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## Memorandum

To: Anderson County Planning Commission
From: Tyanna Holmes
Date: January 2, 2024
Cc: County Council
Re: January 09, 2024 Regular Commission Meetings
The Anderson County Planning Commission is scheduled to hold its next meeting on Tuesday, Janvary 09, 2024 6:00PM at the Historic Courthouse, located at 101 S Main St, Anderson, SC 29624.

The meeting agenda and packet are attached for your review.
Please email tkholmes@andersoncountysc .org or call 864-260-4720, to inform staff whether or not you will be in attendance. This ensures a quorum prior to a mival. Thank you.

## Anderson County Planning Commission

J a nuary 09, 2024
Regula rly Scheduled Meeting 6:00 PM

## AGENDA

1. Call to Order
2. Invocation
3. Pledge of Allegiance
4. Approval of Agenda
5. Approval of Minutes
A. November 14, 2023 minutes
B. December 12, 2023 minutes (forthc oming)
6. Public Hearings
A. Rezoning: $+1-1.5$ acreslocated at 7801 Old Greenville Hwy/ TMS 165-00-07-008 [Council District 4].
7. Old Business
8. New Business
A. Preliminary Subdivision: Crossvine Park located on Beckman Dr./ TMS 151-00-06-022 [Counc il District 2].
B. Preliminary Subdivision: Rustic Ridge located on Dalrymple Rd./ TMS 145-00-11-005, -013, -018 [Council District 4].
C. Preliminary Subdivision: Richland Creek located on Willia ms Rd./ TMS 99-00-03-007 [Counc il District 5].
D. Prelimina ry Subdivision: Kea sler Fa ms loc ated on Whitehall Rd. and Keasler Rd./ TMS 68-00-09-014 [Counc il District 5].
E. Prelimina ry Subdivision: Wa Inut Grove located on Old Pendleton Rd./ TMS 212-00-02-013 [C ounc il District 6].
F. Prelimina ry Subdivision: The Reserve at Powdersville Walk located on Powdersville Main/ TMS 237-00-01-001, -011, -04-003 Counc il District 6].
9. Public Comments, non-agenda items - 3 minutes limit per speaker
10. Other Business
A. Election of Officers (Nominations from the Floor)
i. Chair
ii. Vice- Chair
11. Adjoumment
```
STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )
```

COUNTY OF ANDERSON )

## ANDERSON COUNTY

PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING
NOVEMBER 14, 2023

PRESENT:

DAN HARVELL, CHAIRMAN
JAMES MCCLAIN
BRAD BURDETTE
STEVEN GILREATH
DAVID COTHRAN
JANE JONES

ALSO PRESENT:
ALESIA HUNTER
HENRY YOUMANS
TIM CARTEE
TYANNA HOLMES
BRADEN BANNISTER
CORBAN WILLIAMS
GAYE SPRAGUE

DAN HARVELL:
The November 14, 2023 meeting of the Anderson County Planning Commission will be called to order. We will now stand for the invocation and pledge.

> Mr. Gilreath will do the invocation.

STEVEN GILREATH: Let us pray.

## INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE BY STEVEN GILREATH

 DAN HARVELL:Okay,
Commissioners, you have in front of you a revised agenda. We need to approve the revised agenda at this time. Do I have a motion to approve the agenda? DAVID COTHRAN: Motion. DAN HARVELL: Motion from
Mr. Cothran. Do I have a second?
JAMES MCCLAIN: Second.
DAN HARVELL: Second from
Mr. McClain. Any discussion? Those in favor? And unanimous.

All right, the minutes of the last meeting will be forthcoming. So we don't have any minutes approval at this time.

We will go into what is item number -- on the revised -- well, it's still item number 6. The public hearings, number (a), letter (a), resolution to recommend that Anderson County Council enact an ordinance to amend the 2016 Comprehensive Plan with elements of population increase, economic development workforce, and resilience and maps contained therein.

So I'm assuming we'll hear from staff at this time.

> ALESIA HUNTER: Yes, sir.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Ordinance 2023-042 is, as you mentioned, is an ordinance to amend our 2016 Comprehensive Plan. State law requires staff every five years to amend, update any changes have been made since the 2016. So there's three elements; population, economic development workforce, and the new element of resilience that needs to be updated. We've provided a copy of the map.

Also, this is -- we've had several meetings. Mr. Harvell has participated and Ms. Jane has participated in the county-wide district meetings and we completed those; October 28 was our last meeting. So this is why you have this before you, so we can move this on, move this resolution on the County Council for formal approval. Thank you, Mr. Chair. DAN HARVELL:

Okay. At this time, I'll ask for a motion from the board so that we might get into discussion on this. Do I have a motion and a second to -- okay, we have a motion from

Mr. Cothran. Do I have a second? JANE JONES: Second. DAN HARVELL: Second from
Ms. Jones. And at this time, we will have discussion amongst the board and/or questions for the staff. Any Commissioner on the board have any comments concerning the proposed packet that we are presenting and then to forward on to County Council?

JANE JONES:
Since this
is basically statistical information, I don't think there's any, you know, controversy about any of it. It's just the updated census information is the only changes that are being made that I'm aware of.

ALESIA HUNTER: Yes.
JANE JONES: I think we
should approve it.
DAN HARVELL: Okay, thank
you, Ms. Jones. Anyone else? Okay, at this time we have a motion and a second. Time for the vote. Those in favor of passing this on to Council as a Resolution, raise your hand. And unanimous.

All right, public hearings, item (b), a variance request to reduce the side setbacks from 50 feet to 25 feet located on Hendricks Road. Tax map 164-00-02-013. This property is within County Council District 6 . And staff report.

> ALESIA HUNTER:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. As we mentioned this is Hendricks Road. This is a variance request. James H. and Tammy Knight are the applicants. They are in attendance. This is Hendricks Road in Easley, Council District 6. There's the tax map number for your reference. Current zoning is RA which is residential agricultural. Land use, current land use is vacant and ag. Land uses adjacent to the property is agricultural.

The applicant is requesting a variance for reduction of side yard setbacks. This will be from 50 feet to 25 feet. He's constructing four single-family residential dwellings. And due to topo issues on both sides of the property, this -- I did put a contour map in there so you can see what the elevations are on both sides.

And in addition, there's a stream at the rear of the property. And we've met -- done some work with the applicant to see if he could push the homes further back. And he can't do that. DHEC has asked us to keep any obstructions free and clear of the drain field and there's also a floodplain area back in that area too, that we asked the applicant to stay clear of.

Again, there's a submitted summary of his requests
and a site plan for your review. And in Chapter 48, this is zoned property, so the setbacks are different from unzoned properties. So the side yard setbacks has been changed. This is a newly created ordinance that required the new setbacks be 50 feet from the side property line.

Here is a plat of the property and you can see each lot there. And you can see on the side there where he's got the houses positioned. Lots 12B, C and D on the right side is where he needs the relief, on the right side there. And on the left side on tract 12A, he needs the relief on the left side there. It's a total of 11 acres, as well.

Here's an aerial view of the property. And here's the actual zoning map that shows the surrounding zoning, which is residential agricultural. And there's R20.

Staff recommends approval of the variance requests for the following reasons. The applicant has demonstrated that there is a hardship due to extraordinary and exceptional conditions. This is pertaining to the piece of property. So he's met that threshold. The fact that there's a large drop-off on both sides of the property that will prevent, again, the applicant from pushing the homes further to the right and left sides of the property. And the fact that due to the floodplain at the rear, we -- in our current flood ordinance, we require that that area be left undisturbed because it's getting close to our flood way and to prevent as much as possible for fill and stormwater issues as well. Also the fact that DHEC, we've spoken with DHEC and they've asked that we keep all obstructions free and clear of the drain fill and the septic system, away from that creek. And without that variance, it would make it difficult for the applicant to construct the single family homes. And the Planning Commission has approved other variances due to topo issues and constraints with the property that the applicant has no control over.

And just to let you know that the applicant can meet the front and rear setbacks, so he's not requesting any reduction for those setbacks. So he can meet that without a variance. The zoning doesn't change. Everything remains the same. Agricultural uses throughout the property. And if granted, this is in line with what the, again, what the commission has done in the spirit and intent of the ordinance and it won't, it won't harm any adjacent landowners or characteristics of that neighborhood.

That concludes the staff report, Mr. Chair.

DAN HARVELL:
Okay, thank you, Ms. Hunter. At this time is the developer and/or owner present? You'd like to speak? Okay, if you'll come to the microphone, state your name, address, and everyone has three minutes to share your concerns.

JAMES KNIGHT: Thank you. My name is James Knight. I currently live at 3560 Pelzer Highway in Easley, South Carolina. I don't really have a whole lot of further comments other than what staff has stated, other than, you know, the property to the west of me that I will be beside is already precedent set; it's already set as R20. The lots that I'm even asking for the side setback will still be 125 feet wide. I'm hoping that I can keep the setback somewhere between 30 or plus. I just don't know what size the houses are going to be at the present. I just need to make sure I have the variance before I close on the property.

Your consideration would be greatly appreciated. DAN HARVELL: Okay. Thank you. If you'll remain there. Does anyone from the Commission have a question for the developer?

JANE JONES: You've
talked about four septic tanks for the four houses; is that correct?

JAMES KNIGHT: Yes, ma'am. they'll each have to have their septic tank. Yes, ma'am.

JANE JONES: I have concerns about that, for the same reason that, you know, you're asking for this variances, the topography of that land is just getting -- messing up your plan. But I'm concerned about how you're going to drain these four septic tanks with all the issues that you have, with the flood plain in the back and the creek. I don't know how much preliminary work you've done on that.

JAMES KNIGHT: Yes, ma'am. I have met with DHEC. The first piece of property, which will be where I build my house, I did receive a permit from DHEC for it today. They've also talked to me about the other two -- three lots. I'll be 100 foot back from the setback, which is a setback. And then the house will probably be roughly 40 foot deep. So that's 140 feet. If I have to put the drain field in, I have a 780 feet to the back of the property. So they said as long as I'm 100 feet away, which I should be able to meet easily, then I'll be -- I should be fine. They tell me they see no problem with permitting the other three houses.

