no and listening to the people? We don't want the planned development. We want our -- you keep -- you mentioned a couple of times the two hundred and forty mobile homes. Kind of sounds like you're against mobile home, and that's a little bit prejudice and I have a problem with that. I started out my life in a mobile home and a mobile home is a fine place to live.

But when you're willing to listen to him over us because of two hundred and forty -- the possibility of mobile homes versus four hundred and thirty-five homes and townhomes and all that, then there's a difference in what you're willing to represent.

So you do have two choices; to listen to him or to listen to us. Thank you.

### **APPLAUSE**

TOMMY DUNN:

RICHARD BENNETT:

Richard Bennett. I live at 307 Compass Point. I represent my son who owns the property. I've lived in this community; my father as well and my mother. I went to Pendleton High School and my son is in District 4 now. I am the applicant. This is our property, I guess you call it.

I have -- I did try to reach out, just to comment on that, at eleven o'clock. I had a meeting per her but didn't show up. But what I'm saying in general we want to reach out to the community. I've tried to reach out. I've been -- owned a lot of property. I've developed a lot of property in this area pretty much my whole life. I had staff recommendation at the start of the thing. I join a plastic ejection molding plant on one side, a commercial gas station across the street, and I have some mobile homes on one side, high tension power lines on the other. There's some complex things going on here, more than just residential farms. Mostly our zoning out here is R-20.

I think there's approximately a hundred and twenty million dollar investment there. That's three hundred thousand dollar average house cost, which is probably higher than some of the surrounding area, which they're not asking for any money. I know we gave money away for different project. A hundred and twenty million dollars with about a two hundred million dollar impact for the sewer, which is ten percent I think sewer, eighteen million dollars. They'll pay an impact fee of about two million dollars just to join the sewer.

There should be property taxes of about a million and a half to two million dollars a year off them houses. And then a million of that — at least a million which is probably seventy percent and a half or so would go straight to the school district. The two hundred and forty houses would have no green space and then they wouldn't allow us to have the restrictions, the roads, the accesses, restriction buffers, amenities, club house, things like that. That wouldn't probably go in the neighborhood. We would just cut it a hundred percent up. It is zoned R-20 now.

The reason why we're asking for it is the sewer. I think the county has done a great job with economic development. The reason all this has happened is because of the job growth. That's driving the residential housing. The residential housing will support the businesses, restaurants, etcetera, of the people moving here.

That is kind of how I see -- there's two hundred thousand people in Anderson County. And I think that's what's best for Anderson County. There's schools, income. I heard about bridges, potholes, no turn lanes. All this has to be by somebody. That's the cost of growing. And I think we put sewer on 187 which we spent eighteen million dollars to do. There's no doubt anywhere in the United States where you sewer you have growth behind it. It's pretty consistent.

Like I said, I'd like to meet with the people and discussion like no access on William Walker Road. I think that's an advantage to them. And some buffers which do not have access. But I think we need that time to discuss it. I'm asking for y'all vote to pass it on first reading. I've been here before. I think we could sit down with the community and come up with something that's good for everybody. That's what I'd ask for county council to do. Thank you.

TOMMY DUNN: Thank you. Anyone

else? Anyone at all?

JOHN MCCANNON: Good evening. My name is John McCannon. I'm a proud Clemson graduate very recently. And I'm also a proud person to be educating in Anderson County starting next fall.

With that in mind, thinking about education and the issues that are presented here with this rezoning ordinance, I felt the need to educate myself. So what I did is I actually dove into the purpose and the means and the intents behind the zoning of R-20. I looked into it. I looked into -- on our county website, what does it say this is used for? Why is it important? And what I found is that R-20 is intended to protect

and maintain the quiet and peaceful character of a community. And also to discourage anything to disrupt 3 that. I've gotten a lot of changes to grow and learn 4 and really love the community that's around this area 5 we're looking at rezoning today. When I look at the 6 community, when I look at the character that we see 7 here displayed tonight, it's pretty awesome. 8 And frankly I see the choice to rezone from R-20, 9 which as we've had pointed out will still provide homes 10 in that area, to this planned development, is going to 11 be an affront to the character of the community. And I 12 know we've said that tonight. And I don't have a whole 13 lot more to say. But I truly believe that in the 14 interest of the character and the purpose and the 15 intent of what we've already established here in Anderson County about R-20 zoning, we should keep this 16 17 zoning the way it is and not rezone to planned 18 development. 19 Thank y'all for your time. It's good to talk 20 tonight. 21 TOMMY DUNN: Thank you. Anyone 22 else? 23 **APPLAUSE** 24 TOMMY DUNN: Public hearing will 25 be closed. Be moving on. Do we have a motion to move 26 forward? 27 BRETT SANDERS: So moved. 28 TOMMY DUNN: What's your motion, 29 Mr. Sanders? What's your motion? BRETT SANDERS: 30 My motion is to 31 pass on first reading to allow the community to sit 32 down. Some of the issues that's come up and the people 33 have addresses is the same issues that we need to sit 34 down with the engineer with. I think it's the common 35 sense thing. I want -- I don't want to see two hundred and forty houses with no buffers, nothing there. I'd 36 37 rather see the farmland there, to be honest with you. But if I'm going to have an opportunity in my community 38 39 to speak with them or not do anything and have two 40 hundred and forty houses shoved down my throat, I want 41 the opportunity to sit down and talk with them eye to 42 eye. And that's what I'm putting forth. 43 TOMMY DUNN: Do we have a 44 second? 45 CINDY WILSON: Second. 46 TOMMY DUNN: Second Ms. Wilson. 47 Now discussion. 48 CINDY WILSON: May I? Ms. Wilson. Having grown up on 49 TOMMY DUNN:

CINDY WILSON:

```
the family working farm and continue trying to make the
 2
     place support itself, it breaks my heart to see family
 3
     farms and farmland around our county being busted up.
 4
     The more dense we pack people in, the less pleasant,
 5
     the less neighborly those communities become.
 6
         Now, while we have a zoning ordinance that pretty
 7
     much dictates what that place can be, I do want to
 8
     encourage everybody to participate in this process with
 9
     the developers and with Mr. Sanders. I'll be glad to
10
     pitch in and help, too. We have, in the county,
11
     brought in the eminent Mr. Randall Arendt who is a
12
     conservation development design expert worldwide.
13
     think he might be of some help here.
14
         I don't think anyone wants to see the usual of what
15
     we've seen the last few years of low value, high cost
16
     slab homes slapped together. I've seen a lot of those
17
     in my own community and we have a similar situation in
18
     my own district.
19
         I would encourage that we take advantage of this
20
     facility, the Civic Center, where we can manage
21
     sanitation and safe distancing and be able to come
22
     together to work some of these issues out.
23
         I'll be glad to help, Mr. Sanders.
24
              BRETT SANDERS:
                                          Thank you.
25
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                          Thank you.
26
              BRETT SANDERS:
                                         Mr. Chairman, I
27
     have one other thing.
28
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                          Yes, sir.
29
              BRETT SANDERS:
                                          Is there any way
30
     that we can get a list at the white table to where in
31
     the community can -- a pad where they can put their
32
     name and phone number?
33
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                          We'll get something
34
     somewhere. We'll get Mr. Burns and Ms. Hunter to work
35
     something out up here before we leave.
36
              BRETT SANDERS:
                                          Okay.
                                                 Thank you,
37
     sir.
38
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                          Anyone else?
39
              JIMMY DAVIS:
                                          Mr. Chair.
40
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                          Mr. Davis.
41
              JIMMY DAVIS:
                                          Thank you, Mr.
42
     Chair. Thank you all for coming out and speaking your
43
     heart. I can tell you from representing a district
44
     that has one precinct out of many that's zoned, the
45
     rest of my district is unzoned, and that encompasses
46
     Piedmont and Powdersville, a little place that used to
47
     be a little dot on the map. Now there's thousands and
48
     thousands and thousands of homes being built. And no
49
     developer comes and asks for input. No developer comes
50
     and asks for, hey, can we sit down with the people
```

living around and see what fits best?

