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ANDERSON COUNTY COUNCIL
SPECIAL PRESENTATION MEETING
AUGUST 6, 2019

IN ATTENDANCE:
TOMMY DUNN, CHAIRMAN
BRETT SANDERS
CRAIG WOOTEN
CINDY WILSON
JIMMY DAVIS

ALSO PRESENT:
RUSTY BURNS
LEON HARMON
CELIA MEYERS
TOMMY DUNN: At this time I’d like to call to order the August 6th council meeting, the part we do proclamations and resolutions. I want to welcome each and every one of you here and thank you for coming. We’ve got one out of town and one on the way. He’s running late, got tied up in traffic. It’s 6:00. We’ve got a quorum here so we’re going to get going. The first on the agenda tonight will be Resolution R2019-031. Ms. Wilson, please.

CINDY WILSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I’ll read it.

WHEREAS, Dr. Ronnie L. Booth recently retired from Tri-County Technical College after sixteen years of service as President, stating, "Making a tangible and measurable difference in so many lives is certainly what I will miss the most"; and

WHEREAS, under Dr. Booth's leadership, Tri-County Technical College has become a critical partner for Anderson County in recruiting industry, most recently cited by Arthrex, a medical device company slated to employ 1,000 people within five years, as one of the top reasons they chose to locate in Anderson County; and

WHEREAS, Dr. Booth led the establishment of several groundbreaking initiatives that are now models in South Carolina and nationally, including the nationally-recognized Bridge to Clemson program with Clemson University; the Connect to College program for high school dropouts, a second-chance opportunity to obtain a high school diploma; the highly successful Technical Career Pathways program, which allows high school students to earn a college certificate in a technical area before graduating from high school, creating a seamless pathway to continue at the post secondary level and/or enter high-demand careers in manufacturing; the first of its kind Michelin Manufacturing Scholars program; the first in South Carolina I-BEST Manufacturing Pathway Program for under-resourced adults; and the first in the state "LPN to Professor Initiative" creating a seamless pathway from Licensed Practical Nursing to master's degree options that lead to teaching at the College or University level; and

WHEREAS, Dr. Booth is married to Sara Booth; they have two adult daughters, Ashley and Erin, and two granddaughters; and Dr. and Mrs. Booth make their home in Anderson.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, to take effect and be in force immediately upon enactment, in meeting duly assembled this sixth day of August 2019, that we in Anderson County are grateful to Dr. Booth for his lifetime of service and hereby recognize and honor his
many contributions to the community, which will sustain
his legacy for decades to come.
And I put that in the form of a motion, please,
sir.
TOMMY DUNN: Have a motion Ms. Wilson; have
a second?
CRAIG WOOTEN: Second.
TOMMY DUNN: Second Mr. Wooten. Any
discussion? Mr. Wooten.
CRAIG WOOTEN: I would like to echo some of
those comments just having known Dr. Booth over the
last fifteen years. My wife, you know, was able to
attain a second degree at Tri-County Tech. I took
courses there and it made an impact in our lives. And
we saw where it made an impact in friends of ours
lives. You know, they say personnel is policy. And he
is the right personnel to lead the technical college
and the policy throughout made an impact in the
community. They really met people where they were and
they helped them. It was a testament to his
leadership. So I really appreciate your service.
TOMMY DUNN: Anyone else?
CINDY WILSON: I believe this resolution
doesn’t quite do us -- do Dr. Booth justice, but we are
eternally grateful. You’re much copied nationwide. I
even knew that from the state of Georgia coming over to
emulate and design their technical school system
pattern after our Tri-County system. They came here
and looked at Tri-County Tech and went back to Georgia
and built theirs very much like this. We’re grateful.
Thank you.
TOMMY DUNN: Anyone else?
BRETTSANDERS: Yes, sir.
TOMMY DUNN: Mr. Sanders.
BRETTSANDERS: I’d just like to say that
Anderson County’s partnership with Dr. Booth and Tri-
County Tech has been a true asset and will continue to
be an asset on economic development, as well as
community development. He will be missed. And I
personally, and council, I’m sure, look forward to
continuing our partnership with Tri-County Tech. Thank
you.
TOMMY DUNN: Thank you, Mr. Sanders. I’d
just like to recognize former Councilman Tom Allen here
from District 4. Glad to have Mr. Allen here. I know
he come here for this. He worked very much, too, with
Dr. Booth. I’d just like to add -- echo what my fellow
councilmen has said and also say that we’ve got a lot
of young folks and people’s got jobs now and able to
get good jobs because of the partnership with Tri-
County Tech under Dr. Booth’s leadership. That’s always been my goal. When I first ran for county council, I was hoping young people wouldn’t have to leave Anderson to get a good job if they didn’t want to. And so that’s -- he’s been an excellent. Dr. Booth extended his hand to the new council over ten years ago, give us, I think, a little bit of legitimacy, helped us out through some tough times, helped us bring in some very good major players in the industry world. The list goes on and on what he’s done. He’s left in good hands Tri-County Tech has been, as Ms. Wilson said, a role model and will continue to be setting standards for all our technical universities. And Dr. Booth, it was not just a job to him; it was a passion, and I really appreciate it. And having been out with him on some recruiting trips a couple of times we’ve run into some of his students. He had a passion for them and you could see it. It was not put-on. And I appreciate it. I hope Dr. Booth gets real bored in retirement soon and comes back and we can find him something to do here in Anderson County. Appreciate that.