DAN HARVELL:
Okay, anyone
else? Any other questions from the board?
STEVEN GILREATH: I don't
guess, I don't guess it really matters. But there's a note in here about family.

JAMES KNIGHT: It's going to
be a family compound, if you will. Yes, sir. We're going to build a house and my three kids are going to build there beside us. Yes, sir.

DAN HARVELL: Okay, anyone else? All right. Thank you, sir. If we need to, we'll call you back.

JAMES KNIGHT: All right.
Thank you.
DAN HARVELL:
All right. At this time, we have one citizen signed up to speak and that's Nayra Gumm. Well, you may have signed the wrong one.

NAYRA GUMM: (Inaudible.)
DAN HARVELL: Okay. All
right. That's fine. We'll call you back up then.
I noticed we had a few people come in. Did anyone come in late concerning this? Okay. If not, I'll ask the pleasure of the board concerning this matter. Do I have a motion to approve or deny?

BRAD BURDETTE: I move that
it be approved.
DAN HARVELL: Mr. Burdette,
motions to approve. A second?
STEVEN GILREATH: Second.
DAN HARVELL: A second from
Mr. Gilreath. Any discussion amongst the board?
Hearing none, we'll take the vote. Those in favor of approval. And Ms. Jones, are you voting nay or --JANE JONES: I'm sorry. DAN HARVELL: That's okay. JANE JONES: I vote with
you.
DAN HARVELL:
All right.
So that passes unanimously.
All right. Item (c), Pendleton High School issue. Staff.

ALESIA HUNTER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. As you just mentioned, this is a public hearing for Pendleton High School. As you know, the referendum passed on Tuesday. So they are proceeding with the Planning Commission for land use approval. The preliminary project name is Pendleton High School. This is in Anderson School District 4. And Rick Rogers is the authorized representative.

Again, this is a new high school which is considered institutional. It's located on Boscobel Road between Highway 187 and La France Road there. Details of the project consists of the construction of a 228,000 square foot high school. And this will be for approximately 1200 students. A 1200 square foot press box and four 370 square foot dugouts and two 2000 square foot ancillary buildings with site improvements, and this includes parking, sidewalks and drop-off areas for parents to drop off students. And there will also be a service area with baseball and softball complex with those improvements, as well. And this consists of $500-\mathrm{plus}$ parking spaces. And this will accommodate the faculty and staff and students.

Surrounding land uses is vacant and, of course, institutional use. That's where the existing high school is there. Site area is 66 acres. This is in Council District 4. Unzoned. There's your tax map numbers. There's four different parcels there. Anderson County will be supplying the sewer, Duke Energy, Sandy Springs water and there's no variances that are requested.

Traffic impact analysis. We'll ask Ms. Gaye Sprague, our traffic engineer, to come up and discuss the traffic.

GAYE SPRAGUE: Thank you. We received the traffic study. It had been previously reviewed by the department and DOT down at headquarters that's responsible for reviewing all school traffic studies. So they had previously reviewed it and we do concur with their findings. And so what the school will have to do, the road improvements are to install turn lanes, both a left turn lane and a right turn lane, on Boscobel at their site accesses, and then at Boscobel and 187, they are going to install a right turn lane on Boscobel and DOT is going to do a traffic signal there.

So those are the improvements that are required and the traffic study indicated that everything will operate acceptably once those are done.

DAN HARVELL: Okay, thank
you. Any questions for -- at this time?
GAYE SPRAGUE: Thank you. DAN HARVELL: Okay, thank you.

ALISIA HUNTER:
Here is the overall site plan of the new school there. You can see the new practice field, the new band area there. Teacher parking is to the right there. Then there's a detention pond as shown there, as well. Of course the
new high school facility there.
JANE JONES:
Is there
another school in close proximity to this one? Maybe the middle school? I just was curious.

> ALESIA HUNTER: No.

GAYE SPRAGUE:
The Middle
School is going to go in where the -- I don't know if you (inaudible), but it's going to go in where the high school is now. The site is right behind the existing High School. And in the traffic study, they left that traffic in the study so it's been accounted for.

ALESIA HUNTER: Here's an aerial map of the location and you can see the existing facility there.

DAN HARVELL: May I ask, because it's not on here. Where is, where is Highway 76? 76/178, basically the Clemson ---

ALESIA HUNTER: You see the
blue line there?
DAN HARVELL: That's the
blue -- okay. All right. Yeah, I see it now. Isn't that not very legible? Okay. Thank you.

Any other questions for staff?
JAMES MCCLAIN: (Inaudible.)
GAYE SPRAGUE: (Inaudible.)
STEVEN GILREATH: Is there a
left turn lane from 187 on to Boscobel? Or is that part of DOT's ---

JAMES MCCLAIN: (Inaudible.)
STEVEN GILREATH: There is one
now?
ALESIA HUNTER:
Okay, here you go. Okay. Staff recommendation is approval, with the following conditions if the Planning Commission votes approval. A South Carolina DHEC approval, this will be a letter and a permit for stormwater erosion sediment control. Anderson County approval letter for stormwater erosion control, as well. Anderson County sewer. And of course, Ms. Sprague talked about the SCDOT improvements, and an encroachment permit will be required, as well. And of course detailed site plans, we'll be working with ADC Engineering to get all the landscaping and buffers and all the details on there, as well. Then of course a grading permit will be issued prior to commencing with development. And of course, we'll be working with the state of South Carolina. Anderson County Building Codes does not permit the actual facility itself. So we'll be working directly with the state of South Carolina in terms of the building permit for construction.

That concludes staff report. We do recommend approval of the facility.

DAN HARVELL: Thank you,
Ms. Hunter.
At this time, is there a representative from District 4 to give any presentation or comments? If you'll please come to the microphone so we can make sure you get recorded correctly in the minutes. Thank you.

CLAY GREENE: My name is Clay Greene. I'm with ADC engineering. I am the civil engineer on the project. I am here to answer any questions.

DAN HARVELL: All right, if you'll stay there a second. Any question for the engineer from the board? Okay, thank you, sir.

Now, Ms. Gumm, if you'll come forward and state your name and address.

NAYRA GUMM: Good evening, my name is Nayra Gumm. I live at 107 Teeside Drive, which is the street that's perpendicular to Boscobel Road.

So the reason why I'm here is because I have some concerns and some inquiries as it pertains to the roads and some other issues associated to the high school.

So it was mentioned here now and I read the traffic analysis -- the traffic impact analysis. And I am concerned. Boscobel Road is a two-way road. We've been living in our neighborhood for about six years now. And we see the traffic that takes place on the 187 road. The entrance to our -- where we live, which is Teeside Drive is one way going into the private homes and it's the same way out. And so we are concerned with the amount of traffic that is going to be in -- within the Boscobel Road that may impact our ability to come out of our residential area and into our residential area.

So my question is, and I did not see this as one of the features that are being discussed. Is there an intent or is there consideration for widening that road to help with a traffic load that will be impacted by the high school?

DAN HARVELL: All right. Ms. Sprague, would you care to address that?

GAYE SPRAGUE: Yes, those
that -- those are the -- that's the location, not at your street but at the school itself, that's the location of the turn lanes that are going to be put in. So the turn lanes will allow the school traffic to get over out of the way and so the rest of the traffic can
flow smoothly.
NAYRA GUMM: Yeah, but a turn lane usually is a very small portion to make the left turn. So what happens with the overarching traffic? Because there are multiple entrances to the school, and I'm afraid -- I mean, today that road is seeing more traffic than before as a result of the amount of new homes that are being built in our area. So now by adding the high school traffic, I can just imagine what it's going to look like in our neighborhood in trying to get into Boscobel, making a right turn or a left turn with all the traffic that will be impacted -- that will be impacting our area. GAYE SPRAGUE: Mr. Chairman, this is part of what was addressed, and certainly understand the concern. We did -- the traffic study did include growth for the area. It also included the developments that y'all recently approved along 187. So the traffic study did everything that we require to account for all of that growth. And we don't require a traffic study to look at every intersection in the area. But it did indicate that the ones that we did look at would operate acceptably by our standards.

DAN HARVELL: Okay, thank
you.
NAYRA GUMM:
Can I ask
when that impact analysis was performed, because within the past six months, this is where we've seen an increase in traffic where we live as a result of the homes being built. So I was wondering whether that's being taken into consideration, as well as the additional homes that are going to be built in the neighborhood that will need access to Boscobel Road. GAYE SPRAGUE: Well, the traffic study has been done within the last six months. I don't have the exact date of the counts, but they are recent counts. We only accept recent counts or counts that we know ahead of time we can accept that are older, but these are recent counts.

DAN HARVELL: Okay, thank
you, Ms. Gumm. Thank you.
NAYRA GUMM: Can I raise
-- or my time limit is ---
DAN HARVELL: Pardon?
Yeah, your time -- your three minutes is way past.
NAYRA GUMM: Okay. Thank
you.
DAN HARVELL: Thank you.
Thank you.
All right, at this time do we have any questions
of citizens or the staff before we ask for a motion? All right, I will ask for a motion to approve or deny this project at this time.

STEVEN GILREATH: I'll make a
motion to approve.
DAN HARVELL:
Okay, motion
by Mr. Gilreath. I have a second from Mr. McClain.
Any discussion amongst the board?
I do have one question for staff. Ms. Hunter, I noticed on the application the date of the application was left blank. Since this was actually ---

ALESIA HUNTER: It says October 7th.

DAN HARVELL: Does it
because ---
ALESIA HUNTER: Yes, sir.
It's small, very small.
DAN HARVELL: All right. Okay, I was just wondering that in relation to the fact that, you know, this project would proceed or not proceed based on the referendum vote.

ALESIA HUNTER: Yeah, we had
to wait until that night to get the tally with voter registration in order to proceed further with it.

