

State of South Carolina)
County of Anderson)

CORRECTED

ANDERSON COUNTY COUNCIL
BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS MEETING
SEPTEMBER 17, 2020

IN ATTENDANCE:
HUBERT MCCLURE, CHAIRMAN
JOHN FARR
MIKE MILLER
ALLEN ASHLEY
DAN HARVELL

ALSO PRESENT:
ALESIA HUNTER
TIM CARTEE
RHONDA SLOAN
BRITTANY MCABEE

1 HUBERT MCCLURE: Approval of minutes.
2 MIKE MILLER: I make a motion they
3 be approved as mailed.
4 HUBERT MCCLURE: Any additions, any
5 deletions? Make a motion?
6 MIKE MILLER: Yeah.
7 HUBERT MCCLURE: We've got a motion.
8 Do we have a second?
9 ALLEN ASHLEY: Yeah.
10 HUBERT MCCLURE: Who seconded it?
11 Okay. Mr. Ashley seconded it. All in favor, uplified
12 hand. Okay. All those moved.
13 All right. Variance application. Staff, are we going
14 to do this one-by-one or what?
15 HENRY COPELAND: One-by-one, I think,
16 at least initially.
17 HUBERT MCCLURE: Okay. Staff report on
18 Highway -- 1929 South Carolina Highway 86.
19 HENRY COPELAND: Mr. Chairman, the
20 first item on the agenda is a new Burger King restaurant
21 located on South Carolina Highway 86 in Piedmont. This is
22 a sign variance to allow two additional wall signs. The
23 Sign Connection is the applicant on behalf of Burger King.
24 This is in District 6. The property is described as a
25 1.45 acre highway commercial with frontage immediately
26 adjacent to the off ramp of I-85 northbound at its
27 intersection with South Carolina Highway 86. The property
28 is not zoned. The portion of the property fronting
29 Highway 86 at I-85 interchange was recently developed as a
30 freestanding fast food restaurant with drive-through
31 service.
32 The requested variance is to allow the placement of
33 two additional wall signs beyond the one already allowed.
34 The Anderson County code allows for one wall mounted sign
35 per business front. The area is also limited to one
36 square foot per linear foot of building front where a
37 business also has a freestanding highway sign.
38 The current signage, the applicant recently requested
39 and received new sign permits for one freestanding or
40 pylon sign and one wall-mounted button sign.
41 The staff recommends approval of the variance request
42 for the following reasons:
43 Though a corner lot is allowed to have two highway
44 signs, the applicant has chosen to install only one
45 freestanding sign adjacent to the I-85 interchange and
46 uses the maximum allowed height of one hundred feet
47 because of the interstate.
48 All three wall signs, including the one that has
49 already been permitted, are button signs and will contain
50 twenty-eight square feet of sign area. This is

1 considerably less than the area allowed by the length of
2 each of the buildings' facades. The proposed second wall
3 sign will be located adjacent to the drive-through window
4 and a third wall sign is adjacent to the walk-in entrance
5 facing the I-85 off-ramp.

6 Limited sign visibility from traffic adjacent to the
7 site is a primary hardship. This request is in line with
8 the spirit and intent of the ordinance which is to grant a
9 variance where a hardship exists, and the board has
10 approved -- the board's approval would be consistent with
11 similar requests that have been granted.

12 If the board approves the variance request, the
13 applicant will need to submit the appropriate sign permit
14 application for the proposed new signs.

15 This concludes the staff presentation. We're
16 available to answer any questions from members of the
17 board.

18 HUBERT MCCLURE: Okay. Thank you,
19 staff. At this time I'd like to open up for public
20 hearing and see if there's anyone speaking against. We'll
21 reserve questions for those speaking for until after we
22 speak to those against. Anyone speaking again 7013 Old
23 Greenville Highway? I believe I have some people written
24 down to speak. Anybody speaking against?

25 HENRY COPELAND: Mr. Chairman, that's
26 not the address of this -- that's a different application.

27 HUBERT MCCLURE: Old Greenville
28 Highway.

29 HENRY COPELAND: Old Greenville Highway
30 is, I think, later on the agenda.

31 HUBERT MCCLURE: Well, that's what I'm
32 looking at.

33 HENRY COPELAND: This is ---

34 HUBERT MCCLURE: Evidently mine has got
35 out of order. Which one are we looking at?

36 HENRY COPELAND: This is item (A), the
37 ---

38 HUBERT MCCLURE: Yeah, mine is turned
39 around. I'm sorry. Hold on just a second. Somehow mine
40 got turned around. I don't know if I did it when I was
41 looking through it or ... Okay. I don't have (A).

42 MIKE MILLER: I don't either.

43 HUBERT MCCLURE: I thought they were
44 all in the packet. Sorry about that. So this is Highway
45 86. Anyone speaking against? Do we have anybody speaking
46 for? Okay. We do have somebody. We'll reserve questions
47 for you if we need it. Okay. At this time I'll close the
48 public hearing. Reserving questions for staff or the
49 proponent here if anybody has got any questions. Do we
50 have anything to report?

1 What's the feeling of the board?
2 MIKE MILLER: I make a motion to
3 approve.
4 HUBERT MCCLURE: Make a motion to
5 approve by Mr. Miller. Do I have a second?
6 DAN HARVELL: Second.
7 HUBERT MCCLURE: Second by Mr. Harvell.
8 All those in favor? And that goes.
9 Okay. Next on the agenda. I was reading off the
10 agenda. That's why -- I wasn't reading off of these.
11 2800 Highway 29 South. Variance applications.
12 HENRY COPELAND: Mr. Chairman, this is
13 the second item on the agenda, item (B).
14 HUBERT MCCLURE: I don't have anything
15 till (G). Go ahead.
16 HENRY COPELAND: All right. Mr.
17 Chairman, this is the new Power Trac Number 9, it's
18 convenience store and gas station located on U.S. Highway
19 29 South between the city of Anderson and Hartwell Dam.
20 The -- Mr. Antoir (phonics) Patel is making the
21 application on behalf of the Power Trac Number 9. This is
22 in District 3. And the commercial site contains 3.03
23 acres. This is a corner lot with approximately eight
24 hundred and sixty-five feet of combined highway frontage
25 along U.S. Highway 29 South and Cleveland Road. The
26 property is not zoned. The former site -- this is the
27 former site of the Sunrise Motel.
28 Go forward on this to -- where are we? All right.
29 Just a moment. This is the location on Highway 29. As I
30 said, the property is not zoned and it was the site of a
31 former Sunrise Motel and was cleared in 2019 in order to
32 construct a multi-tenant retail store and gas station.
33 The site plan is shown here, but the request -- the
34 requested variance is to allow placement of three
35 additional wall signs for a new gas island canopy. This
36 is beyond the one already allowed for each of the two
37 businesses located in the multi-tenant retail building.
38 The findings of fact: as were stated before, the
39 Anderson County code allows one mounted wall sign per
40 business front. The sign area is also limited to one
41 square foot per linear foot of building front where a
42 business also has a freestanding sign.
43 The applicant recently requested and received new sign
44 permits for one monument sign and two wall-mounted signs
45 for each of the two businesses at the location. This is
46 the monument sign. And jumping to the canopy, this is an
47 overview looking down at the canopy. For the four sides
48 of the canopy, they're asking for one sign on each of the
49 three sides. Relatively small, but that's it.
50 The staff recommends approval for the following