And while we struggle with the high density housing in District 6, I think you have an opportunity here to sit down because at the end of the day a landowner has a right to sell his property. That's a right. And I think when you have an opportunity to sit down with a developer and hear them out — this thing has got three readings it's got to go through. Unfortunately, in an unzoned area like my district, we don't get the opportunity. It goes before the Planning Commission and that's it.

So take the opportunity. If it's still the same then we have an opportunity to deny at the end. But you have an opportunity right now to have input into it. Later on you may not have that opportunity. So I'm just saying I think Councilman Sanders is right. We have an opportunity to sit down and hear what they have to say. And there's still two more readings to go. This is just the beginning of a long, long process.

So I just want to make sure that you understand this is a golden opportunity to sit down with an engineer and developer to hear them out. Because some places don't get that opportunity.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

TOMMY DUNN: Thank you. Anyone else? I just want to make a comment on a couple of things.

Number one, I've talked to Mr. Sanders about this. This is his district. Most of the time, more times than not, I'm going to go to whoever's district it's in because they represent the people. All Mr. Sanders, he's not trying to -- favoritism to the developer, what He wants to make sure all the citizens understand not. the pros and cons. And if the citizens don't want it, he ain't going to vote for it. We've talked about it. And if it's turned down on second reading, it's done. Don't get another thing. He's just trying to get you to understand what you do have. Somebody spoke a while ago about you've got nothing on a planned development. On a planned development there is rules you have to go It's in writing. It's recorded. And that's it. Versus on this other thing it's two hundred and forty houses and some traffic. Just to make sure everybody understands what it is.

The other thing I want to make sure everybody -- we have a master plan in the county. It was done in 2016 and it's tweaked and done up. And we'll be doing it again. But regardless of what the master plan says or not, state law, anybody has got a right to ask that

their property be -- or if they buy or stays in the family -- to ask for it to be reclassification. They've got that right. State law. Regardless.

You're not going to never get a thing -- you know they can't do that, come back and do that. That's the writing, that's part of it. And Anderson County is zoned by voting precincts. And we're going to try to do a little bit better job about notification. But you know there's only so much we can do on notification. There's sign up there. And somebody knowed something and found out, because I got plenty of phone calls and talked to people. I even had some phone calls -- the phone number that was on the sign wouldn't nobody answer it. And I called it myself twice to make sure it was working.

But I know Mr. Sanders, my bottom line is, ain't going to do nothing to the detriment of the community and that the community don't want.

Call for the question. All in favor of Mr.
Sanders' motion, show of hands. All opposed like sign.
I didn't see, Ms. Wilson, make sure how did you vote?

CINDY WILSON:

I voted to pass it on first reading, giving it ample time to work this through ---

TOMMY DUNN: It's unanimous. CINDY WILSON: --- and then

reevaluate.

TOMMY DUNN:

I just want to make y'all understand, there will be -- Mr. Sanders will head it up -- there will be -- we're in some trying things now within this virus. There will be community meetings. Someway or another to get it out, if we have to break it up in things, in that district. Get the word out. We're not going -- nothing is going to happen, second reading or not, council is not meeting no more in the month of July. So we've got time.

Nobody is trying to pull nothing over on nobody. Promise you that. Have no secret meetings.

We're going to move on now. Mr. Burns, can you come up with a way about signing up and getting people

RUSTY BURNS: The sign-up sheet is already on that white table and there's a pen there for any member of the community who would like to sign it.

TOMMY DUNN: Okay. Thank you. Moving on now to next item, resolutions. We have

Road acceptances into the county inventory. This will be District 6, Hunters Crossing Subdivision, be

Tracker Court and Scout Court. Do we have a motion to 2 put this on the floor? 3 JIMMY DAVIS: Mr. Chair, I make a 4 motion that we accept Tracker Court and Scout Court and 5 Hunters Crossing Subdivision into the county inventory. 6 TOMMY DUNN: We have Mr. Davis 7 and a second by Mr. Sanders. Now discussion. Mr. 8 Burns, I'm assuming all this meets all our 9 qualifications and recommendations? 10 **RUSTY BURNS:** All of those meet 11 county standards, Mr. Dunn. 12 TOMMY DUNN: Any more 13 discussion? All in favor of the motion show of hands. 14 All opposed like sign. Show the motion carries 15 unanimously. 16 We're going to move on now to item number 10, 17 report from the Public Safety Committee meeting held on 18 Thursday, July the 2nd. Mr. Graham is the chairman of 19 that committee. Again, he's out of town. Mr. Davis is 20 on that committee and will be handling his part. 21 Mr. Davis. 22 JIMMY DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. 23 Chair. We had a really good meeting on July 2nd for 24 the Public Safety Committee meeting. We approved the 25 previous three months meetings minutes. 26 Then we were discussing EMS actually putting county 27 employees in quick response vehicles, QRVs, that will 28 be manned by paramedics, not EMTs, but paramedics, and 29 this plan would be paid for by the respective services 30 that we contract with by the county. So in this 31 particular area we'll be working on a contract with 32 Priority and they will actually pay for the paramedics 33 in the QRVs, so there will be no cost to the county. 34 And what this will do is it will provide a higher level 35 of emergency response services to our citizens. And we 36 will actually have licensed and trained paramedics that 37 will be going to the sites to treat people and they can 38 make determinations and the best route. And this will 39 be an actual -- like I said before, it will be paid for 40 by the services in the areas that are being taken care 41 But the citizens will receive a higher level of 42 service. It's no cost to the county. And we have a 43 little bit greater control over what's going on in our 44 emergency services in our ambulatory services. 45 This will actually be -- even though it's being 46 paid for by the contracted services of the county, it 47 will actually help them out in the time that they're 48 seeing their call volume drastically drop. So, Mr. Chair, if I may, I would like to make a 49