All in favor of the motion show of hands. Opposed like sign. Show the motion carries unanimously.

Dr. Booth, if you could come down.

PRESENTATION OF RESOLUTION

TOMMY DUNN: Dr. Booth, if you’d like to say a few things. I don’t want to put you on the spot.

DR. BOOTH: It’s nice to know that on one day in my life somebody liked me.

CINDY WILSON: Just a big thank you. And we won’t let you stray too far.

DR. BOOTH: Not going anywhere. We love it here.

APPLAUSE

TOMMY DUNN: At this time we’ll be moving on to Item 2(b), R2019-032. Councilman Craig Wooten.

CRAIG WOOTEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

THIS IS A RESOLUTION TO ENDORSE AND SUPPORT THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT (ADA), CELEBRATING ITS 25TH YEAR OF ENACTMENT; ENCOURAGING ALL RESIDENTS AND BUSINESSES TO RECOGNIZE ITS IMPORTANCE; AND OTHER MATTERS RELATED THERETO.

WHEREAS, Anderson County supports the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), which prohibits discrimination based on actual or perceived disability and guarantees that people with disabilities have the same opportunities as everyone else to participate in the mainstream of American life
- to enjoy employment opportunities, to purchase goods and services and to participate in government programs and services;

WHEREAS, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) was signed into law on July 26, 1990 by President George H.W. Bush and is celebrating its 25th year of enactment;

WHEREAS, Anderson County is committed to enforcing policies that adhere to Title II of the ADA which applies to State and Local Government entities, ensuring all people have access to services, programs and activities offered by Anderson County;

WHEREAS, Anderson County strongly encourages all businesses to commit to Title III of the ADA which prohibits discrimination on the basis of disability and requires places of public accommodation and commercial facilities to be designed, constructed and altered in compliance with the accessibility standards;

WHEREAS, Anderson County is committed to providing accessible services and programs and arrange for requested reasonable accommodations;

WHEREAS, Anderson County provides a grievance policy to allow instances of discrimination under Title II to be reported and appropriate corrective measures prescribed;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Anderson County will undertake efforts, including, but not limited to, this resolution to make its citizens aware of their rights under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and to deter discrimination in any form;

1. Where appropriate, Anderson County staff shall use best efforts to enforce existing policies, provide guiding principles and create operating practices so that County facilities and programs are planned, designed, constructed and operated to make accessibility a part of Anderson County planning and programming;

2. Where appropriate, Anderson County staff shall use best efforts to plan for, design, construct and operate all new County facilities and programs to provide accessibility for persons of all abilities;

3. Where appropriate, Anderson County staff shall use best efforts to incorporate ADA Design Guidelines and Universal Design principles into strategic planning, plans, manuals, rules, regulations and programs.

I put it forth in a motion to adopt it this 6th day of August, 2019.

TOMMY DUNN: Have a motion Mr. Wooten; second Ms. Wilson. Now any discussion? Hearing and seeing none, all in favor of the motion show of hands.
Opposed like sign. Show the motion carries unanimously.