1 reasons: the application has chosen to use a monument sign
2 instead of a typical pylon sign. This and the use of
3 uniform gas canopy signage has proposed as part of an
4 effort to establish a consistent and coordinated
5 commercial image while reducing visual clutter.

6 The monument sign is the only indicator that the
7 location sells gas. The request is in line with the
8 spirit and intent of the ordinance to grant a variance
9 when a hardship exists. The board's approval would be
10 consistent with similar requests that have been granted.

11 One other point is there's a slight curve in the road
12 at this location and so any additional signage in the more
13 traditional sense would not be well placed.

14 If the board approves the variance request, the
15 applicant will still need to submit the appropriate sign
16 permit application for the proposed new signs.

17 And this concludes the staff presentation. We're
18 available to answer questions.

19 HUBERT MCCLURE: Okay. Thank you,
20 staff. At this time I'll open up the public hearing for
21 Highway 29 South. Anyone speaking against? Anyone
22 speaking for? Okay. I don't guess we'll have a public
23 hearing. We'll close the public hearing.

24 Any questions for staff from the board? What's the
25 feeling of the board?

26 ALLEN ASHLEY: Make a motion we
27 accept it.

28 HUBERT MCCLURE: We've got a motion to
29 approve. I'll second it. All those in favor show by the
30 uplifted hand. And it passes. Thank you.

31 Next on the agenda 330 Pearman Dairy Road, three wall
32 signs.

33 HENRY COPELAND: Mr. Chairman, this is
34 the third item on the agenda, item (C). This is for a new
35 Wendy's restaurant located at 330 Pearman Dairy Road at
36 Standridge Road in Anderson. This is also a sign variance
37 to allow three additional wall signs. Signs by Design has
38 submitted the application on behalf of Wendy's. The
39 applicant's representative may not be here. They have
40 been contacted, but they were unable to make the meeting.

41 This is located in District 5. The property
42 description is a corner lot west of the city of Anderson
43 as a highway commercial location with two hundred and
44 fifty feet fronting Pearman Dairy Road and three hundred
45 and fifty feet on Standridge Road. The property is not
46 zoned. The 1.87 acre site was used as a mobile home sales
47 lot prior to 2012 when the property was cleared.
48 Construction of a new Wendy's fast food restaurant was
49 approved earlier this year.

50 Let's see. The applicant's request is for a variance

1 to allow the placement of three additional wall signs
2 beyond the one already allowed. This is the site plan
3 that is showing here. A little bit more detailed version
4 of the site plan, also identifying where the three
5 proposed additional signs are and the two signs that are
6 already approved.

7 As stated before, the Anderson County code allows for
8 one wall-mounted sign per business front. The sign area
9 is limited to one square foot per linear foot of building
10 front where a business already has a freestanding sign.

11 The existing signs for the applicant recently
12 requested and received new sign permits for one
13 freestanding or pylon sign and one wall-mounted sign.

14 If you will notice here, this is an elevation of the
15 side elevation showing one of the proposed new signs.
16 Again, a closer view of the site plan. The front view
17 showing channel letters that have been -- this has already
18 been permitted. And then the other two signs that have
19 been requested is over the drive-through window and an
20 additional sign that is typical of Wendy's, that they've
21 requested on the same side.

22 The staff recommends approval of the variance request
23 for the following reasons: the site has limited highway
24 visibility due to several off-site obstructions. The
25 applicant has elected to install only one freestanding
26 sign on the main entrance from Pearman Dairy Road. Heavy
27 tree cover hides the approaches along Standridge Road and
28 the restaurant is partially obscured by the adjacent
29 apartment complex located immediately to the south on
30 Pearman Dairy Road.

31 This request is in line with the spirit and intent of
32 the ordinance to grant a variance when a hardship exists.
33 The board's approval would be consistent with similar
34 requests that have been granted. And if the board
35 approves the variance request, as before, the applicant is
36 still required to submit the appropriate sign applications
37 for the proposed new signs.

38 This concludes the staff presentation. And we're
39 available to answer questions.

40 HUBERT MCCLURE: Thank you, staff. At
41 this time I'd like to open up the public hearing for
42 anyone speaking against Wendy's on Pearman Dairy. Anyone
43 speaking against? Anyone here speaking for? Okay. Well,
44 in that case I'll close the public hearing. Is there any
45 questions for staff from the board? What's the feeling of
46 the board?