motion to approve the recommendation from Public Safety

```
Committee to utilize QRVs manned by paramedics subject
 2
     to a contract to be negotiated by the County
 3
     Administrator and the county attorney as discussed and
 4
     approved by the Public Safety Committee. Further, the
 5
     County Administrator is authorized to sign the
 6
     Agreement.
                And I make this in the form of a motion.
 7
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                         Coming from the
 8
     Public Safety Committee, it doesn't need a second. Now
 9
     discussion. Any discussion?
         I want to thank -- I think this can be a great
10
11
     thing for Anderson County, and I think it's good.
12
     appreciate the hard work that our EMS staff has put on
13
     this, and the Public Safety Committee. So all in favor
14
     of the motion show of hands. All opposed like sign.
15
     Show the motion carries unanimously.
16
              JIMMY DAVIS:
                                          Later in the
17
     meeting we went on and talked about some radio needs
18
     that we deal with with our smaller municipalities
19
     within Anderson County. More specifically, the town of
20
     West Pelzer needed some radios to be in compliance and
21
     to have the equipment they need to provide the service
22
     for the constituents down there. We see this from time
23
     to time. It's really, from what I could gather, no
24
     cost to the county. But this has to be approved
25
     through Public Safety and then on to council.
26
     make a motion to provide, without cost, radio service
27
     to all municipalities within Anderson County as they
28
     see the need.
29
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                         That coming from
30
     the Public Safety Committee, Mr. ---
31
              JIMMY DAVIS:
                                         Yes, sir.
32
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                         Doesn't need a
33
     second. Any discussion? All in favor of the motion
34
     show of hands. All -- show the motion carries
35
     unanimously. Anything else, Mr. Davis?
36
              JIMMY DAVIS:
                                         No.
                                              Well, there's
37
     just a quick note. We did discuss concerning radios
38
     going forward in the future and we'll be getting more
39
     information on how we need to grow our radio system and
40
     any possible changes coming forward from that.
         And that's all I have, Mr. Chair.
41
42
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                         Thank you.
43
         At this time, indulge me, we're going to take a
44
     quick five minute break. We're going to be back.
45
     Listen to me. When we come back, I want everybody
46
     about six foot apart. If you ain't married the
47
     gentleman over there is going to separate you.
     I'm serious, six foot apart. We'll be back in five
48
49
     minutes.
```

BREAK

50

```
1
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                          ... two thousand
 2
     dollars to the American Legion building in Iva.
 3
     that in the form of a motion.
 4
              BRETT SANDERS:
                                          Second.
 5
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                          Second Mr. Sanders.
 6
     Any discussion? All in favor of the motion show of
 7
     hands. All opposed like sign. Show the motion carries
 8
     unanimously.
 9
         Moving on now Administrator's report.
10
              RUSTY BURNS:
                                          Nothing at this
11
     time, Mr. Chairman.
12
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                         Moving on now to
1.3
     item number 14, citizens comments. When Mr. Harmon
14
     calls your name, you've got three minutes and address
15
     the chair.
16
              LEON HARMON:
                                          Mr. Chairman,
17
     before we start there are a few names on here that I
18
     simply can't make out so I'll do the best I can with
19
     them. I apologize in advance if I can't read your
20
     name.
21
         First speaker, Rossi Meadows.
22
              ROSSI MEADOWS:
                                                  I'm Rossi
                                          Hello.
23
     Meadows. I'm from Taylors, South Carolina.
2.4
     speaking about the Confederate monument.
25
         I'm a sixth generation South Carolinian. I'm one
26
     of seventy million Americans that are descended from
27
     these Confederate soldiers. I'm a retired public
28
     school teacher and football coach and a voting citizen
     and not a paid protestor.
29
30
         I am honored to speak on behalf of the twenty-five
31
     thousand South Carolinians that died during this war.
32
     They were husbands, fathers, sons and brothers. Many
33
     from the Anderson area who died. If the war was today,
     with today's population number, it would equal 1.6
34
35
     million deaths just of South Carolinians. This same
36
     number of men returned home with missing arms and legs.
37
     A lot of those guys lived until the 1930s. Those
38
     wives, sisters, daughters, watched those men.
39
     were reminders of the humanity of how bad it was for
40
     these guys for the rest of their lives.
41
         After the war times were hard. It took sixteen
42
     years for the ladies of Anderson to raise the money to
43
     fund to build this monument that's out in front of the
44
     courthouse. This war memorial was dedicated to honor
45
     all sons that fought for the South. There were white,
     black, Native Americans, Hispanics and Jewish. They
46
```

Removing this war monument would be the same as moving a tombstone off of a grave. It's just wrong.

were in the army. They were sailors. And they were

47

48

49 50 marines.

1 Deuteronomy 19:14 says that thou shalt not remove thy 2 neighbor's landmarks. And Genesis 6:9 says judge men 3 by the standards of their time. There was only one 4 perfect man and he was Jesus. 5 History is history, warts and all. If we take down 6 Southern monuments, we must take down all U.S. 7 monuments. Let's use them all as teaching tools. 8 Heck, let's build some more. Only the Taliban destroys 9 sacred monuments and graves. Thank you for your 10 attention.

TOMMY DUNN: Next.

## APPLAUSE

TOMMY DUNN: Next. Please hold the applause down. This ain't a town hall meeting. Mr. Harmon.

LEON HARMON: Next speaker is

Judith Polson.

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

JUDITH POLSON: Hello. The Civil War memorials perpetuate racism. They glorify those who fought to preserve slavery as an institution in our country. I'm not proud of that. My relatives fought in this war. But they were wrong. The cause was wrong and the war was wrong. It was wrong to keep slaves. And fighting to keep people enslaved is not something we should be proud of. The Civil War was fought for that very reason. The statue says they were right. Well, they were not right. And at least take those words off the statue. Because you can't take the words off, they're written in stone. They're meant to be passed on to generation and generation and so glorified racism from generation to generation. We were right. White is right. Well, it was wrong then and it's wrong now. So take the statues down. That's what I say. And I think that's the right answer for us.

### **APPLAUSE**

TOMMY DUNN: Again. Mr. Harmon.
LEON HARMON: Next speaker is

Josh Smith.

TOMMY DUNN:

MALE:

TOMMY DUNN:

He's gone.

Move on.

LEON HARMON:

Michael Whi

LEON HARMON: Michael Whitaker.
MICHAEL WHITAKER: Yes. My name is
Michael Whitaker. I live in Anderson, South Carolina.

My reason for keeping the monument where it is is simple. Removing it will not fix any of the problems currently plaguing Anderson County. In the past several months countless monuments, cemeteries and museums have been viciously attacked. Many of those monuments that were destroyed had been placed in

1.3

2.4

 cemeteries from their original locations. Our monument could be no safer than where it is currently located. It was placed in its current location as a remembrance to those who did and did not survive that war. It is also a testimony to a time of brave and noble men. I had several Confederate ancestors that served in local regiments. Those same men helped Anderson grow to what it is now.

What we need to be addressing is the crime rate in Anderson County. Not monuments. The crime rate right now is at 44.9 percent versus the national average of 22.7 for a severe crime, which consists of a murder, rape and so on. Then there's also the Anderson property crime, which is 95.2. The national average is only 35.4. That's based on the scale of one to a hundred, a hundred being the worst. So in Anderson County we have a serious crime issue that needs to be addressed.

Explain to me how removing a monument that has been in place over a hundred years will fix any of these issues. Removing physical evidence of that time will not fix current issues.

And to address the monument itself, it does not say anything about slavery and it does not -- it says they were right, it would prove that they were right. But if you go to the front of the epithet, it actually -- it says they fought for their homes and their families. Thank you for your time.