Moving on now to Item number (c), Proclamation.

Councilman Brett Sanders.

BRETT SANDERS: Yes, sir, Mr. Chairman. I’m going to have to read it off this. I can’t find my paper here.

**THIS IS A PROCLAMATION DECLARING August 4th THROUGH THE 10TH, 2019 ANDERSON COUNTY FARMERS MARKET WEEK**

WHEREAS, Anderson County farmers and ranchers provide citizens with access to healthful, locally, and regionally produced foods through farmers markets, which are expanding and evolving to accommodate the demand for a diverse array of agricultural products; and,

WHEREAS farmers markets and other agricultural direct marketing outlets provide infrastructure to assist in the distribution of farm and value-added products, thereby contributing approximately $9 billion each year to the U.S. economy; and,

WHEREAS farmers markets serve as significant outlets by which small to medium, new and beginning, and veteran agricultural producers market agricultural products, generating revenue that supports the sustainability of family farms and the revitalization of rural communities nationwide; and,

WHEREAS the Anderson County Council recognizes the importance of expanding agricultural marketing opportunities that assist and encourage the next generation of farmers and ranchers; generate farm income to help stimulate business development and job creation; build community connections through rural and urban linkages; and more;

NOW, THEREFORE, to further awareness of farmers markets' contributions to Anderson County life, we, Anderson County Council do hereby proclaim the week of August 4-10, 2019, as Anderson County Farmers Market Week, in conjunction with the observance of National Farmers Market Week. We call upon Anderson County citizens to celebrate farmers markets with appropriate observance and activities. Proclaimed this 6th day of August, 2019.

Put that in the form of a motion.

TOMMY DUNN: Have a motion Mr. Sanders; second Ms. Wilson. Mr. Burns, you have any comments?

RUSTY BURNS: Mr. Chairman, we have Adam from the farmer’s market here if council could come down and present that to ---

TOMMY DUNN: We’ve going to vote first.
Anybody got anymore discussion?

CINDY WILSON: Just go buy more fruit and vegetables.

TOMMY DUNN: Yeah. I would like to say I appreciate the farmer’s market and all the staff and their hard work they do helping out, the farmers out, and local people, too. Appreciate it. All in favor of the motion show of hands. Opposed like sign. Show the motion carries unanimously.

PRESENTATION OF PROCLAMATION

RUSTY BURNS: Adam does a great job at the Farmer’s Market. He’s there every time and he’s there on Saturdays. And Adam has more children than the little ole lady who lived in a shoe. So Adam partakes of all of the fresh fruits and vegetables at the Anderson County Farmer’s Market. And we appreciate the great job that you do for Anderson County.

APPLAUSE

INAUDIBLE COMMENTS

RUSTY BURNS: And our council insisted on that Anderson County have an ADA Compliance Officer, and this is Ms. Celia Boyd. Celia do you want to go to the middle? And she works night and day to make sure that our county is ADA compliant and above. And she works very, very hard. And she has a deep passion for this activity. And we just want to thank her and acknowledge her for everything she does.

APPLAUSE

TOMMY DUNN: This will conclude this part of our council meeting. We’ll reconvene back here at 6:30 for our regular council meeting.

(SPECIAL PRESENTATION MEETING ADJOURNED AT 6:17 P.M.)
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TOMMY DUNN: At this time I’d like to
call the August 6th regular county council meeting to
order. Want to welcome each and every one of you here
tonight. Thank y’all for coming out to the council
meeting.

At this time I’d like to ask Councilman Wooten if
he’ll lead us in the invocation and pledge of
allegiance. If we’d all rise, please.

INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE BY CRAIG WOOTEN

TOMMY DUNN: At this time are there any
changes or corrections to be made to the July 16th
council meeting? Anybody have any? Do we have a
motion to move these forward?

JIMMY DAVIS: So moved.

TOMMY DUNN: Motion Mr. Davis; second
Ms. Wilson to accept the minutes as presented. All in
favor of the motion show of hands. Opposed like sign.
Show the motion carries unanimously.

At this time Mr. Harmon will call your name. You
have three minutes to speak on agenda matters only at
this time and address the chair, please. Mr. Harmon.