47 DAN HARVELL: Motion to approve.

48 HUBERT MCCLURE: I'll second it. All
49 those in favor show by the uplifted hand. And that's five
50 to zero.

1 Next, moving right along, let's see, I think the next
2 one -- well, aren't we on (D)?
3 JOHN FARR: Yeah.
4 HUBERT MCCLURE: Ambassador Drive, 102
5 Ambassador Drive.
6 RHONDA SLOAN: Thank you, Chairman.
7 Tonight we have a variance request for 102 Ambassador
8 Drive located in Anderson. The applicant is Brian Fowler.
9 It is located in Council District 5. The tax map number
10 is listed for your reference.
11 The property is .60 acres and it's located on Lake
12 Hartwell. The current zoning is R-20 single family
13 residential and the land use is residential single family.
14 The requested variance is to install a twenty-eight by
15 fourteen in-ground pool and an eighteen by eighteen deck
16 which will be at the rear of the home.
17 The applicant is requesting a rear setback from five
18 feet to 1.9 feet which is a reduction of 3.1 feet from the
19 property line.
20 Findings of fact: swimming pools shall be set back not
21 less than five feet.
22 Current conditions: on the left side of the house is
23 a detached garage. On the right side of the house is the
24 septic tank and the pump lines.
25 Here we have an aerial view of the property. We have
26 a drawing of the pool with the dimensions. And then we
27 have a survey of the property showing the location of the
28 pool.
29 Staff recommends denial for the following reasons:
30 The staff and the board has been consistent in the past
31 not to approve setbacks less than two feet from the
32 property line. However, the Army Corp of Engineers, they
33 do not have a setback requirement as long as you do not
34 encroach upon their property.
35 However, the request is in with the spirit and intent
36 of the ordinance. Due to the conditions of the property,
37 the applicant is restricted because of the detached garage
38 on the left and then the septic tank on the right-hand
39 side of the house.
40 If approved, the applicant must obtain a residential
41 compliance permit and a building permit for the pool and
42 the deck, along with abiding with the requirements of the
43 2018 International Swimming Pool and Spa Code.
44 This concludes the staff report.
45 HUBERT MCCLURE: Thank you, staff. I'm
46 looking.
47 RHONDA SLOAN: Okay.
48 DAN HARVELL: Could I see that map
49 once again?
50 HUBERT MCCLURE: Yeah, I had to borrow

1 his.
2 DAN HARVELL: He's looking at my
3 packet. All right. Could you explain that one more time?
4 RHONDA SLOAN: That's just a survey
5 of it, and it shows where his septic tank is located,
6 along with the drain lines. And then on the left side
7 there, that's where the detached garage is. And then
8 there at the rear it's got the -- I know it's kind of hard
9 to see -- but he's showing the location of where the pool
10 will be located.
11 HUBERT MCCLURE: Okay. Do we have
12 anybody here speaking against? I'll open up the public
13 hearing. I'm sorry. Anyone speaking against? That would
14 be the Corp of Engineers, probably. Anyone speaking for?
15 Okay. I'm going to close the public hearing. What's the
16 feeling -- I mean, any questions on this one because I'm a
17 little antsy on the 1.9 feet, I'll tell you that right
18 now. You know how I feel on that. It is the Corp line.
19 DAN HARVELL: So we're talking the
20 Corp line here?
21 HUBERT MCCLURE: We are talking the
22 Corp line; right?
23 RHONDA SLOAN: Yes.
24 HUBERT MCCLURE: We're not talking
25 about the side lines?
26 RHONDA SLOAN: Yes, the Corp line;
27 that's correct.
28 DAN HARVELL: Well, since that's the
29 Corp line and it does not involve a neighbor, I will make
30 a motion that it be approved.
31 ALLEN ASHLEY: Second.
32 HUBERT MCCLURE: Okay. We have a
33 motion to approve. We got a second. All those in favor
34 show by the uplifted hand. And it passes.
35 Okay. Moving right along. I'm trying to keep up with
36 all this stuff. Okay. Belton-Honea Path, 12300 Belton-
37 Honea Path Highway. I've never seen an address that high
38 before. Belton-Honea Path is getting high and mighty down
39 there; ain't they? 12300 Belton-Honea Path Highway.
40 Staff report.
41 RHONDA SLOAN: Yes, sir. Tonight we
42 have a variance request located at 12300 B-HP Highway in
43 Honea Path. The applicant is Rachel Friddle Johnson. The
44 owner of the property is Frank and Sandra Friddle. It's
45 located in Council District 3. The tax map number is
46 listed for your reference. The property is 2.92 acres.
47 It is currently zoned I-1 industrial district and the land
48 use is residential.
49 The requested variance is to reduce the side setback
50 from twenty-five feet to ten feet, which is a fifteen feet

1 reduction, to construct an attached thirty-four by thirty-
2 four garage.

3 Findings of fact: The side setback, no building shall
4 be located closer than twenty-five feet to the side lot
5 line.

6 Current conditions: At the rear of the home there is
7 an existing composite deck with a hot tub enclosed in a
8 chain link fence. There are multiple utilities at the
9 rear of the property. In addition, there is a one-hundred
10 year old pecan tree that is located also at the rear. To
11 build the garage on the opposite side of the house would
12 not integrate with the current home renovations.

13 Here we have an aerial view of the property. Then a
14 site layout of the property. And then this last picture
15 is a survey of the property, and it's showing the house.

16 Staff does recommend approval of this request for the
17 following reasons: The variance will not be a detriment to
18 the adjacent property or to the public good and it will
19 not harm the character of the area. Due to the
20 configuration of the property, the gas lines and the
21 septic tanks must remain unobstructed.

22 The current location is the only viable location to
23 add the garage addition due to the one-hundred year old
24 pecan tree. And the applicant must obtain a residential
25 compliance permit and a building permit if approved. The
26 request is in with the spirit and the intent of the
27 ordinance, and the board has granted other variances
28 similar to this request.

29 This concludes the staff report.

30 HUBERT MCCLURE: Thank you, staff. At
31 this time we'll open up the public hearing. Anyone
32 speaking against? I do have somebody signed up, but I
33 don't know if they're speaking against or for it. Anybody
34 speaking for it? Okay. Are you Mr. Burroughs? Okay.
35 You're speaking for it? We'll reserve questions for you
36 if we need. So at that time we'll close the public
37 hearing and reserve questions for staff and Mr. Burrough,
38 if needed. Any questions from the board for staff or Mr.
39 Burrough? What's the feeling of the board?

40 DAN HARVELL: Mr. Chairman, this is
41 my neck of the woods. I'm very familiar with this
42 property. It's a logical approval, so I make a motion to
43 approve.

44 ALLEN ASHLEY: Second.

45 HUBERT MCCLURE: Got a second from Mr.
46 Ashley. All those in favor show by uplifted hand. And it
47 passes. Thank you.

48 Next is 1905 Anderson Highway, Williamston.

49 RHONDA SLOAN: We have a special
50 exception located at 1905 Anderson Highway in Williamston.

1 The applicant is 1905 Anderson Drive, LLC. It is located
2 in Council District 7. The tax map number is there for
3 your reference. The property is 1.81 acres and has an
4 existing eighteen hundred square foot metal building with
5 three bays on the property. It is currently zoned as R-20
6 single family residential and the land use is commercial
7 auto repair shop. The requested special exception is to
8 allow for a small retail auto sales and this is to sell
9 approximately five to ten cars a month. And there will be
10 no change to the building.

11 Findings of fact: Nonconforming uses can be continued
12 provided that they meet the requirement of the code.

13 Current conditions: The auto repair shop has been in
14 existence for thirty years. And this was before zoning
15 was implemented. And there will be no major change to the
16 use of the property.