TOMMY DUNN: Next.

### **APPLAUSE**

LEON HARMON: Next speaker Marcus

Griffis.

MARCUS GRIFFIS: My name is Marcus

Griffis. I'm from Fountain Inn.

To address the lady, the census of 1860 there were five million four hundred and forty-seven thousand two hundred and twenty white people in the eleven states that seceded. Of them three hundred and sixteen thousand six hundred and thirty-two were slave owners. That's 5.81 percent. Let's call it six because that's easy. What did the other ninety-four percent fight for?

TOMMY DUNN: Address the chair,

please.

MARCUS GRIFFIS: Most people in this state did not volunteer even when secession was passed. Not even after Fort Sumter was fired on. Only when Lincoln called for sixty thousand troops to line the North and South Carolina border did they start signing up to protect their homes and their property.

Auschwitz. Where 1.1 million people were killed stands today and it stands because the Jewish people stood up and said, keep it here. We want people to know what happened so that it doesn't happen again.

A little thing, history is not yours to like or dislike. It's yours to learn from. If it offends you, all the better because then you may not let it happen again. It is not for you to destroy or erase. It is all of ours. Thank you.

2.4

# 11 APPLAUSE

TOMMY DUNN: Next.

LEON HARMON: Next speaker, H.K.

Edgerton.

H.K. EDGERTON: Members of the council, Mr. Chair. My name is H.K. Edgerton. I am the proud son of the Honorable Reverend Roland Rogers Edgerton and Annabelle Edgerton, a native of Anderson, South Carolina, and the only black woman to ever receive a Confederate State Funeral and has a heritage award in her honor, Order of the Confederate Rose.

Excuse me, sir. I dropped my papers. I'd like to get them back in order.

TOMMY DUNN: Go ahead. H.K. EDGERTON: Thank you.

I speak today on behalf of the two and a half million Southern bondsmen, bondswomen, freed men and freed women who from 1861 to 1865 loyally served in support of the Confederate cause in however humble and noble a capacity. Without the untiring sweat of black men, the integrated Confederate Army would have quickly ground to a halt. Black men served as teamsters, cooks, blacksmiths, farriers, laborers, servants and in many cases of the close friend of the white men he accompanied. Many of these black auxiliaries were to prove their worth in combat. Even though by law they could not be compelled to fight and would not be legally allowed to enlist as soldiers until the last days of the war.

Most importantly, was the bond of love and affection between black and white that transcended the institution of slavery and is so incomprehensible to people up north. In cases too numerous to mention, friends, black and white, went off to war together, stand together the hardship of camp life, the comradery of Army life, the stress of campaigning, the excitement of battle, the agony of the hospital and the painful separation of death. Stories abound of faithful black friends and servants seeing to the comfort of their white friends' last moments on earth. And with

1.3

terrible countenance and broken hearts began the sometimes difficult and arduous task of obtaining proper burial for his friend and then bringing the painful news home.

Only love can explain such a bond. Fear of the mass cannot explain it. And our Northern friends dismissed it as so many fairytales. These Northerners missed a very important point. We are Southerners, too. By 1861, we had worked with white settlers for two centuries. South Carolina, Georgia, Tennessee, North Carolina was our home. The average black Confederate understood his duty as God gave him the might to perform it. He performed his duty without expectation of reward or promise of freedom, but knew that if he worked and struggled and fought hard for the Confederate cause as a loyal subject, the white people of the South would do right by him.

When Sherman marched to the sea, he destroyed black homes as well as white. Stole foodstuffs that would keep black children from starving, as well as white. His soldiers raped and killed women, black women, and forced loyal black men to volunteer for their army at bayonet point or more common to act as laborers so that white Yankees could sit on their backside.

Then came 1865, the complete collapse of the Confederacy, so-called freedom for the slaves ---

LEON HARMON:

Time, Mr. Chairman.

H.K. EDGERTON: --- and the beginning of a hundred and thirty-five years of deferred promises to African Americans under the stars and stripes. The white South was ready to do right by their former slaves. They accepted the facts of freedom and were prepared to make provisions for the new free men within the limits of an impoverished and devastated South.

But even though the Southern armies had surrendered, the North had not finished their conquest. They began a deliberate policy of poisoning the minds of the former slaves against their former masters. The bonds of love and affection were severely tried and in many cases sundered. The North spread anarchy and hatred through their secret black societies called the Loyal League. By the misrule of the carpetbag governments they spread corruption across the defeated South. They continued their deliberate economic boycott on the South until the mid Twentieth Century.

TOMMY DUNN:

I'm sorry, sir.

That's time. I give you a few more seconds because you dropped your pages. But that's good. Thank you.

**APPLAUSE** 

```
1
              H.K. EDGERTON:
                                         God bless you, sir,
 2
     and thank you very much.
 3
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                         Next, please.
 4
              LEON HARMON:
                                         Michael ...
 5
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                         Sorry. He can't
 6
     read. I don't know how he got through law school.
 7
     Michael Adams.
8
              MICHAEL ADAMS, JR.:
                                         Yes, Michael Adams,
     Jr., 2211 Hudson Road, Greer, South Carolina 29650.
 9
10
         I come here today to -- I stand in front of y'all
     today to show support for the Anderson Confederate
11
12
     Monument. This monument represents the Confederate
1.3
     veterans who served across the Anderson area. These
14
     men are veterans just like today's veterans who give
15
     the ultimate sacrifice for their country. Some come
16
     back; some don't make it back. If they do make it back
17
     home, some wounded mentally or wounded on the outside.
18
         Men of all backgrounds, race, received pensions
19
     after the war. If they were dead their wives would
20
     receive it.
21
         When I hear about monuments being torn down and
22
     being taken down, it breaks my heart because I
23
     personally have family members on monuments, as well,
24
     such as Camp Douglas in Chicago. My family had service
25
     in second North Carolina Company K was captured in 1862
26
     and some of my family members were killed in Chicago.
27
     There's a moment there called Camp Douglas to represent
28
     the Confederate veterans. The men are veterans and
29
     shall not be forgotten. Thank you.
30
                             APPLAUSE
31
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                         Thank you. Next.
32
              LEON HARMON:
                                         Angela Winbush
33
     Brown.
34
              ANGELA WINBUSH:
                                         Thank you, Mr.
35
     Councilman for letting me speak. Thank you all.
36
         I've live in Anderson County my whole life and love
37
     my country. I'm proud to be an American. And I know
38
     that that statue stands for hate and it is like a black
39
     cloud over Anderson County. So I am here to voice my
40
     opinion. Let's do -- let's take the statue down as an
41
     act of love for us here in Anderson County. Let's come
42
     together. Look at us. We've never been in this place.
43
     We all have on masks. God is trying to get our
     attention. Let's let some light shine on us, y'all.
44
45
     Take it down. Put it in a place where we can view it.
46
     And replace it with an American flag. Thank you.
47
                             APPLAUSE
48
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                         Next.
49
              LEON HARMON:
                                         Next speaker Hunter
50
     Meadows.
```