DAN HARVELL: Okay. All
right. Thank you. Just a point of clarification there.

All right. We have a motion and a second. No more discussion amongst the board. Those in favor for approving this project, please vote. And it is unanimous.

Thank you.
Now on to old business. Staff presentation. TIM CARTEE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. On the consent agenda for tonight is the Sterling Place subdivision, and the developer is Robert Wright. And its location is Manley Drive and it's for 24 lots. And it was previously approved back in May 2021.

And Shockley Bend Subdivision is also the same owner. Developer is Robert Wright. And location is Manley Drive and lots -- well that's a typo. It should be 168 lots, I believe, on this one off of Shockley Bend that was approved back on May 20, 2021.

And they're asking for a six month extension. That's all I have, Mr. Chairman.

DAN HARVELL: Okay. All right. I don't think we -- do we have a citizen signup sheet for this matter?

ALESIA HUNTER: It's not --

```
it's just information only.
    DAN HARVELL:
        I didn't
    think so. All right. So we as a board will take this
    as information and vote on whether or not to allow this
    to be extended for six months. Do I have a motion for
    that approval? Mr. Cothran. Second by Mr. Burdette.
    Any discussion amongst the board?
    JANE JONES: I'm
    assuming there's been no changes to the plans.
    DAN HARVELL: There's no
    change.
    DAN HARVELL: Okay.
        Anyone else? All right, those in favor of granting the
    extension. And unanimous.
    DAN HARVELL:
                            Let me
record that motion and second. It was made first by
Mr. Cothran. And second was by Mr. Burdette; correct?
Okay, thank you.
    All right. Old business, item (b). Or were those
combined? They were combined?
    TIM CARTEE: Yes, they
were combined; same owner.
                    DAN HARVELL: All right.
Okay. New business. (A) preliminary subdivision,
Anderson Reserve located on Fants Grove circle. Tax
map 42-00-01-010. And this is in Council District 4.
                    TIM CARTEE: Mr. Chairman,
that has been pulled. I believe you have a note on
there that it was pulled from the agenda.
    DAN HARVELL: Is that the
one that was pulled? Okay, I'm sorry. I'm looking at
two agendas at the same time here. Yeah, I know about
    (a), yeah.
    Okay, so at this time, we will go into public
    comments on non-agenda items. And we have no one
    signed up for that.
    And is there any other business to be taken care
of at this time?
                    HENRY YOUMANS: Mr. Chair?
                DAN HARVELL: Yes, Mr.
Youmans.
                    HENRY YOUMANS: For the
commissioners who are not able to attend the Planning
Commission training, you will receive an email from me
in the next day or two. It's going to offer virtual
training session through the Association of Counties,
and we just ask that you just complete that information
and then just inform us when you have it done.
DAN HARVELL: Okay, thank
you, Mr. Youmans. Anything else?
```

```
    All right, hearing nothing else, do I have a
motion to adjourn?
    DAVID COTHRAN: Motion.
    DAN HARVELL: Motion from
Mr. Cothran? Second Mr. McClain. All those in favor
of adjournment. Thank you.
    MEETING ADJOURNED AT APPROXIMATELY 6:33 P.M.
```


# Anderson County Planning Commission <br> Staff Report <br> January 9, 2024 

Applicant: Grant Sullivan
Current Owner: Same as above
Precinct: Three and Twenty
TMS\#: 165-00-07-008
Acreage: $\quad 1.5$ acres
Council District: District (4)
Current Zoning: Residential Agricultural (R-A)
Requested Zoning: Rural Commercial District. (C-1R)
The purpose of this district is to provide for commercial activity in areas which are generally rural in character and for the convenience of local residents in rural areas. This district shall not be applied in those areas of Anderson County which are identifiable as urban in character, or which possess facilities necessary for extensive urban growth and development.

Surrounding Zoning: All surrounding properties as zoned Residential Agricultural (R-A).

Evaluation: The request is to rezone the parcel to $C-1 R$ to construct a restaurant and market facility. The existing restaurant was constructed prior to the implementation of the R-A Zoning. Due to the size of the proposed restaurant, the applicant is unable to request a special exception. Under Chapter 48, Section 6:2Nonconforming uses of land or structures existing at the time of initial adoption of the precinct zoning map shall not hereafter be enlarged or extended in any way except as outlined below: Legally nonconforming structures containing less than 2,400 square feet of floor area and occupying more than two acres may
expand by a maximum of 25 percent of the portion of the structure dedicated to the nonconforming use at the time of the adoption of this amendment, or to a total of 3,000 square feet, whichever is greater.

Public Outreach: Staff hereby certifies that the required public notification actions have been completed as follows:

December 21, 2023. Rezoning notification postcards were sent to 42 property owners within 2,000 ' of the subject property.

December 21, 2023. Rezoning notification signs posted on subject property.

December 21, 2023. Planning commission public hearing advertisement published in the Independent Mail.

Staff Recommendation: At the Planning Commission Meeting during which the rezoning is scheduled to be discussed, staff will present their recommendation at that time.


Date of Submission

## Applicant's Information



Owner Name:
Mailing Address:
Telephone:
Email:

## Designation of Agent: (Complete only If owner is not the applicant)

I hereby appoint the person named the Applicant as my agent to represent me in this request for rezoning:
$\square$ Owner's Signature $\quad 12 / 1 / 2023$

## Project Information

| Property Location: | 7801 Old Greenville Hwy, Easley, SC 29642 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Parcel Number(s)/TMS: | $165-00-07-008-000$ |  |  |
| County Council District: | CCD Four | School District: | Anderson One |
| Total Acreage: | Current Land Use: | None |  |
| Requested Zoning: | C-1R | Current Zoning: | R-A |
|  |  |  |  |

Purpose of Rezoning:

[^0]If you indicated yes, please provide a copy of your covenants and deed restrictions with this application, pursuant to State Law (Section 6-29-1145: July 1, 2007), delermining existence of restrictive covenants. Coples may be obtained af the Regisier of Deeds Office. It is the applicant's responsiblility for checking any subdivision covenants or private covenants pertaining to the property.

Additional Information or Comments: We would like to reopen a cafe and new marke which aims to supply local food and other products to the surrounding community. Our venture seeks to revive a cherished local landmark that previously operated as a cafe (Siabtown Cafe) but has remained unused for over 20 years. The revitalization of the Slabtown Cafe will serve as a hub for community engagement and access to local produce and products.

An accurate plat (survey) of the property must be submitted with this application.

If pursuing a review district classification (IZOD, PC, PD, POD, RRD), a preliminary development plan, statement of intent and letters from appropriate agencies or districts verifying available and adequate public facilities must be submitted with the application.

> Please refer to Chapter 48 of the Anderson County Code of Ordinances for further information regarding submission requirements.

As the applicant, I hereby confirm that all required information and materials for this application are authentic and have been submitted to the Planning \& Development office.

*A zoning map amendment may be initiated by the property owner(s), Planning Commission. Zoning Administrator or County Council. *

| For Office Use Only: |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Application Received By: |  |  |  |
| Commission Public Hearing: |  | Complete Submission Date: <br> Council Public Hearing: |  |









|  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 500 | 1,000 |  |  |  |
| 2,000 Feet |  |  |  |  |  |

COUNTY OF ANDERSON $\quad$ ) WARRANTY DEED

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS that Jimmy Roger Sullivan, Jr. in consideration of five ( $\$ 5.00$ ) dollars and no other consideration, the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, have granted, bargained, sold and released, and by these presents do grant, bargain, sell and release unto Michael Grant Sullivan, Jr., his fee simple absolute interest in the property known as:

All that certain piece parcel and lot of land lying and being on Highway 29, in the County of Anderson, State of South Carolina and known and designated as Tract A- 3 containing 1.5 acres, more or less, on a plat by Perry Ran Dunn, PLS no.
19400 dated November 4, 2009, and recorded November 19, 2009 in the Register of Deeds Office for Anderson County on Plat Slide 1853 at Page 5 and having the courses and distances, metes and bounds as upon said plat appear, the description contained therein being incorporated by reference.

This being the same property conveyed to the Grantor by deed of Evelyn B. Sullivan dated December 5, 2012 and recorded in the Register of Deeds Office for Anderson County in Deed Book 10714 at Page 232 on December 7, 2012.

TMS \# 165-00-07-008-000.

This conveyance is made subject to any and all existing reservations, easements, rights of way, zoning ordinances, setback lines, and restrictions or protective covenants that may appear of record, on the recorded plats or on the premises.

Together with all and singular rights, members, hereditaments, and appurtenances to said premises belonging or in any wise incident or appertaining; to have and to hold al! and singular the premises before mentioned unto the grantee and the grantee's heirs, successors, cxecutors and administrators to warrant and forever defend all and singular said premises unto the grantee and the grantee's heirs, successors, and assigns against the grantor and the grantor's heirs, successors and assigns and against every person whomsoever lawfully claiming or to claim the same or any part thereof.

WITNESS the Grantor's hand and seal this $19^{\text {th }}$ day of April, 2016.
Signed, Sealed and Delivered in the presence of:


Grantees' Address
7825 Old Greenville Hwy
Easley SC 29642
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA } & \\ \text { COUNTY OF GREENVILLE E } & \text { ) PROBATE }\end{array}$
Personally appeared the undersigned witness and made oath that she saw the within named grantors sign seal and as the grantors' act deliver the within deed and that she with the other witness subscribed above witnessed the execution thereof.