17 Here we have an aerial photograph of the property. We
18 have a site drawing of the property.

19 And staff recommends approval of the special exception
20 request for the following reasons: The use is not
21 detrimental to the public health or welfare. The use will
22 not violate the neighborhood character. The property has
23 been an auto repair shop for thirty years. And it
24 obtained a commercial land use permit back in 1998.
25 However, the applicant will be required to obtain a
26 commercial land use permit for the retail auto sales lot.
27 The natural buffer yard must remain in place, along with
28 the existing chain link fence, which should include slats
29 for privacy. The business is required to have at least
30 seven parking spaces, and one of those need to be an
31 assessable parking space. No passenger vehicles without a
32 current registration and license tag can be stored on the
33 property because this violates our environmental services
34 open storage lot. And the board has granted other
35 variances similar to this request.

36 This concludes the staff report.

37 HUBERT MCCLURE: Thank you, staff. At
38 this time I'd like to open up the public hearing for
39 anyone speaking against. Anyone speaking for? Oh,
40 speaking against? Okay. Are you Mr. Murphy or Mr.
41 Chasteen?

42 DANNY CHASTEEN: Chasteen.

43 HUBERT MCCLURE: If you could, come up
44 to the microphone and state your name.

45 DANNY CHASTEEN: Okay. I'm Danny
46 Chasteen. I live at 6 Dottie Court, which is directly
47 behind the existing business. This business has been a
48 constant nuisance since it was put into -- since it was
49 built in 1990. It's been through a succession of owners.
50 There's been different businesses. There's been tires

1 stored on the property. There was never an appropriate
2 buffer yard built. There was a flimsy cheap fence built
3 in 1990 and some shrubbery planted, which was never
4 maintained.

5 On occasion I have seen men come out the back door and
6 urinate in plain sight. There's been some cars that's
7 been stored there that have no tags on them. They're
8 gone. It's been a constant source of noise and
9 aggravation.

10 One owner left in the middle of the night and burned
11 all his chemicals in the trash can. I had to call fire
12 control on that. So I'm against this deal from the get-
13 go.

14 HUBERT MCCLURE: Mr. Chasteen, how long
15 have you lived there?

16 DANNY CHASTEEN: I've lived in my house
17 for forty-one years. So that was built eleven years after
18 my house was built when we moved there.

19 HUBERT MCCLURE: Okay. Thank you, sir.

20 DANNY CHASTEEN: Okay. Thank you.

21 HUBERT MCCLURE: Anyone else speaking
22 against? Anyone here speaking for? Mr. Murphy? I would
23 assume you're Mr. Murphy?

24 JIM MURPHY: I am.

25 HUBERT MCCLURE: State your name in the
26 microphone.

27 JIM MURPHY: Yeah, my name is Jim
28 Murphy. And I had no idea that that was going on. I
29 actually am trying to obtain a really good renter, a
30 tenant to take the place and make it a lot better, nicer
31 place. There had been some problems in the past. I've
32 only owned it for about two years now. So the whole idea
33 of doing the retail auto sales is to try to get a better
34 class of tenant. And I certainly sympathize with what
35 you've been through, but I had no idea that was going on.

36 HUBERT MCCLURE: Is there anything
37 there -- are you doing anyone with the business right now?

38 JIM MURPHY: It's empty right now,
39 but I do have a possible tenant that wants it to do the
40 retail auto sales.

41 HUBERT MCCLURE: Have you done anything
42 to the grounds or anything since you've had it? I'm just
43 wondering ---

44 JIM MURPHY: Just upkeep; mowing,
45 cleaning up. And the tires -- the guy did leave tires and
46 I had to take care of that.

47 HUBERT MCCLURE: You did take care of
48 the tires?

49 JIM MURPHY: I did take care of
50 that. But it's my intention to improve it and to not have

1 any of that activity that you've experienced to make it a
2 better property.

3 MIKE MILLER: How about the privacy
4 fence?

5 JIM MURPHY: I'm sorry?
6 MIKE MILLER: How about the privacy
7 fence that he was talking about?

8 JIM MURPHY: There is a fence there
9 at the back, but the property -- the actual building is up
10 close to the road and there's no intention of using
11 anything in the back part of the property other than to
12 keep it mowed and to keep it clean.

13 HUBERT MCCLURE: Any other questions
14 for Mr. Murphy? Thank you, Mr. Murphy.

15 JIM MURPHY: Yes, sir.
16 HUBERT MCCLURE: Okay. We'll close the
17 public hearing to see if there's any discussion? Any
18 discussion or any questions for staff?

19 JOHN FARR: I'd like to ask staff
20 a question. If this is a single-family residential area
21 how was a commercial enterprise put there in the first
22 place?

23 HUBERT MCCLURE: Probably grandfathered
24 in.

25 RHONDA SLOAN: Yes, sir. It was. It
26 was there before zoning was implemented. The zoning,
27 actually that was implemented in August of 2000 and it was
28 a commercial auto back in 1998, even prior, but at least
29 from what research I was able to find. So it was
30 grandfathered in when the zoning came through.

31 JOHN FARR: Okay. Thank you.
32 HUBERT MCCLURE: Any other questions
33 for staff or anybody at this time?

34 DAN HARVELL: The gentleman here,
35 where is his house in relation on this map? Could you
36 point that out?

37 HUBERT MCCLURE: He said it's right
38 behind his house.

39 DAN HARVELL: So that would be
40 property that ends in either 05 or 06 on the tax map?

41 RHONDA SLOAN: It may be. I can't
42 see it. It's too small on my paper.

43 HUBERT MCCLURE: Let me ask a question
44 for staff, and this is a question for me personally, too,
45 because I've had to deal with things like this in my
46 community. What is the procedure for, you know, if a
47 business or a building is not abandoned but if the grounds
48 are -- do they call Land Use or do they call Building and
49 Codes? What do they do?