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

Hey, I'm Hunter. **HUNTER MEADOWS:** 2 Hey, people, ---3 TOMMY DUNN: Address the chair, 4 please. 5 **HUNTER MEADOWS:** --- we're still 6 The decedents of Confederate veterans are still here. 7 here. There's millions of them scattered throughout 8 the world. Especially in the region of their genesis, 9 Dixie, the Southern part of the United States. 10 Jamestown, Virginia was founded many years before 11 Plymouth Rock, Massachusetts. The South is the oldest 12 established region of America as we know it. 13 heritage is of explorers, pioneers, pilgrims, 14 trailblazers, discoverers and farmers. And this early 15 concept of American identity was forged by Southerners. George Washington was a Southerner. Thomas Jefferson 16 17 was a Southerner. Most of our founding fathers were 18 Southerners. Only after the defeat of the South in 19 1865 did our nation come under control of wealthy 20 Northeastern elites. 21 What we are witnessing today is the inevitable 22 fragmentation of what the Union forcefully amalgamated. 23 Many lives are propped up in an attempt to hold it all 24 together. One of them being that every Southerner 25 fought to keep slaves. Does anybody really believe my 26 poor ancestor was thinking about black people when he 27 marched into cannon fire? How ridiculous. My ancestor 28 marched into cannon fire because his homeland was 29 invaded by a renegade federal government. Because the 30 South lost the war, it cannot be responsible for the 31 condition of our nation today. 32 And for all these people claiming that these 33 memorials were put up to intimidate black people, you 34 are parroting unfounded false, fake lies. 35 Here's the truth. Years after the war when 36 reconstruction was over the South slowly started 37 recovering and Southern women began collecting pennies 38 to pay tribute to their men who never returned home 39

Here's the truth. Years after the war when reconstruction was over the South slowly started recovering and Southern women began collecting pennies to pay tribute to their men who never returned home from war. These people never got to say goodbye to their family. Never got to bury them. The fighting age male population of the South was decimated. Small towns were without men. And so women picked things up and funded memorials so their family could be honored. That's what these statues are. Memorials.

Do Southerners have a right to memorialize their ancestors? Why not? The South is the only region of the United States that understands defeat. Southerners suffered the greatest loss of life and treasure fighting for independence. Not even the American Revolution was that bloody. The war crimes committed

```
against the South are numerous. Mass murder, rape,
 2
     arson and theft are just a few short terms to describe
 3
     what went on. Southerners did not ask for reparations
 4
 5
              LEON HARMON:
                                          Time, Mr. Chairman.
 6
              HUNTER MEADOWS:
                                          --- nor did they
 7
     ask for an apology from the ---
 8
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                          Time.
                                                Next,
 9
     please.
10
              HUNTER MEADOWS:
                                          This is my last
     sentence.
11
12
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                          No, that's it.
13
     Time.
14
                             APPLAUSE
15
                                          Next name I simply
              LEON HARMON:
16
     cannot read. First name might be Ron. I'm not sure.
17
                                          Is Ron here? Ron.
              TOMMY DUNN:
18
     We'll get to it.
                       Go on to next.
19
              LEON HARMON:
                                          Next speaker is
20
     Tonya Winbush.
21
              TONYA WINBUSH:
                                          Hello. My name is
22
     Tonya Winbush, District 1, Craig Wooten. I spoke with
23
     John Wright, Jr. on Sunday, who will be taking your
24
     place very soon, and he agreed with me that the
25
     monument needs to be moved to a museum.
26
         And the only thing that I'm asking you all is I
27
     want the same courtesy that you all show to the Second
28
     Amendment citizens who are supportive of the Second
29
     Amendment. The law -- the redline laws are state laws.
30
     Therefore those laws, they carry more weight and
31
     authority. And the sanctuary people are saying, well,
32
     we want to be safe from those laws in case they come to
33
     the citizens of Anderson County.
34
         At the same right, the Heritage Act says that it
35
     takes authority from Anderson County when it comes to
36
     moving a monument, a Civil Rights monument. And so in
37
     that instance that's taken -- because the state has
     taken that right, I want an ordinance similar to the
38
39
     one, the resources and the gatherings, I want a
40
     meeting, I want help from the county council just like
41
     you all gave those citizens to the same equality and
42
     unity with all citizens being equal, having the same
43
     access when they have concerns, I want to have that
44
     same discussion in reference to moving this monument to
45
     a museum.
         I don't want to argue history because everybody see
46
47
     history through their own eyes. I can read a book that
48
     can debate and give history very different from what
49
     you just heard. I'm not here to debate that. All I
```

know is, slavery was in South Carolina. The KKK was in

South Carolina when I came back from the army to visit. In '94 or '95, the KKK was having a rally downtown Anderson around this monument. And if it didn't represent the KKK and the Confederate statue didn't represent the KKK, then why do they love it so much? don't care why. But there's a -- there is a distinct connection and relation and because we know it causes division, because we know that it is not a place of love and unity, I don't care how many scriptures you quote. We know what slavery was, what it did, and why it was here. And it is still a part of our existence today. You can still see the remnants of the division and the segregation even in Anderson, South Carolina when my mom as a little girl had to go to the black water fountain or the white water fountain. Not my grandmother, but my mother.

So there's time for a change. And we can come together in unity and talk or the same way that the citizens with the Second Amendment right propose when they came up here and they threatened and they told y'all, we're going to vote you out if you don't go with the world on the right side of history with this change, then I promise you, you won't be there very long. That's all.

### **APPLAUSE**

TOMMY DUNN: Next.
LEON HARMON: Next speaker is

Jamie Ashworth.

TOMMY DUNN: Jamie Ashworth.

30 Next.

LEON HARMON: Next speaker H.P.

Gravely.

TOMMY DUNN: H.T. Bradley.

Next.

LEON HARMON: Wayne Whitefield. WAYNE WHITEFIELD: My name is Wayne

Whitefield. I'm from Belton, South Carolina. It's good to be here tonight and thank you for allowing us to speak our mind.

I'm really tired of the South being used as the whipping post, our history being used as a whipping post by the rest of the country when it comes to racial issues. We see what's going on now in our country with all these statues coming down all over the place.

I want to point out a couple of things here that I think might be interesting. One fellow pointed out a while ago that there were twenty-five thousand lives lost by South Carolina. There's something I want you to know. South Carolina has a distinction. That distinction is that this state sacrificed more lives

per capita than any other state in the war, North or South. Did you know that? One in fourteen South Carolina males lost their life in that war. Sixty thousand South Carolinians marched off to war. Only twenty-five thousand came home.

There's a couple of public laws I'd like to quote here that gives you some legal ground to stand on when it comes to making some of these decisions. By an act of Congress in 1957, U.S. Public Law 85-425 Section 410, approved May 23, 1958. This law made all Confederate veterans equal to U.S. veterans and Union veterans. There's another one. Also U.S. Public Law 810 approved by the Seventeenth Congress, February 27, 1929, the War Department was directed to erect headstones and recognize Confederate grave sites as U.S. War grave sites.

So when someone desecrates, destroys or removes a monument which is a memorial he is removing a statue or a memorial to a U.S. Veteran.

And that's all I've got to say.

### **APPLAUSE**

TOMMY DUNN: Mr. Harmon, next.
LEON HARMON: Next speaker, Mary

Mills.