PERSONALLY appeared before me the undersigned. who being duly swom, deposes and says:

1. I have read the information on this affidavit and I understand such information.
2. The property being transferred is located at Hwy 29
bearing Anderson
County Tax Map Number 1650007008000 _ was transferred
by Jimmy Roger Sullivan, Jr.
to Michael Gran! Sullivan, Jr.
on April 19, 2016
3. Check one of the following: The deed is
(a) $\qquad$ subject to the deed recording fee as a transfer for consideration pait or to be paid in money or money's
(b) worth.
$\qquad$ subject to the deed recording fee as a transfer between a corporation, a partnership, or other entity and a stockholder, partner, or owner of the entity, or is a transfer to a trust or as a distribution to a trust beneficiary.
(c) $\quad \checkmark$ exempt from the deed recording fee because (see information section of affidavit):
paraqraph 1 $\qquad$ (If exempt, please skip items 4-7 and go to item 8 of this affidavit.)
4. Check one of the following if either items $3(a)$ or item $3(b)$ above has been checked (see information section of this affidavit):
(a) $\qquad$ The fee is computed on the consideration paid or to be paid in money or money's worth in the amount of
(b) $\qquad$ The fee is compued on the fair market value of the realty which is $\qquad$ -
(c) The fee is computed on the fair market value of the realty as established for propery tax purposes which is
5. Check Yes $\qquad$ or No $\qquad$ to the following: A lien or encumbrance existed on the land, tenement, or realty before the transfer and remained on the land, tenement, or realty after the transfer. If "yes" the amount of the outstanding balance of this lien or encumbrance is: $\qquad$ -.
6. The deed recording fee is computed as follows:
(a) Place the amount listed in item 4 above here:
(b) Place the amount listed in item 5 above here: (If no amount is listed, place zero here)
(c) Subtract line 6(b) from line 6(a) and place result here:
$\qquad$

St
$\qquad$
7. The deed recording fee due is based on the amount listed on line $6(\mathrm{c})$ above and the deed recording fee due is :
8. As tequired by Code Section 12-24-70, I state that I am a responsible person who was connected with the transaction as: Attorney
9. I understand that a person required to furnish this affidavit who willfully furnishes a false or fraudulent affidavit is guilty of a misdemeanor and, upon conviction. must be fined not more than one thousand dollars or imprisoned not more than one year, or both.

SWORN to before me this $25^{\text {th }}$
dayp Aprii Notary Public for South Corolina My Commission Expires: $1 / 23 / 22$


Print or Type Name Here

## INFIRMATION

Except as provided in this paragraph, the ierm "valuc" means "the consideration paid or to be paid in money or money"s worth for the realiy "Cousideration poid or to be paid in money's worth includes, but is not limited to, other realty, personal property, slocks, bonds, pantnership, interest and other intangible property, the forgiveness or canceilation of a debl. the assumption of a debt, and the surrendering of any right. The fair market value of the consideration must be used in calculating the consideration paid in moncy's worth. Taxpayers may elect to use the fair market value of the realty being transferred in determining fair market value of the consideration. In the case of realty transferred between a corporation, a partnership, or other enity and a stockholder, panter, or owner of the entity, and in the case of reatty transferted to a trust or as a distribution to a irust beneficiary, "value" means the realty's fair market value. A deduction from value is allowed for the amount of any lien or encumbrance cxisting on the land, ienement, or realty before the transfer and remaining on the land, tenement, or realty after the iransfer. Taxpayers thay elect to use the fair market value for property lax pusposes in determining fair market value under the provisions of the law.

Exempled from the fee are deeds:
(1) transtering teatly in which the value of the realiy, as defined in Code Section 12-24-30, is cqual to or less then one hundred dollars:
(2) Iransferting reatly to the federal government or 10 a state, its agencies and deparments, and its pohitical subdivisions, including schaol districts;
(3) that are otherwise exempted under the laws and Constitution of this Siale or of the United States;
(4) transferring realiy in which no gain or loss is recognized by reason of Section 1041 of the Imemal Revenue Code as defined in Section 12-6-40(A).
(5) transfering realty in order to partition realty as long as no consideration is patd for the transfer other then the interesis in the really that are being exchanged in order lo partition the realty:
(6) transferring an individual grave space at a cemelery owned by a cenetery company heensed under Chapler 55 of Title 39,
(7) that constitute a contrati for the sale of timber to becut:
(8) Iransferring realty to a corporation, a partnership, or a trust in order to bccome, or as, a stockholder, partner, or trust beneficiary of the entity provided no consideration is paid for the transfer other than stock in the corporation, interest in the partnership, beneficiary interest in the trust, of the increase in value in such slock or interest held be the grantor. However, the transfer of realty from a compration. a partnership. or a trust to a slocklolder, pariner, or trust bencliciary of the erity is subject to the fee even if the really is transfermed to anolher corporation, a partnership. or trusi;
(9) transferring realty from a family partnership to a partice or from a family trust to a beneficiary, provided no consideration is paid for the transfer other than a reduction in the grantee 's interest in the parmership or trust. A "family pannership" is a parmership whose partners are all members of the same fambly A "fimily trust" is a trust, in which the bencficiaries are at members of the same family. The beneficiaries of a family trust my also include charitable entities. "Family" means the grantor and the grantor"s spouse, parents, grandparents, sisters, brothers, children, stepchildren, grandchildren, and the spouses and lineal descendants of any the above. A "charitable entity" means an entity which may receive deductible contsbbutions under section 170 of the Internal revenue Code as defined in Section :12-6-40(A):
( 10 ) Iransfering really in a statutory merger or consolidation from a constituent corporation to the contizuing or new corporation:
(11) Itansferring really in a merger or consolidation from a constituent partnership to the continuing or new partnership; and
(12) that cunstitute a corrective deed or a quitclaim deed used to confirm title already vested in the grantec. provided that no consideration of any kind is paid or is to be paid under the corrective or quiteluim deed,
(13) transferring realty subject 10 a mongage to the mortgagee whether by a deed in lieu of foreclosure executed by the mongagor or deed executed pursuant to foreclosure procecdings:
(14) Iransfering realty fotm an agent to the agent's principal in which the realty was purchased with funds of the principal, provided that notarized document is also filed with the deed that establishes the fact that the agent and principal relationship existed at the time d original purchase as well as for the puppose of purchasing the realty;
(IS) Iransferfing title to lacilities for transmithing electricity that is tsansferred, sold, or exchatged by elecitical utilities, mancipalities, 7 cooperatives, or poltical subdivisions to a limited liability company which is subject to regulation under the Federal Power Act (Ifits Section 791 (a)) and which is formed to operate or to take functional control of electric transmission assets as defined in the Federatil? Act

# Anderson County Planning Commission <br> January 9, 2024 6:00 PM <br> Staff Report - Preliminary Subdivision 

368 property owners within $2000^{\prime}$ of the proposed development were notified via postcard.
Preliminary Subdivision Name: Crossvine Park
Intended Development: Single Family (Conservation)
Applicant: Davis \& Floyd, Inc. on behalf of Forestar (USA)Real Estate Group, Inc.
Surveyor/Engineer: Davis \& Floyd
Location/Access: ..... Beckman Dr. (State)
County Council District: ..... 2
Surrounding Land Use: Residential
Zoning: Un-zoned
Tax Map Number: ..... 151-00-06-022
Number of Acres: ..... +/- 34.30 acres
Number of Lots: ..... 112
Variance: ..... No

Traffic Impact Analysis:
Beckman Dr. is classified as a major collector with no maximum average vehicle trips per day.
No roadway improvements are required to accommodate site traffic, please see attached traffic impact study.

Staff Recommendation: Sec. 24-335.
At the planning commission meeting during which the plat is scheduled to be discussed, the subdivision administrator shall present his recommendation to the planning commission. (Ord. No. 03-007, § 1, 4-15-03)

## Development Standards

 Subdivision Plat ApplicationAnderson County Code of Ordinance Chapter 24 Land Use

Application Received By: ME
Date:_12-1-2023

DS Number: 24-04
Thank you for your interest in Anderson County, South Carolina. This packet includes the necessary documents for review of subdivision development plans to be reviewed by county staff.

Should you need further assistance, please feel free to contact Development Standards between the hours of $8: 30$ a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday at (864) 260-4719

## DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS REVIEW APPLICATION

Note: All plats must first be submitted to Development Standards. After submittal, plats will be distributed to the proper departments for review.

APPLICATIONS MUST BE SUBMITED BY THE POSTED DEADLINE AND PRIOR TO 3:00 PM. INCOMPLETE APPLICATIONS OR APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED AFTER THE POSTED DEADLINE WILL NOT BE PROCESSED. THE SUBMITTED PLANS WIL NOT BE REVIEWED UNTL THE APPLICATION/SUBMITAL IS COMPLETE AND WIL BE PLACED ON THE NEXT REGULAR SCHEDULED AGENDA MEETING.

Proposed Subdivision Name: Crossvine Park

1. Name of Applicant:

Davis \& Floyd, Inc. on behalf of Forestar (USA) Real Estate Group, Inc.
164 Milestone Way, Suite 200, Greenville, SC 29615
Address of Applicant:
Telephone Number(s): (864) 527-9800
Email: bsmith@davisfloyd.com
2. Property Owner(s): Beckman Partners, LLC.

Address: 812 East Main Street, Spartanburg, SC 29302
Telephone Number(s): 8644906784
Email: triciachassen@forestar.com
3. Engineer/Surveyor(s): Bradley Smith, PE

Email: bsmith@davisfloyd.com

## Project Information

4. Project Location: 700 LF of the intersection of Beckman Drive \& Highway 28 South

5. Have any changes been made since this plat was last before the Planning Commission? No If so, please describe.

This is the first submittal of the preliminary plat
6. Is there a request for a variance? $\qquad$
no
7. SCDOT/ Roads \& Bridges must be contacted for this development prior to Planning Commission review, please attach conformation letters. A traffic impact study shall be required for access approval through the state and county encroachment permit process when a development will generate 100 or more trips during the peak hour of the traffic generator or the peak hour of the adjacent street., see section 24-115(f) Traffic Impact Studies in the Anderson County Code of Ordinances.
8. Are there any current Covenants in effect for this proposed development? Yes


No
IfYes, please attach document.