50 RHONDA SLOAN: Say that again, when

1 the land is what?
2 HUBERT MCCLURE: When the building and
3 the land is neglected. I'm not saying anything ---
4 RHONDA SLOAN: Okay.
5 HUBERT MCCLURE: --- like Mr. Murphy
6 would do this, but I'm just saying for future references,
7 especially for Mr. Chasteen, what happens if the building
8 is neglected and the fence is falling in and stuff like
9 that, do they call Building and Codes, or what would they
10 do?
11 RHONDA SLOAN: Well, you can call
12 Development Standards. You can actually submit a
13 compliance -- not a compliance -- a complaint. You can
14 file a complaint and do a complaint request and then we
15 can come out and investigate it.
16 HUBERT MCCLURE: Okay. What's the
17 feeling of the board? Y'all have to say something.
18 One more thing. Come on up, Mr. Murphy.
19 JIM MURPHY: Yes. I'll be happy to
20 give that gentleman my phone number and if he ever sees
21 any kind of activity that's what he's been subjected to,
22 call me and I will take care of that from this point
23 forward. But I had no idea that was going on. I'm trying
24 to improve it and make it an asset to the community.
25 HUBERT MCCLURE: Okay. While you're
26 here, Mr. Murphy, let me ask you this question. I may
27 have missed this if it was said. But you've owned this
28 for how long?
29 JIM MURPHY: About two years.
30 HUBERT MCCLURE: About two years?
31 JIM MURPHY: Yes.
32 HUBERT MCCLURE: So did any of this --
33 did any of these problems occur while it was under his
34 ownership?
35 DANNY CHASTEEN: No.
36 HUBERT MCCLURE: No. Okay.
37 JIM MURPHY: But I don't want an
38 eye sore either. That's the whole point is to clean it up
39 and make it nice.
40 HUBERT MCCLURE: Thank you again.
41 Okay. What's the feeling of the board?
42 MIKE MILLER: I make a motion to
43 approve.
44 HUBERT MCCLURE: I'll second that
45 motion. All in favor show by the uplifted hand. And it
46 passes.
47 Okay. Next on the agenda. I just put the agenda over
48 here. Next on the agenda is (G). We finally got -- we
49 were unaware of -- 7013 Old Greenville Highway, Liberty.
50 RHONDA SLOAN: Okay. Thank you, Mr.

1 Chairman. We have a variance request located at 7013 Old
2 Greenville Highway in Liberty. The applicant is Jason
3 Lyda. The owner of the property is Bobby and Charlotte
4 Mikell. It is located in Council District 6. The tax map
5 number is there for your reference. The property is 2.9
6 acres with an aboveground swimming pool. It is currently
7 zoned as RA residential agricultural, and the land use is
8 residential single family home. The requested variance is
9 to reduce the rear yard setback from 25 feet to nineteen
10 feet, a six foot reduction, to construct a thirty by
11 fifty-six metal storage building.

12 Findings of facts: The minimum depth of the rear yard
13 shall be twenty-five feet. And the current conditions of
14 the property, at the rear of the home is an existing above
15 in-ground swimming pool. And there is the septic tank and
16 the drain field and pool drain lines that are located at
17 the rear of the property, which must remain unobstructed.

18 We have a sketch of the property. The aerial view of
19 the property.

20 Staff does recommend approval of this variance request
21 for the following reasons: The variance will not be a
22 detriment to the adjacent property or to the public good
23 and it will not harm the character of the area. Due to
24 the location of the septic tank, drain field and pool
25 lines, which must remain unobstructed, this is the only
26 viable place to construct the storage building. The
27 applicant, if approved, must obtain a residential
28 compliance permit and a building permit. And this request
29 is within the spirit and the intent of the ordinance. And
30 the board has granted other variances similar to this
31 request.

32 This concludes my staff report.

33 HUBERT MCCLURE: Thank you, staff.

34 At this time I'd like to open up the public hearing if
35 there's anyone speaking against. We have several names on
36 here, but I don't know if they're speaking for or against.
37 Anyone speaking against? Okay. If you would address the
38 microphone and state your name in the microphone, please.

39 DAVID HARRIS: My name is David
40 Harris. I just have a couple of questions.

41 HUBERT MCCLURE: Okay.

42 DAVID HARRIS: So nothing is going to
43 be moved? The fence is going to stay the same? We don't
44 have to worry about anything like that? The guardrail at
45 the front of the road, they're not going to move anything
46 there where they have to come in with a different driveway
47 or anything like that? Everything is going to be the
48 same?

49 HUBERT MCCLURE: Pretty much everything
50 is going to be the same.

1 RHONDA SLOAN: Yes.
2 HUBERT MCCLURE: We're just letting
3 them build that -- if we approve it -- we're letting them
4 build that building closer to the line than twenty-five
5 feet. That's all.
6 DAVID HARRIS: Okay.
7 HUBERT MCCLURE: That's all we're doing
8 if we approve it.
9 DAVID HARRIS: Thank you.
10 HUBERT MCCLURE: Anyone else speaking
11 against? Anyone speaking for? Okay. I would assume you
12 probably are the person doing the project? Okay. We'll
13 reserve questions for you. Anyone speaking against?
14 Okay. At this time we'll close the public hearing.
15 Any questions for the owner or staff from the board? All
16 right. What's the feeling of the board?
17 MIKE MILLER: I make a motion to
18 approve.
19 HUBERT MCCLURE: Make a motion to
20 approve. Do we have a second?
21 JOHN FARR: Second.
22 HUBERT MCCLURE: Second by Mr. Farr.
23 All those in favor uplifted hand? And it passes.
24 Okay. Next. Next is 3202 Highway 81 North.
25 RHONDA SLOAN: Thank you, Mr.
26 Chairman. Tonight we have a special exception at 3202
27 Highway 81 North in Anderson. The applicant is Gary
28 Collins. The owner of the property is Collins Landscape
29 Management, Incorporated. It is located in Council
30 District 7. The tax map number is there for your
31 reference. The property is 9.51 acres of open space with
32 no structures. It is currently zoned as -C1, which is
33 commercial district. And the land use is vacant open
34 space.
35 The requested special exception is to allow a food
36 truck to park on occasional weekends which would be Friday
37 through Sunday for takeout barbecue.
38 Findings of fact: Temporary permits may be allowed for
39 a period not to extend six months.
40 Current conditions: The food truck would occupy
41 approximately one acre of the 9.5 acres. The entrance and
42 exit would be located on Crestview Road. And this would
43 be just a temporary food truck parked onsite while serving
44 food.
45 Here we have a site layout of the property. Then the
46 aerial view. And then this is a picture of the food
47 truck.
48 And staff does recommend approval of the request for
49 the following reasons: The use meets all of the required
50 conditions. The use is not detrimental to the public

1 health or the general welfare, and the food truck would
2 not block the visual clearance of the intersection.
3 Parking will be provided within the open lawn space and
4 not on the road. The use would be violate the
5 neighborhood characteristics. And the food truck, like I
6 said, will only be parked temporarily onsite. There would
7 be no permanent overnight parking -- no permanent or
8 overnight parking. The hours of operation would be
9 between eleven a.m. and seven p.m. The applicant will be
10 required to contact SCDOT pertaining to the existing
11 driveway ingress and egress at the intersection of Highway
12 81 and Crestview Road. And the applicant will be required
13 to obtain a commercial land use permit.