MARY MILLS: I'm Mary Mills and I live in District 1. I was born in Anderson, as were my parents. A couple of my grandparents were born in Anderson County and lived here all their lives, and also some of my great grandparents.

My great grandfather had a small farm on Belton Highway a little bit down from Forest Lawn Cemetery on the other side of the street and it was a small farm. He had it just to support his family and make a living and maybe there were a few other people that had some food that came from that farm. He was a Confederate soldier. And he did this to provide for his family. I'm sure at the time he thought it was the right thing to do. He was definitely not part of the decision for South Carolina to secede from the Union, but nevertheless this is where he found himself. And I'm sure that he felt that his -- the economic system of the South was threatened. And I'm sure this is what he had in mind when he signed up to be a Confederate soldier.

I don't see the statue as a symbol of hate. I see it as a symbol of love like the love that my great grandfather had for his family when he felt like it was the only thing he could do was to try to preserve his way of life here in the South.

So I'm in favor of keeping the statue the way it

is, a statue to commemorate the efforts of the Confederate soldiers. Thank you.

### **APPLAUSE**

TOMMY DUNN:
LEON HARMON:

LEON HARMON: William Brock. RAY BROCK: Mr. Chairman,

Next.

council members. I'm Ray Brock. I'm from Ms. Wilson's district. I've already spoken with you, Mr. Dunn, concerning this, and I enjoyed the conversation. Thanks for returning my phone call.

I would like to respectfully request that y'all keep the statue where it is, as it is. Not for myself, but for my ancestor Caleb M. Shaw, who was a private in Company K of the First South Carolina. He went on and enlisted in 1863. He was at Appomattox Courthouse with General Lee when he surrendered. And he was pardoned. Which means that in their terms, they had a term for it called -- all the Southerners called it swallowing the dog because they had to live with it and they had to swallow the dog all through reconstruction. And they had to swear allegiance. And it wasn't just a Union officer that they had to do it to. Any Union solider that came along could make them swear allegiance and swallow the dog repetitively. He was blessed to come home.

The statue was erected to memorialize those who didn't come home. Those who were buried in far away battle fields in mass graves with no marker, with no marker for their loved ones to go see. And I'm not going to argue the right and wrong of slavery. I admit it's wrong. It was wrong with the Muslims did it in biblical times. I'm not going to argue the ins and outs and rights and wrongs. It's history. That memorial is no different, as you have already been told and we've all been edified. The Confederate soldiers were accepted as American soldiers. No more different than those who went to Vietnam, those who went to World War II, those who went to World War I.

Now, if we tear down the monument to our Confederate dead, when is the day going to come when somebody who has German ancestry, Japanese ancestry, Korean ancestry, say that they are offended by a memorial that memorializes the dead from our ranks that died in a war on their soil? And that is where we're going to. That's the slope that we're fixing to take. And it won't just stop at this monument. About love, that comes between each person.

LEON HARMON: Time, Mr. Chairman. TOMMY DUNN: Thank you, Mr.

Brock.

```
1
              RAY BROCK:
                                          Thank you very
 2
            Thank you for your time.
     much.
 3
                             APPLAUSE
 4
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                          Next.
 5
              LEON HARMON:
                                          Anthony Arnold.
 6
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                          Anthony Arnold.
 7
     Next.
 8
              LEON HARMON:
                                          Buddy Compton.
 9
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                          Buddy Compton.
10
     Next.
11
              LEON HARMON:
                                         Mary McAbee.
12
              MARY MCABEE:
                                         Members of council,
13
     my name is Mary McAbee. I am a resident of county
14
     council District 4. And I'm here tonight to tell you a
15
     story. It's a story of a young farmer who in the
16
     spring of 1861 left his home, his wife, his four small
17
     children, and his state to go to war. He did not own a
18
     large plantation. He did not own a single slave. His
19
     state and other states and a new alliance of states
     were under attack. So this young farmer, Thomas Porter
20
21
     Cooper of the old Quaker Church Community near Hickory
22
     Tavern left South Carolina and marched to Virginia to
23
     protect his home and his family. Cooper rose to the
24
     rank of sergeant in Company C Third Battalion South
25
     Carolina Infantry CSA. His unit saw action in and
26
     around Richmond in 1861 and 1862. And in early
27
     September of 1862 his unit was moved to the small
28
     village of Sharpsburg, Maryland. There were several
29
     skirmishes between Federal and Confederate troops
30
     leading up to the Battle of Antietam on September the
     17th in 1862.
31
32
         Sergeant Cooper did not participate in that bloody
33
     battle. He had been wounded and captured in one of the
34
     earlier skirmishes. Held as a prisoner of war for
35
     almost two months, he was sent back to Richmond after a
36
     prisoner exchange. Still suffering from his wounds and
37
     already sick with pneumonia, Thomas Cooper was sent to
38
     one of the many Richmond hospitals. He died there
39
     November 28th, 1862 and was buried somewhere.
40
         His family was not with him when he died.
                            His children could not say
41
     could not comfort him.
42
     goodbye.
               There was no funeral. There was no ceremony.
43
     There was no marker. It took months for the news of
44
     his death to reach South Carolina. And so Thomas
45
     Cooper, like John Brown, lies a moldering in his grave,
     a grave unknown to his family to this very day.
46
47
         How do I know this story? I know this story
48
     because it is my personal story. Thomas Porter Cooper
49
     was my great grandfather.
```

I tell this story to stress the reasons to preserve

LEON HARMON:

and display our monuments. My great grandfather has no marker, no memorial, other than the ones like the Anderson County monument to the Confederate dead. It is important to preserve and display these monuments as monuments to the dead and to the past.

LEON HARMON: Time, Mr. Chairman.
MARY MCABEE: Thank you.
TOMMY DUNN: Thank you. Next,

Robert McCurry.

Mr. Harmon.

## **APPLAUSE**

ROBERT MCCURRY: Good evening, gentlemen. My name is Robert McCurry. I'm sixty-three years old. Lived here my whole life. I'm the second great grandson of a Confederate soldier that fought in several battles. He actually went into Gettysburg and they won the first battle. And he was there when they lost the second one.

When the First Brigade of the Southern Confederate veterans and primarily sharpshooters camp, monuments is currently protected by South Carolina Heritage Act, which was passed in 2000. The Heritage Act calls for two-thirds of vote in the South Carolina General Assembly before any monument can be altered or removed. The Heritage Act, all monuments, roads, buildings, named for any historic figure or historic event, while the county cannot act on this issue, it would be wise to remember that calling for action on the Heritage Act would bring all existing monuments, roads and buildings into potential controversy.

In 2004 the moving of the Anderson County Confederate Monument was brought forward and discussed at all -- at that time the issue was resolved, the monument remained and it's still in its original location.

You ever heard of the term to the victor goes the spoils? The victor was the Union, so they got to write the history books. That's why we have confusion today with history. That's why we have confusion today with slavery. The war was not started over slavery. Slavery came in to be an act of war to win the war. Mr. Lincoln said himself that he brought in slavery into the act to keep the foreign governments from giving the South military weapons. This was later in the war. The South was winning at the time.