Sec.24-335. - Revlew procedure; recommendations; approval.
Prior to making any physical Improvements on the potential subdivision site, the subdivider shall create a preliminary plat containing the information required by lection 24-336. If the subdivision administrator determines that the information provided on the plat fuffills the requirements of section L24-336, the subdivision administrator shall submit a written recommendation to the planning commission, to approve the "Preliminary Plat". If staff recommends approval, this does not guarantee that the Planning Commission will approve the Preliminary Plat, pursuant to Sec. $24-335$ (C) (3)
Planning Commission Decisions: In addition to the standards set forth in this chapter and the recommendations of staff, the Planning Commission will also take into consideration the following criteria when making its decision to reject or approve a preliminary plat:

- public health, safety, convenience, prosperity, and the general welfare;
-balancing the interests of subdivides, homeowners, and the public;
-the effects of the proposed development on the local tax base; and;
-the ability of existing or planned infrastructure and transportation systems to serve the proposed development.


## Subdivision Plat Application Check List

## The following checklist is to aid the applicant in providing the necessary materials for submittal.

- Application Submittal Requirements and Process

To submit a Subdivision Plat Application, you must provide the following to the Development Standards Office:

1. Two (2) $8 \mathrm{~V} / 2 \times 11$ copies of the Preliminary Plat Two (2) $17 \times 24$ (or larger) copies of the Preliminary.
2. Completed Subdivision Application
3. Check made payable to Anderson County Development Standards for Preliminary Plat Review.
(Fee for Preliminary Plat Review is $\$ 500.00$ plus $\$ 20.00$ per Iol) (Fee for Revisions $\$ 250.00$ )

## Sec. 24-336. - Preliminary plat.

The preliminary plat shall contain the following information:
(1) Location of subdivision on a map indicating surrounding areas at an appropriate scale sufficient to locate the subdivision.
(2) Map of development at a scale of not less than one inch equals 200 feet and not more than one inch equals 50 feet.
(3) Name of subdivision, name and address of the owner(s), name of engineer or surveyor and the names of the owners of abutting properties.
(4) A boundary survey of the area to be subdivided, showing bearings measured in degrees, minutes and seconds and distances measured in feet and decimals thereof
(5) Present land use of land to be subdivided and of the abutting property and/or properties.
(6) Acreage of land to be subdivided.
(7) Contour maps of the proposed subdivision, with maximum contour intervals of ten feet or three meters.
(8) Tax map number of original parcel or parcels prior to subdivision.
(9) Location of existing and proposed easements with their location, widths and distances.
(10) Location of existing water courses, culverts, railroads, roads, bridges, dams, and other similar structures or features.
(11) Location of utilities and utility easements on and adjacent to the tract, showing proposed connections to existing utility systems.
(12) Proposed lot lines, lot numbers, lot dimensions and lot acreages.
(13) North arrow.
(14) . Proposed road names pre-approved by E-911 Addressing Office for the county.
(15). Certification by licensed surveyor stating that all lot sizes meet minimum size standards.
(16) Designation of any areas that fall within any flood plain indicating the high water mark for same.

Provide centerline data, road stations and label the point of curvature ( PC ), point of tangency ( PT ), and curve radius of each horizontal curve on the preliminary plat.

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT \& Property Owner:
I (we) certify as property owners or authorized representative that the information shown on and any attachment to this application is accurate to the best of my (our) knowledge, I (we) understand that any inaccuracies may be considered just cause for postponement of action on the request and/or invalidation of this application or any action taken on this application.

Date $\frac{12 / 01 / 2023}{12 / 01 / 2023}$

Page 2 of 2


# MEMORANDUM <br> ANDERSON COUNTY ROADS AND BRIDGES 

## DATE:

November 16, 2023

## TO:

FROM:

Allen J. Reid, PE, Impact Designs Inc.

Gaye Garrison Sprague, PE
Traffic Engineer
Cc:
Bee Baker, PE, Principal Engineer, Bill Rutledge, PE, Assistant Principal Engineer, Matt Hogan, Roads \& Bridges Manager, Tim Cartee, Land Development Administrator, Brittany McAbee, Roads \& Bridges

## SUBJECT:

Beckman Drive Residential TIS
This development is planned with 112 single family detached units with two accesses on Beckman Drive. This Traffic Impact Study (TIS) was conducted by Impact Designs Inc. and dated November 1, 2023. The study was conducted per the requirements of Anderson County, and these are the findings of the study and our review:

- The study addressed three intersections: SC 28/Beckman Drive and Beckman at the two site accesses.
- The build volumes do not meet turn lane warrants at either site access.
- The overall LOS for the signalized intersection (SC 28/Beckman) is the same for both no build and build volumes.
- The site accesses will be unsignalized, and all movements will operate at or better than LOS B with build volumes.

In summary, no roadway improvements are required to accommodate site traffic.
Please call if you have any questions.

| Tommy Dunn <br> Chairman, District 5 | John B Wright, Jr <br> Council District 1 | Greg Elgin <br> Council District 3 | Cindy Wilson <br> Council Distric 77 | ANDERSON COUNTY <br> SOUTH CAROLINA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Brett Sanders <br> V. Chairman, District 4 4 | Glenn Davis <br> Council District 2 | Jimmy Davis <br> Council Distric 6 | Renee Watts <br> Clerk to Council | Rusty Burns \| County Administrator <br> rburns@andersoncountysc.org |




# Anderson County Planning Commission January 9, 2024 <br> 6:00 PM <br> Staff Report - Preliminary Subdivision 

128 property owners within $2000^{\prime}$ of the proposed development were notified via postcard.

## Preliminary Subdivision Name: Rustic Ridge

Intended Development: Single Family
Applicant: Danny Holtzman
Surveyor/Engineer: Gray
Location/Access: Dalrymple Rd. (State)
County Council District: 4
Surrounding Land Use: Residential
Zoning: R-20
Tax Map Number: $\quad 145-00-11-005,-013,-018$
Number of Acres: +/- 11.56 acres
Number of Lots: 18
Variance: No

Traffic Impact Analysis:
Dalrymple Rd is classified as a major collector with no maximum average vehicle trips per day.
Staff Recommendation: Sec. 24-335.
At the planning commission meeting during which the plat is scheduled to be discussed, the subdivision administrator shall present his recommendation to the planning commission. (Ord. No. 03-007, § 1, 4-15-03)


## Development Standards Subdivision Plat Application

Anderson County Code of Ordinance Chapter 24 Land Use

Scheduled Public Hearing Date: $1-9.24$
Application Received By


Date: $1 /-50-23$
DS Number: $24-62$ Thank you for your interest in Anderson County, South Carolina. This packet includes the necessary documents for review of subdivision development plans to be reviewed by county staff.

Should you need further assistance, please feel free to contact Development Standards between the hours of 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday at (864) 260-4719

## DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS REVIEW APPLICATION

Note: All plats must first be submitted to Development Standards. After submittal, plats will be distributed to the proper departments for review.

APPLICATIONS MUST BE SUBMITTED BY THE POSTED DEADLINE AND PRIOR TO 3:00 PM. INCOMPLETE APPLICATIONS OR APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED AFTER THE POSTED DEADLINE WILL NOT BE PROCESSED. THE SUBMITTED PLANS WILL NOT BE REVIEWED UNTIL THE APPLICATION/SUBMITTAL IS COMPLETE AND WILL BE PLACED ON THE NEXT REGULAR SCHEDULED AGENDA MEETING.

| Proposed Subdivision Name: Rustic Ridge |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

1. Name of Applicant: $\frac{\text { Danny Holtzman }}{}$| Address of Applicant: $\frac{1014 \text { Drakes Crossing, Anderson, SC } 29625}{}$ |
| :--- |
| Telephone Number (s): $\frac{864-304-1181}{}$ |
2. Property Owners): Whiskey Ridge LLC \& Christina Rodden Address: 1014 Drakes Crossing, Anderson, SC 29625
Telephone Number (s): 864-304-1181 Email: falconfox2355@gmail.com
3. Engineer/Surveyor(s): Gray Engineering Consultants, Inc Email: jbaker@grayengineering.com

4. Have any changes been made since this plat was last before the Planning Commission? No
5. Is there a request for a variance? No if so, please attach the description to this application. (Variance Fee \$300.00)
6. SCDOT/ Roads \& Bridges must be contacted for this development prior to Planning Commission review, please attach conformation letters. A traffic impact study shall be required for access approval through the state and county encroachment permit process when a development will generate I 00 or more trips during the peak hour of the traffic generator or the peak hour of the adjacent street., see section $24-115(\mathrm{f}$ ) Traffic Impact Studies in the Anderson County Code of Ordinances.
7. Are there any current Covenants in effect for this proposed development? Yes

## Sec.24-335. - Review procedure; recommendations; approval.

Prior to making any physical improvements on the potential subdivision site, the subdivider shall create a preliminary plat containing the information required by section 24-336. If the subdivision administrator determines that the information provided on the plat fulfills the requirements of section 24-336, the subdivision administrator shall submit a written recommendation to the planning commission to approve the "Preliminary Plat". If staff recommends approval, this does not guarantee that the Planning Commission will approve the Preliminary Plat, pursuant to Sec.24-335 (C) (3)
Planning Commission Decisions: In addition to the standards set forth in this chapter and the recommendations of staff, the Planning
Commission will also take into consideration the following criteria when making its decision to reject or approve a preliminary plat:
-public health, safety, convenience, prosperity, and the general welfare:
-balancing the interests of subdivides, homeowners, and the public;
-the effects of the proposed development on the local tax base; and;
-the ability of existing or planned infrastructure and transportation systems to serve the proposed development.

## Subdivision Plat Application Check List

## The following checkist is to aid the applicant in providing the necessary materials for submittal

## Application Submittal Requirements and Process

To submit a Subdivision Plat Application, you must provide the following to the Development Standards Office:

1. Two (2) $81 / 2 \times 11$ copies of the Preliminary Plat Two (2) $17 \times 24$ (or larger) copies of the Preliminary.
2. Completed Subdivision Application
3. Check made payable to Anderson County Development Standards for Preliminary Plat Review.
(Fee for Preliminary Plat Review is $\$ 500.00$ plus $\$ 20.00$ per lot) (Fee for Revisions $\$ 250.00$ )

## Sec. 24-336. - Preliminary plat.



Provide centerline data, road stations and label the point of curvature (PC), point of tangency (PT), and curve radius of each horizontal curve on the preliminary plat.

## SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT \& Property Owner:

I (we) certify as property owners or authorized representative that the information shown on and any aftachment to this application is accurate to the best of my (our) knowledge. I (we) understand that any inaccuracies may be considered just cause for postponement of action on thetequest and/or inyalidation of this application or any action taken on this application.




# Anderson County Planning Commission 

January 9, 2024 6:00 PM
Staff Report - Preliminary Subdivision

260 property owners within $2000^{\prime}$ of the proposed development were notified via postcard.

| Preliminary Subdivision Name: | Richland Creek |
| :--- | :--- |
| Intended Development: | Single Family |
| Applicant: | Joseph Cann dba Horizon Land \& Timber, LLC |
| Surveyor/Engineer: | Bauknight |
| Location/Access: | Williams Rd. (County) |
| County Council District: | 5 |
| Surrounding Land Use: | Residential, Industrial |
| Zoning: | Un-zoned |
| Tax Map Number: | $99-00-03-007$ |
| Number of Acres: | $+/-18.39$ acres |
| Number of Lots: | 16 (Road Frontage) |
| Variance: | No |

Traffic Impact Analysis:
Williams Rd. is classified as a minor urban local with 500 maximum average vehicle trips per day.
Roads \& Bridges:
$>$ The edge of pavement radius at the intersection of Williams Road and Travis Road next to lot \#1 be increased to 30 minimum.
> The existing intersection sight distance at Williams Road and Travis Road looking to the east is only $250^{\prime}$ you will need to improve the sight distance to a minimum of $390^{\prime}$.
> The Developer will need to obtain encroachment permits from Anderson County Roads \& Bridges for the work above. The work shall be completed and accepted by Anderson County Roads \& Bridges before the final plat can be approved.

Staff Recommendation: Sec. 24-335.
At the planning commission meeting during which the plat is scheduled to be discussed, the subdivision administrator shall present his recommendation to the planning commission. (Ord. No. 03-007, § 1, 4-15-03)

# Development Standards Subdivision Plat Application 

Anderson County Code of Ordinance Chapter 24 Land Use

Application Received By: TS
Date: $11-15-23$

DS Number: $\qquad$
Thank you for your interest in Anderson County, South Carolina. This packet includes the subdivision development plans to be reviewed by county staff. Should you need further assistance, please feel free to contact Development Standards between the hours of $8: 30$ a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday at (864) 260-4719

## DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS REVIEW APPLICATION

Note: All plats must first be submitted to Development Standards. After submittal, plats will be distributed to the proper departments for review.


APPLICATIONS MUST BE SUBMITTED BY THE POSTED DEADLINE AND PRIOR TO 3:00 PM. INCOMPLETE APPLICATIONS OR APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED AFTER THE POSTED DEADLINE WILL NOT BE PROCESSED. THE SUBMITTED PLANS WILL NOT BE REVIEWED UNTIL THE APPLICATION/SUBMITTAL IS COMPLETE AND WILL BE PLACED ON THE NEXT REGULAR SCHEDULED AGENDA MEETING.
Proposed Subdivision Name: Richland Creek

1. Name of Applicant: Joseph Gan dba Horizon Land \& Timber, LLC

Address of Applicant: 1105 Ella Street Anderson SC, 29621
Telephone Numbers): (864) 314-2752 Email: jody@landmansc.com
2. Property Owners): Horizon Land \& Timber, LLC

Address: 1105 Ella Street Anderson SC, 29621
Telephone Numbers): (864) 314-2752 Email: jody@landmansc.com
3. Engineer/Surveyor(s): Bauknight
Project Information
4. Project Location: Travis rd, Anderson, SC

Parcel Number/TMS: 990003007 County Council District: 5 School District: 3
Total Acreage: $\frac{18.39}{}$ Number of Lots: 16 Intended Development: Residential lots
Current Zoning: NA Surrounding Land Uses: Residential
5. Have any changes been made since this plat was last before the Planning Commission? If so, please describe.

## NA

6. Is there a request for a variance?
if so, please attach the description to this application. (Variance Fee $\$ 300.00$ )
7. SCDOT/ Roads \& Bridges must be contacted for this development prior to Planning Commission review, please attach conformation letters. A traffic impact study shall be required for access approval through the state and county encroachment permit process when a development will generate I 00 or more trips during the peak hour of the traffic generator or the peak hour of the adjacent street., see section 24 - $115(\mathrm{f})$ Traffic Impact Studies in the Anderson County Code of Ordinances.
8. Are there any current Covenants in effect for this proposed development? Yes


## Sec.24-335. - Review procedure; recommendations; approval

Prior to making any physical imp
the information required by section 24 -336. If the the requirements of section 24-336, the subdivision administradministrator determines that the information provided on the plat fulfills to approve the "Preliminary Plat". If staff recommends approval, the Preliminary Plat, pursuant to Sec.24-335 (C) (3)

## lanning Commission Decisions: In addition to

Commission will also take into consideration the for forth in this chapter and the recommendations of staff, the Planning - public health, safety, convenience, prosperity, anteria when making its decision to reject or approve a preliminary plat:
-balancing the interests of subdivides,
-the effects of the proposed
-the ability of existing or planned infrastructur the local tax base; and; development.

## Subdivision Plat Application Check List

The following checklist is to aid the applicant in providing the necessary materials for submittal.

## - Application Submittal Requirements and Process

To submit a Subdivision Plat Application, you must provide the following to the Development Standards Office:

1. Two (2) $8 \frac{1}{2} \times 11$ copies of the Preliminary Plat Two (2) $17 \times 24$ (or larger) copies of the Preliminary.
2. Completed Subdivision Application
3. Check made payable to Anderson County Development Standards for Preliminary Plat Review.
(Fee for Preliminary Plat Review is $\$ 500.00$ plus $\$ 20.00$ per lot) (Fee for Revisions $\$ 250.00$ )

## Sec. 24-336. - Preliminary plat.

The preliminary plat shall contain the following information:
Location of subdivision on a map indicating surrounding areas at an appropriate scale sufficient to locate thesubdivision
Map of development at a scale of not less than one inch equals 200 feet and not more than one inch equals 50 feet.
Name of subdivision, name and address of the owner(s), name of engineer or surveyor and the names of the owners of abutting properties,

Acreage of land to be subdivided.

Contour maps of the proposed subdivision, with maximum contour intervals of ten feet or threemeters.
(8) Tax map number of original parcel or parcels prior to subdivision.

Location of existing and proposed easements with their location, widths and distances.
Location of existing water courses, culverts, railroads, roads, bridges, dams, and other similar structures or features.
Location of utilities and utility easements on and adjacent to the tract, showing proposed connections to existing utility systems.
Proposed lot lines, lot numbers, lot dimensions and lot acreages.
North arrow.
Proposed road names pre-approved by E-911 Addressing Office for the county.
Certification by licensed surveyor stating that all lot sizes meet minimum size standards.
Designation of any areas that fall within any flood plain indicating the high water mark for same.
For conservation subdivisions: Density table showing the total land area (acres), number of lots total, number of lots per acre, open space (acres and percent of total).
For Conservation subdivisions: Clear delineation of open space on plat.
Provide centerline data, road stations and label the point of curvature (PC), point of tangency (PT), and curve radius of each horizontal
curve on the preliminary plat.
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT \& Property Owner:
1 (we) certify as property owners or authorized representative that the information shown on and any attachment to this application is accurate to the best of my (our) knowledge, I (we) understand that any inaccuracies may be considered just cause for postponement of action on the request and/or invalidation of this application or any action taken on this application.




# Anderson County Planning Commission 

 January 9, 2024 6:00 PMStaff Report - Preliminary Subdivision

305 property owners within $2000^{\prime}$ of the proposed development were notified via postcard

## Preliminary Subdivision Name: Keasler Farms

Intended Development: Single Family
Applicant:
Steve Sease
Surveyor/Engineer: Land Planning Associates
Location/Access: Whitehall Rd. (State) Keasler Rd. (County)
County Council District: 5
Surrounding Land Use: Residential, R-A
Zoning: Un-zoned
Tax Map Number:
68-00-09-014
Number of Acres:
+/- 52.96
Number of Lots:
122
Variance: No
Traffic Impact Analysis: Keasler Road is classified as a collector and Whitehall Road is classified as an arterial with no maximum average vehicle trips per day.
Please see attached traffic impact study memo.

Staff Recommendation: Sec. 24-335.
At the planning commission meeting during which the plat is scheduled to be discussed, the subdivision administrator shall present his recommendation to the planning commission. (Ord. No. 03-007, § 1, 4-15-03)

Development Standards Subdivision Plat Application
Anderson County Code of Ordinance
Chapter 24 Land Use

Application Received By: ME
Date: $6-1-23$
DS Number: $\qquad$ Thank you for your interest in Anderson County, South Carolina. This packet includes the necessary documents for review of subdivision development plans to be reviewed by county staff.

Should you need further assistance, please feel free to contact Development Standards between the hours of 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday at (864) 260-4719

DEVELOPMENL STANDARDS REYIEN APPLICATON
Note: All plats must first be submitted to
Development Standards. Alter submittal, plats will be distributed to the proper departments for review.
APPLICATIONS MUST BE SUBMITTED BY THE POSTED DEADLINE AND PRIOR TO 3:00 PM. INCOMPLETE APPLICATIONS OR APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED AFTER THE POSTED DEADLINE WILL NOT BE PROCESSED. THE SUBMITTED PLANS WILL NOT BE REVIEWED UNTIL THE APPLICATION/SUBMITTAL IS COMPLETE AND WILL BE PLACED ON THE NEXT REGULAR SCHEDULED AGENDA MEETING. Proposed Subdivision Name: Keasler Farms


Sec.24-335. - Review procedure; recommendations; approval
Prior to making any physical improvements on the potential subdivision site, the subdivider shall create a preliminary plat containing the information required by section 24-336. If the subdivision administrator determines that the information provided on the plat fulfills the requirements of section 24-336, the subdivision administrator shall submit a written recommendation to the planning commission, the Preliminary Plat, pursuant to Sec. $24-335$ (C) (3)
Planning Commission Decisions: in addition to the
Commission will also take into consideration the follows set forth in this chapter and the recommendations of staff, the Planning -public health, safety, convenience, prosperity, and the when making its cecision to reject or approve a preliminary plat: -balancing the interests of subdive, prosperity, and the general welfare;
meowners, and the public;
-the ability of existing or planned infrastructur the local tax base; and:
development.