14 This concludes staff's report.

15 HUBERT MCCLURE: Thank you, staff.
16 That's the old Duckworth house?

17 DAN HARVELL: Yeah.

18 HUBERT MCCLURE: Okay. All right.

19 Let's open up the public hearing on Highway 81 North.
20 We've got two people signed up. I know one is for,
21 probably, but I don't know about the other one. Anyone
22 speaking against? Okay. So I assume that Mr. Wells and
23 Mr. Collins are speaking for. Are they here? Y'all are
24 speaking for?

25 KEN WELLS: Yeah.

26 HUBERT MCCLURE: Okay. We'll reserve
27 questions for you if needed. At this time, since there's
28 no one speaking against, we'll close the public hearing
29 and reserve questions for staff and Mr. Wells and Mr.
30 Collins, if needed.

31 JOHN FARR: Am I incorrect or has
32 that been in operation for a while?

33 HUBERT MCCLURE: Just one of y'all
34 address the question. And state your name in the
35 microphone, please.

36 KEN WELLS: Ken Wells. Yes.
37 We've actually been in operation for -- since end of June?
38 Or July, I think?

39 JOHN FARR: That's a little bit
40 like asking for a permit after-the-fact.

41 KEN WELLS: Well, it wasn't made
42 an issue until after-the-fact.

43 RHONDA SLOAN: Mr. Farr, if I could,
44 we had to delay him two months, so that's why he's just
45 now getting here. We had to cancel. With the COVID we
46 had to close our office. So that's why he's just now
47 getting on your agenda, Mr. Farr.

48 JOHN FARR: That's fine. Thank
49 you.

50 HUBERT MCCLURE: Any other questions?

1 All right. What's the feeling of the board?
2 DAN HARVELL: Motion to approve.
3 HUBERT MCCLURE: I'll second it. All
4 those in favor show by uplifted hand. And it passes.
5 Next is Paradise Circle, Townville.
6 BRITTANY MCABEE: Good evening.
7 This is an application for a real setback variance. The
8 applicant and property owner is Robert and Leann Heil.
9 The property is located on Paradise Circle, Townville, in
10 Council District 4. It is .91 acres residence on
11 lakefront property. The applicant is requesting a
12 reduction of the setback to 1.5 feet from the rear
13 property line. Anderson County ordinance states that the
14 rear property line is to be ten feet.
15 This is the aerial layout of the property. This is a
16 drawing of the proposed screened-in porch and the house
17 and layout. This is the design of the screened-in porch.
18 Staff recommends denial of the variance for the
19 following reasons. However, the board, at its discretion,
20 can make the determination. It is a screened-in porch for
21 the enjoyment of the lake to the north. The adjacent
22 property owner is the Corp, which does not require a
23 setback. The west of the property contains the septic
24 tank. If approved the applicant will be required to
25 obtain a compliance letter and a building permit.
26 This concludes the staff report.
27 HUBERT MCCLURE: Thank you, staff. At
28 this time I'll open up the public hearing. I don't think
29 anybody is here speaking against, because he is for. But
30 I would like to speak with Mr. Heil, if you could. State
31 your name to state you're here.
32 ROBERT HEIL: My name is Robert
33 Heil.
34 HUBERT MCCLURE: Okay. Have you ever
35 had dealings with the Corp, Mr. Heil?
36 ROBERT HEIL: No, sir, not to my
37 knowledge. When I first moved in there, apparently the
38 prior owner ---
39 HUBERT MCCLURE: Okay. You better make
40 sure, if we do approve this, you better make sure you stay
41 off that line.
42 ROBERT HEIL: Oh, I've been in
43 constant ---
44 HUBERT MCCLURE: They take houses down.
45 ROBERT HEIL: Yeah, I've been in
46 contact and talked to them. And they've been out on my
47 site.
48 HUBERT MCCLURE: Okay. That's what --
49 so mainly the overhangs and stuff like that.
50 ROBERT HEIL: Oh, he's told me if my

1 overhang is over his line he's going to make me cut it.
2 HUBERT MCCLURE: I'd hate for you to
3 have to cut the corner of your house off.
4 ROBERT HEIL: Yes, sir.
5 HUBERT MCCLURE: Okay. And I've seen
6 that happen. Okay. I've seen it. Okay. Any other
7 questions for Mr. Heil? Okay. What's the feeling of the
8 board?
9 DAN HARVELL: Motion to approve.
10 HUBERT MCCLURE: We have a motion to
11 approve. Do we have a second?
12 MIKE MILLER: I second.
13 HUBERT MCCLURE: All those in favor?
14 And everybody. Thank you, Mr. Heil.
15 Next we have -- I want to make sure this coincides --
16 Brady Drive, 145 Brady Drive.
17 BRITTANY MCABEE: Yes. This is an
18 application for a rear setback variance. The applicant
19 and property owner is Glenn Chappellear. It's located on
20 Brady Drive in Anderson in Council District 5. It is .32
21 acre vacant lot on lakefront property. The application --
22 applicant is requesting a reduction to four feet from the
23 rear property line. Anderson County ordinance does
24 require that the rear property line is to be ten feet
25 setback.
26 This is the aerial layout of the property. Please
27 notice the irregular shape. This is the survey of the
28 property and a blow-up of the survey for that particular
29 parcel.
30 Staff recommends approval. The parcel is irregularly
31 shaped. It's seventy-five and sixty-five feet on either
32 side. Required setbacks leave an average building width
33 of 40.5 feet. Adjacent property owner is the Corp which
34 does not require a setback. The reduction presents no
35 detriment to adjoining property owners and is in the
36 spirit and intent of the ordinance. The owner has
37 demonstrated a hardship and the board has granted similar
38 variances in the past. If approved, a compliance letter
39 and a building permit will be required. This concludes
40 the staff report.
41 HUBERT MCCLURE: Okay. Anyone speaking
42 against? I'll open up the public hearing. Anyone
43 speaking against? Anyone speaking in favor? Okay. Mr.
44 Chappellear, I assume. We'll reserve questions for you if
45 needed. Okay. Do we have any questions for ---
46 ALLEN ASHLEY: That lady in the white
47 raised her hand out there.
48 HUBERT MCCLURE: Say again.
49 ALLEN ASHLEY: That lady right yonder
50 raised her hand.