Just keep in mind, history is not accurate as it's taught in schools. And I'm sorry that the teacher left that teaches the history because she's been teaching it wrong the whole time.

This is Stewart Jones of the South Carolina House

49

50

```
rights. For those that are arguing history monuments
     are the root of our problem, I urge them to realize
 3
     that individual responsibility is the capitalist of
     freedom. At some point people must stop blaming others
 5
     and realize that they as an individual are responsible
 6
     for their own destiny. Tearing down and defacing these
 7
     monuments are pure Marxist. What we see as happening
 8
 9
              LEON HARMON:
                                         Time, Mr. Chairman.
10
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                         Sir, I appreciate
11
     it, but it's time. Next.
12
                            APPLAUSE
13
              LEON HARMON:
                                         Reverend C.W. or
14
     G.W. Hicks.
15
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                         C.W. Hicks.
16
              C.W. HICKS:
                                         Good evening. And
17
     it is getting to be evening. I'm Reverend C.W. Hicks.
18
     I'm the pastor of Crossway Community Church in Sandy
19
     Springs. Under the direction of Brother Sanders, I
20
     enjoy a good district. But I've listened carefully to
21
     many things that's been said and I respect each one of
22
     those. But I take a different pathway.
23
         I look at an old rugged cross that was established
24
     for God's Son to come and look down the corridors of
25
     time and see us enslaved in sin and He died on the
26
     cross that we might be free. Free from that sin. You
27
     can't erase the history of our sin. But we learn from
28
     it. We can't erase the history of what's been done in
29
     the past. And these monuments, they stand as a
30
     reminder to encourage us to be thankful that we
31
     survived and it's time for us to move on.
32
         My suggestion, as our sister said take it down out
33
     of love. I'm saying leave it there in love and let it
34
     be and move forward to the blessings that God has for
35
     us all. Thank you for listening.
36
                            APPLAUSE
37
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                         Next, Mr. Harmon.
38
              LEON HARMON:
                                         Connie Paul.
39
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                         Connie Paul.
40
              CONNIE PAUL:
                                         I'd just like to
41
     say I'm in favor of taking the monument down because
42
     the monument means one thing to me or to my race of
43
     people than it means to others and their race of
44
     people.
45
         I have a definition here. A question is asked,
46
     what did the Confederates believe in? The Confederate
47
     States of America believed in several things that
```

differ from the views of the North. Much of the South

believed in the moral integrity of slavery. They

believed that American -- African Americans were

```
inferior to them. They were very protective of their
     right to own slaves. I'm in favor of taking the
 3
     monument down because we do not need a reminder of the
 4
     bad or the history of the bad. I agree we need to move
 5
     forward, but we don't need a reminder of slavery.
 6
                              APPLAUSE
 7
               TOMMY DUNN:
                                           Next, Mr. Harmon.
 8
               LEON HARMON:
                                           Carl Lund.
 9
               TOMMY DUNN:
                                           Who is that?
10
                                           Carl Lund.
               LEON HARMON:
11
               TOMMY DUNN:
                                           Carl Lund. Move
12
     on.
13
               LEON HARMON:
                                           M.M. Hullett.
14
                                          What's that?
               TOMMY DUNN:
15
               LEON HARMON:
                                          M.M. Hullett.
16
               TOMMY DUNN:
                                          M.M. Hullett.
17
     Next.
18
               LEON HARMON:
                                          James Allen.
19
               TOMMY DUNN:
                                           James Allen.
20
               LEON HARMON:
                                           Terry Allen.
21
     Elizabeth Fant.
22
               ELIZABETH FANT:
                                           Elizabeth Fant,
23
     District 3. So much has been said tonight, and I think
24
     everybody in their own way has been right. I'm
25
     sensitive to how people view what has happened, but I
26
     am also in agreement that the statue is just that, it's
27
     just to commemorate the deaths of those who died in the
28
     Confederate struggle. The North -- it was a war of
29
     Northern aggression. They wanted to stop the economics
30
     of the South.
31
          If you listened to Mark Levin last night, his
32
     program really explained all about slavery, slavery all
33
     over from Christian ages down through -- it's not just
     in America. Slavery is wrong. But slavery can not only be possession of somebody. Slavery can be slavery
34
35
36
     to taxes. Every one of you in here in this room is a
37
     slave. You don't own anything.
38
          I would like to see us move forward with love for
     each other, sensitivity, but we don't need to take any
39
40
     statue down. We don't need to take the Confederate
41
     statue down. We don't need to take Whitaker, who's
     just a few feet down. We don't need to start changing names of sports teams. We don't need to change Wade
42
43
44
     Hampton High School. None of that. It's history.
45
     It's history. Oh, well. Yes, oh, well. And once you
46
     start doing that in one place and you destroy history
47
     here and you destroy it there and you destroy it there,
48
     you become a Communist Marxist nation where nobody then
49
     knows what the history was. We need to know what our
```

history is and we need to learn from it and we need to

50

move forward and not be slaves to all of this garbage that's being fed to us to make us hate each other. 3 That's all it is. And we don't hate each other. We love each other. But we need to respect each other and 5 forget about all these things that are so -- they're 6 important maybe to you, one thing, but once you start 7 picking apart life, you've picked it apart down to 8 nothing but bones. 9 APPLAUSE 10 TOMMY DUNN: Mr. Harmon. 11 LEON HARMON: Next speaker, Brian 12 Malfris (phonics). Brandon Hawkins. 13 BRANDON HAWKINS: Brandon Hawkins. 14 First of all, I just want to thank you guys and Administrator Burns and our attorney. I think this is 15 kind of a subjective issue. It's kind of a waste of 16 17 time because the state already has something in place 18 called the Heritage Act. 19 And I'll keep it short and sweet. Those who do not 20 remember the past are doomed to repeat it. 21 APPLAUSE 22 TOMMY DUNN: Next, Mr. Harmon. 23 LEON HARMON: Carl J. Kelly. 24 TOMMY DUNN: Mr. Kelly. Mr. 25 Harmon. 26 LEON HARMON: That's all that are 27 signed up, Mr. Chairman. 28 TOMMY DUNN: Thank you. 29 Moving on now further on the agenda ---30 LEON HARMON: Mr. Chairman. You 31 have one person back there. 32 TOMMY DUNN: Yes, sir. You 33 wasn't on the list. I'm sorry, you have to sign up beforehand. Well, I'm sorry. That's just the way the 34 35 rules are. Been like that. 36 Moving on, remarks from council members. 37 Wilson. 38 CINDY WILSON: There we go. 39 Monuments mean different things to different people. 40 I'm for adding more monuments downtown. And I can 41 think of no better monument than to a person living today who's done so much for this community. She's a 42 43 highly educated lady. She has been on Anderson City 44 Council I guess for thirty or forty years. She raised 45 up the Westside Center. She's done a lot in the 46 community there. 47 But I remember when the previous council and 48 administrator were so hateful and cruel to me in front

of my young daughter at a meeting, Dr. Bee Thompson and

her late husband invited me to come sit with them. So

she has touched my heart and she's touched a lot of hearts in this community. I can think of no one better to honor than Dr. Bee Thompson.