## \section*{Subdivision Plat Application Check List} <br> The following checklist is to aid the applicant in providing the necessary

- Application Submithal Requirements and Process

1. Two (2) $81 / 2 \times 11$ copies of the Preliminary Plat provide the following to the Development Standards Office:
2. Completed Subdivision Application
3. Check made payable to Anderson County Development Stand for Proliminary Plat
(Fee for Preliminary Plat Review is $\$ 350.00$ plus $\$ 10.00$ per lof) (Fee for Revisions $\$ 200.00$ )

## Sec. 24-336. - Preliminary plat.

The preliminary plat shall contain the following information:

Designation of ony greas that fal within any flood plain indicoling the high woter mark tor same.
Provide centerline data, road stations and label the point of curvature (PC), point of tangency (PT). and curve radius of each horizontal
curve on the preliminary plat.

## SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT \& Properly Owner:

I (we) certify as property owners or authorized representative that the information shown on and any aftachment to this application is accurate to the best of my (our) knowledge, I (we) understand that any inaccuracies may be considered just cause for
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MEMORANDUM
ANDERSON COUNTY ROADS AND BRIDGES

DATE: October 31, 2023
TO: $\quad$ Michael R. Ridgeway, PE
Ridgeway Traffic Consulting
FROM: Gaye Garrison Sprague, PE
Traffic Engineer
Cc: Bee Baker, PE, Principal Engineer, Bill Rutledge, PE, Assistant Principal Engineer, Matt Hogan, Roads \& Bridges Manager, Tim Cartee, Land Development Administrator, Brittany McAbee, Roads \& Bridges

SUBJECT: Keasler Farms Subdivision (This memo has two pages.)
This development is planned with 122 single family detached units with one access on Whitehall Road (DOT) and one access on Keasler Road (County). This Traffic Impact Study (TIS) was conducted by Ridgeway Traffic Consulting and dated October 3, 2023. The study was generally conducted per the requirements of Anderson County, and these are the findings of the study and our review:

- The study addressed five intersections: Whitehall Road/New Prospect Road, Whitehall/Hiott Road/site access, Whitehall/Keasler Road, and Keasler/site access.
- The build volumes at Whitehall/Hiott/site access meet left turn lane warrants for eastbound Whitehall at the site access. If SCDOT requires this lane, we concur with that requirement. A right turn lane on Whitehall at the site access is not warranted.
- The no build volumes on Whitehall at Keasler meet left turn lane warrants. The addition of site traffic does not increase the percent of left turns in the morning peak hour and only increases the percentage of left turns by less than one percent in the afternoon peak hour. However, depending on the final design of the left turn from Whitehall into the site access, widening may extend past Keasler, and SCDOT may require the addition of a left turn lane on Whitehall at Keasler. A right turn lane on Whitehall at Keasler is not warranted.
- Turn lanes on Keasler at the site access are not warranted.
- Whitehall/New Prospect, Whitehall/Keasler, and Keasler/site access will operate acceptably with build volumes.


## Tommy Dunn

Chairman, District 5
Brett Sanders
V. Chairman, District 4

## MEMORANDUM <br> ANDERSON COUNTY ROADS AND BRIDGES <br> PAGE 2

- The operation of the Hiott approach to Whitehall/Hiott/site access will change from LOS C with no build volumes to LOS E with build volumes. However, the delay for build is only one second above LOS D, and the left turn lane on Whitehall into the site access is already noted at this intersection. Therefore, no further improvements are noted at this intersection by the County. As discussed previously, DOT may require Whitehall widening through the Keasler intersection, and that widening would provide a left turn lane on Whitehall for Hiott (as well as for Keasler).

In summary, the roadway addition requirements for this subdivision are:

- Provide an eastbound left turn lane on Whitehall Road at the site access. (SCDOT will determine if this lane is required.)
- Depending on the final design of the Whitehall turn lane for the site access, SCDOT may require widening of Whitehall to Keasler, providing left turn lanes onto Keasler and Hiott.

Please call if you have any questions.



# Anderson County Planning Commission January 9, 2024 <br> 6:00 PM <br> Staff Report - Preliminary Subdivision 

658 property owners within $2000^{\prime}$ of the proposed development were notified via postcard.

| Preliminary Subdivision Name: | Walnut Grove |
| :--- | :--- |
| Intended Development: | Single Family |
| Applicant: | Arbor Land Design |
| Surveyor/Engineer: | Same |
| Location/Access: | Old Pendleton Rd. (State) |
| County Council District: | 6 |
| Surrounding Land Use: | Residential |
| Zoning: | Un-zoned |
| Tax Map Number: | $212-00-02-013$ |
| Number of Acres: | $+/-41.1$ acres |
| Number of Lots: | 56 |
| Variance: | No |

Traffic Impact Analysis:
Old Pendleton Rd is classified as a major collector with no maximum average vehicle trips per day.
Staff Recommendation: Sec. 24-335.
At the planning commission meeting during which the plat is scheduled to be discussed, the subdivision administrator shall present his recommendation to the planning commission. (Ord. No. 03-007, § 1, 4-15-03)

# Development Standards Subdivision Plat Application 

Anderson County Code of Ordinance
Chapter 24 Land Use
Scheduled Public Hearing Date: $1-9.24$
Application Received By : $\ln \mathrm{E}$
Date: $/ 1-21-23$
DS Number: $24-03$
Thank you for your interest in Anderson County, South Carolina. This packet includes the DS Number
subdivision development plans to be reviewed by county staff. Should you need further assistance, please f
5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday at (864) 260
DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS REVIEW APPLICATION
Note: All plats must first be submitted to
Development Standards. After submittal, plats will be distributed to the proper departments for review.

\section*{APPLICATIONS MUST BE SUBMITTED BY THE POSTED DEADLINE AND PRIOR TO 3:00 PM. INICOMPLETE APPLICATIONS OR} APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED AFTER THE POSTED DEADLINE WILL NOT BE PROCESSED. THE SUBMITTED PLANS WILL. NOT BE REVIEWED | Proposed Subdivision Name: Walnut Grove |
| :--- |


| Walnut Grove |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. Name of Applicant: Arbor Land Design |  |  |
| Address of Applicant: $\frac{49 \text { Greenland Drive, Greenville, SC } 29615}{}$ |  |  |
| Telephone Numbers): $\frac{864-230-6232}{}$ |  | Email: ama@aldllc.net |



| 5. Have any changes been made since this plat was last before the Planning Commission? $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| :--- |
| If so, please describe. |

7. SCDOT/ Roads \& Bridges must be contacted for this development prior to Planning Commission review, please attach conformation letters. A traffic impact study shall be required for access approval through the state and county encroachment permit process when a development will generate 100 or more trips during the peak hour of the traffic generator or the peak hour of the adjacent street., see section $24-115(\mathrm{f})$ Traffic Impact Studies in the Anderson County Code of Ordinances.
[^1]
## Sec.24-335. - Review procedure; recommendations; approval.

Prior to making any physical improvements on the potential subdivision site, the subdivider shall create a preliminary plat containing the information required by section 24-336. If the subdivision administrator determines that the information provided on the plat fulfills the requirements of section 24-336, the subdivision administrator shall submit a written recommendation to the planning commission, to approve the "Preliminary Plat". If staff recommends approval, this does not guarantee that the Planning Commission will approve the Preliminary Plat, pursuant to Sec.24-335 (C) (3)
Planning Commission Decisions: In addition to the standards set forth in this chapter and the recommendations of staff, the Planning
Commission will also take into consideration the following criteria when making its decision to reject or approve a preliminary plat:

- public health, safety, convenience, prosperity, and the general welfare;
-balancing the interests of subdivides, homeowners, and the public;
-the effects of the proposed development on the local tax base; and;
-the ability of existing or planned infrastructure and transportation systems to serve the proposed development.


## Subdivision Plat Application Check List

The following checklist is to aid the applicant in providing the necessary materials for submittal.

## - Application Submittal Requirements and Process

To submit a Subdivision Plat Application, you must provide the following to the Development \$tandards Office:

1. Two (2) $81 / 2 \times 11$ copies of the Preliminary Plat Two (2) $17 \times 24$ (or larger) copies of the Preliminary.
2. Completed Subdivision Application
3. Check made payable to Anderson County Development Standards for Preliminary Plat Review,
(Fee for Preliminary Plat Review is $\$ 500.00$ plus $\$ 20.00$ per lof) (Fe申 for Revisions $\$ 250.00$ )

## Sec. 24-336. - Preliminary plat.



Provide centerline data, road stations and label the point of curvature (PC), point of tangency (PT), and curve radius of each horizontal curve on the preliminary plat.

## SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT \& Property Owner:

I (we) certify as property owners or authorized representative that the information shown on and any attachment to this application is accurate to the best of my (our) knowledge, I (we) understand that any inaccuracies may be considered just cause for




# Anderson County Planning Commission <br> January 9, 2024 6:00 PM <br> Staff Report - Preliminary Subdivision 

485 property owners within 2000 ' of the proposed development were notified via postcard.
This development was denied on 12-14-2021 \& 11-8-2022.
Preliminary Subdivision Name: The Reserve at Powdersville Walk
Intended Development: Single Family (Conservation)
Applicant:
Toll Southeast LP Company Inc.
Surveyor/Engineer: SeamonWhiteside
Location/Access: Powdersville Main (State)
County Council District: 6
Surrounding Land Use: Residential, Institutional
Zoning: Un-zoned
Tax Map Number: 237-00-01-001, -011, -04-003
Number of Acres: +/- 91.84 acres

Number of Lots: 89
Variance: No

Traffic Impact Analysis:
Please see attached traffic impact study memo.