1 HUBERT MCCLURE: Oh. Are you speaking
2 for or against? Anybody? All right. Close the public
3 hearing. What's the feeling of the board?

4 MIKE MILLER: I make a motion to
5 approve.

6 HUBERT MCCLURE: Have a motion to
7 approve. Mr. Farr, you second?

8 JOHN FARR: Yeah.

9 HUBERT MCCLURE: Okay. All those in
10 favor uplifted hand. And that goes.

11 And I believe the last one of the night, I believe, is
12 1470 Pearman Dairy, unless I'm skipping something. Staff
13 report.

14 ALESIA HUNTER: Yes, sir. Thank you,
15 Mr. Chairman. This is the last item on the agenda. This
16 is the McDonald's on 1470 Pearman Dairy Road in Anderson,
17 Council District 6. The site is approximately a little
18 over 1.13 acres. Of course, it's unzoned. Here's the tax
19 map for your reference. The current land use is the prime
20 commercial corner location. This is at the intersection
21 of Pearman Dairy and Whitehall Road and is currently
22 improved with the recently completed McDonald's
23 restaurant. The applicant is requesting a variance to
24 allow for a second freestanding sign located on Pearman
25 Dairy, on the Pearman Dairy Road side. In your packet you
26 should see that in which it also fronts on Whitehall Road.

27 Findings of facts: This does come out of our Chapter
28 70 that requires a certain amount of signage that's
29 allowed on street frontage. Only one freestanding sign is
30 allowed for each developed site or parcel. So this is why
31 they're here, to ask for the actual second sign.

32 And in your packet, Mr. Chair and board, we did
33 provide you with some facts related to the application.
34 The applicant was not clear on what the board intent was
35 in terms of what their findings and facts were, so we put
36 together in your packet a breakdown of what we had from
37 the June 2019 meeting and also the December 2018 meeting.
38 So the first item, the minutes in your packet, the board
39 from the December 13, 2018 meeting indicated that the
40 variance was granted to allow for the two wall signs. The
41 board granted the two wall signs at that location. In
42 exchange to allow for only one freestanding sign. And
43 that's also mentioned in the staff report for the meeting.

44 The second item was the minutes from the board meeting
45 from June 13th just indicated this application request was
46 to allow for the second freestanding sign to remain on
47 that same location and the board denied that request.
48 Both freestanding signs fronted on Whitehall Road and then
49 also the staff report is in there, as well.

50 And then the third item, there are four photographs

1 taken subject prior to the Board of Zoning meeting that
2 was held on June 13th in your packet and it clearly
3 indicates that both freestanding signs were located on the
4 Whitehall frontage of the McDonald's location.

5 So staff, again, has provided this information to the
6 benefit of the board and makes no recommendation on this.
7 The board will have to determine if this request for the
8 second freestanding sign is consistent with the previous
9 decision that the board made.

10 So I'm here to answer any questions, Mr. Chairman and
11 board, that you may have.

12 HUBERT MCCLURE: Okay. Thank you,
13 staff. At this time we'll open up for public hearing.
14 Anyone speaking against McDonald's sign? Anyone speaking
15 against? Do we have representation for McDonald's at this
16 time? Come on up because we're going to ask you some
17 questions because we told y'all, we told y'all that that
18 sign was supposed to be toward Singer or take it down and
19 y'all took the wrong sign down. So I want y'all to
20 explain to me why y'all took the wrong sign down.

21 MIKE MILLER: State your name,
22 please.

23 JOHN CONNELLY: My name is John
24 Connelly. I appreciate your taking time to talk with us.
25 That's the first we've heard that was the wrong sign that
26 was taken down.

27 HUBERT MCCLURE: Well, y'all had a sign
28 in the corner; right?

29 JOHN CONNELLY: Yes, sir.

30 HUBERT MCCLURE: And we told y'all that
31 one sign had to come down. So we thought y'all were going
32 to take the small sign down.

33 MIKE MILLER: And leave the big sign
34 which is at the corner.

35 HUBERT MCCLURE: Y'all took the big
36 sign down. Why did y'all do that?

37 JOHN CONNELLY: I think it was our
38 understanding that they looked at both and they wanted a
39 second road sign so that we could move it down Pearman
40 Dairy Road. So the one on Whitehall would certainly be
41 clearly on Whitehall and the one at the corner could be
42 moved down so it would front Pearman Dairy Road.

43 HUBERT MCCLURE: Didn't we suggest
44 doing that in the meeting?

45 ALESIA HUNTER: Mr. Chairman, I
46 believe that the board suggested that the smaller sign
47 come down.

48 HUBERT MCCLURE: Yeah, but we also said
49 that the variance, they could put one down toward Singer
50 or whatever it is now.

1 MIKE MILLER: Ryobi.
2 HUBERT MCCLURE: And they could put one
3 on Whitehall. Did we not suggest that?
4 ALESIA HUNTER: What the board had --
5 in exchange for allowing for the two wall signs, there was
6 supposed to only be one sign, period.
7 MIKE MILLER: They wanted an extra
8 wall sign.
9 ALESIA HUNTER: Put the extra wall
10 sign ---
11 HUBERT MCCLURE: So they got the extra
12 wall sign?
13 MIKE MILLER: They got the extra
14 wall sign.
15 HUBERT MCCLURE: Okay. I stand
16 corrected.
17 MIKE MILLER: And then they were
18 going to have the big sign, but they took down the big
19 sign and left the little sign, which is further down
20 Whitehall. That is what we agreed on.
21 HUBERT MCCLURE: Now y'all are wanting
22 to put the sign down 28; correct?
23 JOHN CONNELLY: Yes, sir.
24 MIKE MILLER: I'd let them put the
25 big sign back up and take the small sign down. They could
26 put it on the same ...
27 HUBERT MCCLURE: That McDonald's has
28 been rough since it's been there. Any questions for Mr.
29 Connelly and Ms. Lang; is that correct?
30 JOHN CONNELLY: That's correct; yes.
31 HUBERT MCCLURE: Any questions for Mr.
32 Connelly and Ms. Lang at this time?
33 JOHN FARR: I've got a question
34 for Alesia.
35 HUBERT MCCLURE: Okay. We have a
36 question from Mr. Farr.
37 ALESIA HUNTER: Yes, sir.
38 JOHN FARR: Originally was it not
39 approved for two standing signs?
40 ALESIA HUNTER: Mr. Farr, the board,
41 in exchange for the additional wall signs that McDonald's
42 wanted, Mr. Miller at the time and the board agreed to
43 allow for only one freestanding sign to remain. And the
44 board had -- the two signs were up and then if you
45 remember the board had the staff to start with some
46 compliance, so we had to notify McDonald's that that sign
47 had to be removed within three days because it was
48 contrary to what the board had approved. So there was
49 only one freestanding sign ever approved. Is that
50 correct, Mr. Miller? Am I ---

1 MIKE MILLER: That's correct. So
2 they had two signs. They had two on 28. But they wanted
3 additional wall signs. So they gave up one of the big
4 signs for the additional wall signs.