## **APPLAUSE**

CINDY WILSON: The monument that's there now, that represents folks who died. My ancestors came down the Appalachian trail in the late seventeen hundreds and settled here. They were subsistence farmers. They didn't think well of slavery. They slaved themselves. We have a diary in the family that was from 1835 where the family almost starved to death. It was what they called a famine. It's a drought now.

My great great grandfather is buried at a little church somewhere up in Richmond, Virginia. One of my cousins found the grave. He went because of the economic and other issues. Not slavery. There were tariffs and embargos of the South, so that was what most of the folks from the upstate fought over. His young wife with three little children and one expected made the arduous trip up to Virginia before the battle of First Manassas to see her husband. I understand at the time that was not -- that was frowned upon for women to make trips unaccompanied.

But there is so much history. And as I mentioned at our last meeting, if we try to erase history, how do you know where you've been, so how do you know where you're going? I think we need to honor all who have sacrificed and meant a lot to this county. And I can think of no better new monument to add than Dr. Bee Thompson, and I'd be happy to work on that with anyone. But we need to keep the monument that's there. Let's add more monuments.

## **APPLAUSE**

TOMMY DUNN:

CRAIG WOOTEN:

In listening to people tonight, I think the only thing that I regret somebody said was a man said earlier I don't care what a woman thinks. And I know he didn't agree with her opinion, but I care what people think in my community. And I care what things mean to them. And I'm not going to tell somebody that they shouldn't be offended by something nor should I tell somebody that they should love something.

Everybody has their individual right to make up their mind whether they like the monument or they dislike the monument. They have their individual right to make up their mind whether or not they want to interpret the meaning of history in one way versus another. Why one man from Texas went to the Civil War,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

versus one man from Virginia can be totally different. I mean I think nobody can argue the overarching concern whether it be state's right or economics was a level of slavery. And in an historical view, nobody agrees with that.

But I guess where I'm coming from is people want to make this a binary conversation. They want to say are you for it up, are you for it down? Because we need to draw a line so that we can disagree. Actually what I'm more concerned about as I was listening to Fox radio on the way over here, we have two aircraft carries in the South China Sea as our President is moving ships because of Chinese aggression. In the next twenty years China and India will almost quadruple their population as we hardly grow. We've already seen the textile plants that my grandparents worked in go overseas. Now we're seeing technology go overseas. Now we're seeing other countries, GDP rising as we are suffering twenty-five trillion in debt. But yet we're going to argue locally over who has the right to think which way or what way about a statue or a previous war. And that's not to diminish anybody's feelings here as much as to say a time is coming where there's going to be a rezoning. That doesn't go away. The powers in other countries will assert themselves. And we're going to be a group of people squabbling amongst each other in the middle of an opioid crisis, in the middle of a COVID crisis, in the middle of an educational crisis. Last we were trying to figure out funding for EMS so that if anybody in this room has a heart attack that proper care comes. We'll be debating whether or not we spent forty million dollars on a prison. I wish we were debating on how to spend forty million dollars on how to turn the square downtown into a place that kids were playing constantly and not that people were crossing to go to Court to see a Judge.

So as I would like to put it, there's nobody in this room from our state delegation. Senator Cash isn't here. Senator Mike Gambrell isn't here. Neither is Representative Thayer, Bryant, Gagnon, West, Cox or Hill. They're the ones who have the authority to take this statue down. Nobody on this bench has the authority to take the statue down. Now we can pass resolutions. We can say things. We can send emails. But nobody on this bench has the authority to take that statue down. Only the guys in Columbia do.

Columbia has authority over Anderson. Washington, D.C. has authority over Columbia. There's a parallel drawn that we should move forward on this because of the Second Amendment. I claim a different distinction

there.

The Second Amendment ordinance was to affirm a federal guidance from our Bill of Rights and Second Amendment to say that we affirm the Second Amendment. What you're asking us to hear, that's coming down the chain to us. The supremacy clause in the Constitution says that the Federal government is over us. What you're asking us here is to go up the chain and say, hey, Columbia, we want to tell you how to do things when you have Columbia representatives that live amongst you. I wish they were here tonight. I wish this many people would go to their delegation meeting.

We had a lady earlier tonight that said this development is going to hurt our schools. Guess what? We have representatives on the school board that we elect. I didn't see any school board representatives here wanting to talk about that development because they want county council to deal with it. Just like the state house guys want county council to deal with it.

But you know what, they cut our funding to the county but then they take powers to vote on things like this up to the state. So I say send it to the state. Tell them to do their job and make a decision on it.

But I'm not going to argue about statues and get everybody worked up and mad at each other in our community when we've got to figure out EMS, we've got to figure out this jail, we've got to figure out police, we've got to figure out how to pave the roads, we've got to figure out whether or not people's farmland is disturbed or not so they can have peaceful existence when there's people who have already taken that authority away from us.

So that's not to shrug my duty or to diminish anybody on either side of this issue as much as I hope one day that we can have a packed house to talk about how to make this county a better place. That we can make it safer for all people. That we can educate our kids. And that we can live for the next forty years. So I think that's where I've just got to leave it at. I mean that would be like the people here tonight angry about county zoning storming into the Pendleton Town Council and telling the Pendleton Town Council to call Anderson County and tell them to do something about zoning. That wouldn't make much sense.

Take it to the State House. They passed the Heritage Act. They're the ones that wanted to decide on it. They need two-thirds or I think the Attorney General said they only need fifty percent plus one. That's where to take it to. And I'm just going to keep

```
on trying to make this place a better place and
 2
     hopefully that we can get to the real meat of the issue
 3
     because when it comes to the jail, when it comes to
 4
     EMS, when it comes police, that's going to affect
 5
     everybody in this room, black, white, Hispanic. It
 6
     doesn't matter. And that's what I got on county
 7
     council to do. And quite honestly, all races, all
 8
     backgrounds that fought in Vietnam, Gulf War, War on
 9
     Terror, Operation Enduring Freedom, Korea, Panama. I
10
     mean there's a lot of people to remember. And I don't
11
     want to -- I don't diminish anybody from the
12
     Confederacy, but nobody from the Confederacy dying
     trumps anybody dying in Vietnam. And nobody in Vietnam
13
14
     dying trumps anybody dying in World War I. We've got
15
     to remember all people that died for our freedoms
16
     across the board.
17
         And quite honestly, whether I'm forty years old or
18
     a kid of any background or descent that's born here
     tomorrow, at that instant he is as much American as I
19
20
     am and he has every right to have his voice heard, see
21
     history the way he wants to see it or not see it.
22
         That's my take on it. And it's getting late.
23
                             APPLAUSE
24
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                          Mr. Sanders.
25
              BRETT SANDERS:
                                          That's hard to
26
     follow, Craig. I just want to thank you for what you
27
            Appreciate it.
     said.
28
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                          Mr. Davis.
29
              JIMMY DAVIS:
                                          Nothing at this
30
     time, sir.
31
              TOMMY DUNN:
                                          The only thing I
32
     want to say, it is getting late and I appreciate
33
     everybody coming out. I do want to echo -- I'm not
     going to say anything, I just want to echo what Mr.
34
35
     Wooten said. Thank you.
36
         Meeting adjourned.
```

(MEETING ADJOURNED AT 10:05 P.M.)