Staff Recommendation: Sec. 24-335.
At the planning commission meeting during which the plat is scheduled to be discussed, the subdivision administrator shall present his recommendation to the planning commission. (Ord. No. 03-007, § 1, 4-15-03)

## Development Standards

 Subdivision Plat ApplicationAnderson County Code of Ordinance Chapter 24 Land Use

Application Received By: ME
Date: 12-1-2023

DS Number: 24-05
Thank you for your interest in Anderson County, South Carolina. This packet includes the necessary documents for review of subdivision development plans to be reviewed by county staff.

Should you need further assistance, please feel free to contact Development Standards between the hours of 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday at (864) 260-4719
DEVELOPMENL STANDARDS REVIEW APPLICATION
Note: All plats must first be submitted to
Development Standards. After submittal, plats will
be distributed to the proper departments for
review.
APPLICATIONS MUST BE SUBMITTED BY THE POSTED DEADLINE AND PRIOR TO 3:00 PM. INCOMPLETE APPLICATIONS OR
APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED AFTER THE POSTED DEADLINE WILL NOT BE PROCESSED. THE SUBMITTED PLANS WILL NOT BE REVIEWED
UNTIL THE APPLICATION/SUBMITTALL IS COMPLETE AND WILL BE PLACED ON THE NEXT REGULAR SCHEDULED AGENDA MEEIING.
$\qquad$

1. Name of Applicant: Toll Southeast LP Company Inc

Address of Applicant: 124 Verdae Boulevard, Suite 203, Greenville, SC 29607
Telephone Number(s): 864-357-2772 Email: ddriscoll@tollbrothers.com
2. Property Owner(s): Kay Elrod / David Woodson Revocable Living Trust / Gail Keener
Address: $\frac{\text { PO Box } 51152 \text {, Piedmont, SC } 29573 \text { / } 558 \text { Powdersville Main, Easley, SC } 29642 \text { / } 1604 \text { Brook Dr, Ft. Mill, SC } 29708}{\text { Telephone Number(s): } \frac{864-357-2772}{}} \begin{aligned} & \text { Email: ddriscoll@tollbrothers.com } \\ & \text { 3. Engineer/Surveyor(s): SeamonWhiteside }\end{aligned}$

Project Information

## 4. Project Location: 564 Powdersville Main, Easley, SC 29642 (Siloam Rd \& Powdersville Main)

Parcel Number/TMS: $\underline{2370001001,2130004003,2370001011}$ County Council District: $\underline{6}$ School District: 1
Total Acreage: $\underline{91.84}$ Number of Lots: 89 Intended Development: Residential Low Density

Current Zoning: Unzoned Surrounding Land Uses: Unzoned -Residential
5. Have any changes been made since this plat was last before the Planning Commission?
 If so, please describe.
Reduced density from 99 lots to 89 lots.
6. Is there a request for a variance? NO if so, please attach the description to this application. (Variance Fee \$300.00)
7. SCDOT/ Roads \& Bridges must be contacted for this development prior to Planning Commission review, please attach conformation letters. A traffic impact study shall be required for access approval through the state and county encroachment permit process when a development will generate I 00 or more trips during the peak hour of the traffic generator or the peak hour of the adjacent street., see section 24 - 115 (f) Traffic Impact Studies in the Anderson County Code of Ordinances.
8. Are there any current Covenants in effect for this proposed development? Yes

Sec.24-335. - Review procedure; recommendations; approval.
Prior to making any physical improvements on the potential subdivision site, the subdivider shall create a preliminary plat containing the information required by section 24-336. If the subdivision administrator determines that the information provided on the plat fulfills the requirements of section 24-336, the subdivision administrator shall submit a written recommendation to the planning commission, to approve the "Preliminary Plat". If staff recommends approval, this does not guarantee that the Planning Commission will approve the Preliminary Plat, pursuant to Sec.24-335 (C) (3)
Planning Commission Decisions: In addition to the standards set forth in this chapter and the recommendations of staff, the Planning
Commission will also take into consideration the following criteria when making its decision to reject or approve a preliminary plat:

- public health, safety, convenience, prosperity, and the general welfare;
- balancing the interests of subdivides, homeowners, and the public;
- the effects of the proposed development on the local tax base; and;
-the ability of existing or planned infrastructure and transportation systems to serve the proposed development.


## Subdivision Plat Application Check List

## The following checklist is to aid the applicant in providing the necessary materials for submittal.

## - Application Submittal Requirements and Process

To submit a Subdivision Plat Application, you must provide the following to the Development Standards Office:

1. Two (2) $81 / 2 \times 11$ copies of the Preliminary Plat Two (2) $17 \times 24$ (or larger) copies of the Preliminary.
2. Completed Subdivision Application
3. Check made payable to Anderson County Development Standards for Preliminary Plat Review.
(Fee for Preliminary Plat Review is $\$ 500.00$ plus $\$ 20.00$ per lot) (Fee for Revisions $\$ 250.00$ )

## Sec. 24-336. - Preliminary plat.

The preliminary plat shall contain the following information:
(1) Location of subdivision on a map indicating surrounding areas at an appropriate scale sufficient to locate thesubdivision.
(2) Map of development at a scale of not less than one inch equals 200 feet and not more than one inch equals 50 feet.
(3) Name of subdivision, name and address of the owner(s), name of engineer or surveyor and the names of the owners of abutting properties.
(4) A boundary survey of the area to be subdivided, showing bearings measured in degrees, minutes and seconds and distances measured in feet and decimals thereof.
(5) Present land use of land to be subdivided and of the abutting property and/or properties.
(6) Acreage of land to be subdivided.
(7) Contour maps of the proposed subdivision, with maximum contour intervals of ten feet or threemeters.
(8) Tax map number of original parcel or parcels prior to subdivision.
(9) Location of existing and proposed easements with their location, widths and distances.
(10) Location of existing water courses, culverts, railroads, roads, bridges, dams, and other similar structures or features.
(11) Location of utilities and utility easements on and adjacent to the tract, showing proposed connections to existing utility systems.
(12) Proposed lof lines, lot numbers, lot dimensions and lot acreages.
(13) North arow.
(14) Proposed road names pre-approved by E-911 Addressing Office for the county.
(15). Certification by licensed surveyor stating that all lot sizes meet minimum size standards.
(16) .Designation of any areas that fall within any flood plain indicating the high water mark for same.
(17) For conservation subdivisions: Density table showing the total land area (acres), number of lots total, number of lots per acre, open space (acres and percent of total).
(18) For Conservation subdivisions: Clear delineation of open space on plat.

Provide centerline data, road stations and label the point of curvature ( PC ), point of tangency ( PT ), and curve radius of each horizontal curve on the preliminary plat.

## SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT \& Property Owner:

I (we) certify as property owners or authorized representative that the information shown on and any attachment to this application is accurate to the best of my (our) knowledge, I (we) understand that any inaccuracies may be considered just cause for postponement of action on the request and/or invalidation of this application or any action taken on this application.

Date $\frac{11-29-2023}{11-30-2023}$

[^2]

# MEMORANDUM 

ANDERSON COUNTY ROADS AND BRIDGES

DATE:
TO:

FROM:

Cc:

SUBJECT: Reserve at Powdersville Walk December 2023 Version Traffic Impact Study - This memo has two pages.

This development is planned with 89 single family houses with one access on Powdersville Main across from Siloam Road. The Traffic Impact Study (TIS) was conducted by Impact Designs, Inc. and dated December 5, 2023. The study was conducted per the requirements of Anderson County, and this is the finding of the study and our review:

- The study recommends restriping the median south of the Siloam intersection to form a northbound left turn lane with the maximum storage that can be obtained. SCDOT is requiring this, and we concur.
- The Powdersville Walk approach will be signed to allow right turn only during certain times on school days to address concerns raised at the Planning Commission meeting regarding the previous submission of this development. We recommend that the sign contain this language "Right Turn Only - 7:308:00 am - 2:15-2:45 pm - School Days" or similar wording to be approved with the final plat.

No other roadway improvements are necessary to meet the traffic impact requirements of Anderson County. School traffic was the subject of extensive discussion in previous Planning Commission meetings. The December 2023 TIS included this Information:

## MEMORANDUM <br> anderson County roads and bridges

### 1.3. Concrete Primary School Operations

The Concrete Primary School is located on the northeast corner of Powdersville Main and Siloam Road. Based on field observations, the queue of vehicles extends beyond the school driveway onto Powdersville Main during both the morning drop-off and afternoon pick-up times. On the day the site was observed, the queue briefly blocked the northbound direction of Powdersville Main during the morning drop-off. During the afternoon pick-up, vehicles were queued as far out of the travel lane as possible on the eastern shoulder of Powdersville Main, south of the school driveway, well in advance of the release of students. Queued cars encroached slightly on the northbound lane but did not block it. Additionally, in the afternoon, vehicles accessing the school from the north were queued beyond the southbound left turn lane into the southbound through travel lane, effectively blocking Powdersville Main in that direction. The queue did not reach the through lane in the southbound direction during the observed morning drop-off; however, it is likely that could occur for short periods of time.

It is our understanding the School District 1 new elementary that will reduce enrollment at Concrete will be in place by the time Reserve at Powdersville Walk is built out in 2027.

Please call if you have any questions.





[^0]:    To operate a local cafe and market

[^1]:    8. Are there any current Covenants in effect for this proposed development? Yes
    
[^2]:    Anderson County Development Standards 4401 E River Streef Anderson, SC 29624|Phone: 864-260-4719|Fax: 864-260-4795 | Email: dsapplications@andersoncountysc.org