5 JOHN FARR: Okay.

6 JOHN CONNELLY: Can I speak to that
7 point, please?

8 HUBERT MCCLURE: Yes, sir.

9 JOHN CONNELLY: Yes, sir. So there
10 was some confusion in that. I was at that meeting when we
11 were asking for the additional wall sign. There are
12 already two freestanding road signs that were approved and
13 installed. And the question was put to me, were we going
14 to put any additional wall signs -- or road signs up when
15 we were going to do this wall sign, and I misunderstood
16 that, thinking that we already had our two and we were not
17 talking about any additional road signs. But as it turned
18 out, it turned out that we were -- it was agreed on
19 Anderson County's part that we were willing to trade the
20 wall sign for the road sign, which is not the case.
21 McDonald's would very much rather have the road sign than
22 the wall sign. And then a week later, after we got that
23 ruling, they said that both signs were in the road right-
24 of-way that Mr. Cartee went out to the site and realized
25 that both road signs were in the Whitehall Road right-of-
26 way. So we did a study to try to show where the right-of-
27 way ended and where the other one started. It did -- then
28 we went to a variance meeting to try to get that cleared
29 up. And it was -- our understanding was that since both
30 road signs were on the same road, Whitehall Road, we would
31 have to take one down and then we could come back at a
32 later date with a new location for the second road sign.

33 MIKE MILLER: Are y'all going to put
34 it down -- where exactly are you going to put it?

35 JOHN CONNELLY: We do have -- I guess
36 we don't have the information. Yeah, in your packet you
37 have the information. It's halfway down. There's some
38 underground power easements and overhead electric lines
39 that kind of helps us site where we can locate it.

40 KRISTIN LANG: Here's a plan right
41 here.

42 HUBERT MCCLURE: That's what I ---

43 MIKE MILLER: Here's Pearman Dairy
44 right here.

45 HUBERT MCCLURE: Can you hand me that?
46 Thank you, ma'am. I'm sorry. I like two dimension.
47 Pictures sometimes I can't see. I'm looking for the sign.
48 Where are you thinking about putting it, if you can
49 describe to me? I see Pearman Dairy ---

50 KRISTIN LANG: The very first parking

1 spot right across from the door ---
2 HUBERT MCCLURE: I see it. So right
3 there against that -- I don't know if that's vegetation or
4 median or whatever, but right there ---
5 KRISTIN LANG: We would lose a
6 parking spot to put the sign up.
7 HUBERT MCCLURE: If anybody wants to
8 see it ---
9 JOHN FARR: I'd like to see it,
10 too.
11 HUBERT MCCLURE: Do you mind if I write
12 on this?
13 JOHN CONNELLY: Not at all.
14 KRISTIN LANG: No, you can have --
15 HUBERT MCCLURE: I'm going to circle
16 it, Mr. Farr.
17 JOHN FARR: Okay.
18 HUBERT MCCLURE: We're talking about --
19 I don't know the actual -- what is it, about eighty,
20 ninety feet down Pearman Dairy from the intersection?
21 MIKE MILLER: Are we putting the big
22 sign back or are we moving the little sign?
23 JOHN CONNELLY: It would be the same
24 sign that was taken down.
25 MIKE MILLER: So you're taking the
26 big sign.
27 HUBERT MCCLURE: They're putting the
28 tall sign eighty feet down 28 Bypass.
29 JOHN CONNELLY: Yes, sir. It's
30 currently in storage and they want to install the sign
31 that was there.
32 MIKE MILLER: See, it was right
33 there.
34 HUBERT MCCLURE: Show it to them in
35 case they want to see it. Okay. Any other -- anything
36 else from the board? Anything? Any questions for Ms.
37 Lang or Mr. Connelly or staff? All right. What's the
38 feeling of the board? Y'all have got to say something.
39 DAN HARVELL: Well, I move to
40 approve to allow it to be done.
41 HUBERT MCCLURE: We have a motion to
42 approve. Do we have a second?
43 ALLEN ASHLEY: Second.
44 HUBERT MCCLURE: All in favor show by
45 uplifted hand. And that passes.
46 JOHN CONNELLY: Thank you.
47 HUBERT MCCLURE: I hope this ends the
48 McDonald's ---
49 MIKE MILLER: I want to say this,
50 please don't come back to move that sign again.

1 HUBERT MCCLURE: Please don't move it
2 again.
3 JOHN FARR: I don't see why you
4 need another sign. You've got so much traffic out there
5 now you can't get a hamburger.
6 HUBERT MCCLURE: Oh, my gosh, that's
7 like the Chick-fil-a dysfunction junction up there or what
8 was Chick-fil-a dysfunction junction. They just moved it.
9 Okay. Thank you.
10 Is there any old or new business from the staff or
11 from the board?
12 ALESIA HUNTER: Mr. Chairman, Brittany
13 is currently working on your training. She sent you out a
14 survey.
15 HUBERT MCCLURE: Yeah, I got it.
16 ALESIA HUNTER: She's working diligent
17 on that, so she'll be compiling that. So that's all I
18 have.
19 HUBERT MCCLURE: Is there any way we
20 can have that remoted? I'm just asking because I'm a
21 remote person, so ...
22 ALESIA HUNTER: I think there's a
23 couple on the board that didn't have the capability,
24 that's why we ---
25 HUBERT MCCLURE: Okay.
26 ALESIA HUNTER: --- were trying to do
27 that. But it would be right behind you here in this
28 little area here. With the Planning Commission, as well.
29 So we'll do all the training at the same time.
30 HUBERT MCCLURE: Mine is pretty much
31 going to be in the afternoon because I have classes in the
32 morning. But anyway, any old business from the board or
33 from staff?
34 Okay. Do I have a motion to adjourn?
35 MIKE MILLER: So moved.
36 HUBERT MCCLURE: Okay. Do I have a
37 second? All in favor. And have a good night and be
38 careful.
39
40 **(MEETING ADJOURNED AT 6:32 P.M.)**