LEON HARMON: Mr. Chairman, we have one
citizen signed up; Richard Pendino.

TOMMY DUNN: Mr. Burns?

RUSTY BURNS: Sir?

TOMMY DUNN: Would you go back there and
talk to that lady in the blue. I think she might have
signed the wrong piece of paper, or he might have
signed the wrong piece of paper. Go ahead, you’ll be
fine.

RICHARD PENDINO: I might have signed the
wrong piece of paper, too. I was signing to speak on
the ordinance of the Cartee Road. I don’t know if
that’s ---

TOMMY DUNN: That’s on the agenda so you
can speak to it now. And it’s also a public hearing
and you can speak then. Whatever you like; okay?

RICHARD PENDINO: Well, my thing is Cartee
Road is really a dead-end road that starts on the exit
ramp of Interstate 85; exit 114 there and all. So it’s
not a road that has good access and all. In fact to me
it’s kind of dangerous access because when you come off
Cartee Road, you’re on the exit ramp of 185 and I’ve
seen several times there’s been sort of accidents there
because -- not accidents, but close accidents because
people are confused when they come off and they don’t
realize there’s a road there and so forth. And I don’t
think it would be a good idea to rezone that area from
residential to commercial. Because if you have
commercial then you’re going to have an awful lot of
traffic on the road that’s going to be exiting onto that exit ramp of Interstate 85. So I don’t think that’s a good idea.

TOMMY DUNN: Yes, sir. Thank you.

Step forward and state your name for the record, please.

STANLEY GAINES: Yes. I’m Stanley Gaines. I’m here representing the hardworking taxpaying neighbors of Woodmont Circle where we have a house there ---

TOMMY DUNN: I’m sorry. What you’re going to talk about -- we’ve got rules we have to go by. You can speak at the end -- there’s a place for that at the end of the meeting. We have two public speakings. This ain’t on the agenda, what you’re going to speak about, so you have to wait until the last of the meeting, but you can talk; okay?

STANLEY GAINES: Thank you.

TOMMY DUNN: Thank you. Anyone else?

LEON HARMON: No one else is signed up, Mr. Chairman.

TOMMY DUNN: Moving on to Item number 5, recording of meetings. Councilman Davis. Councilman Davis.

JIMMY DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I’ll be real brief on this. As we’ve gone through, for lack of better words, my inexperience on council and learning my way around, I’ve noticed that sometimes we have a lag time in how we get, whether it be transcripts or minutes from certain meetings. And I’d just like to bring the attention to my fellow council folks and the county staff. I think it’s time where technology is in the world today that I think we should look into maybe improving our technology and how we record and offer those transcripts of those meetings.

And I’ll give you one example. There was a recent Board of Appeals meeting not quite a month ago that we just got the transcript on Monday. And this is something that I think we could do a better job of.

And I would like to see if we could form some type of study that we could find better ways to record and be able to access both the transcripts and/or minutes in a more expeditious manner. That’s all I have. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

TOMMY DUNN: Thank you, Mr. Davis. Mark, would you like to say a few words of what you’ve got in the works just so the council members -- because we have addressed this and asked you to look at this and what you’ve been doing. Would you mind stepping up to the mic just to ...
MARK WILLIAMSON: Mr. Chair and Mr. Davis, I will be glad to take a look into this. I’ll be glad to take a look into it from a technology standpoint. I think some of what we’re experiencing right now is the microphone use in some of those meetings. But we’ve got a plan for the next meeting to have our person sit in to see what’s going on, make some observations, make some suggestions after that. We can use the standing mic just the same as Ms. Floyd has. All those are rewired now. They can be ported directly into the system. If that fails then we’ll go to another option. I’ve got some ideas.

TOMMY DUNN: Ms. Floyd.

GRACIE FLOYD: Are we talking about …

TOMMY DUNN: We’re talking about like Planning Commission meetings or any meeting.

GRACIE FLOYD: Or any meetings; okay. Mr. Chair, does this apply to all of our meetings that we have?

TOMMY DUNN: Yes, ma’am.

GRACIE FLOYD: Okay. We don’t get minutes from a lot of the meetings that are held. We agreed at the beginning of the year that we were going to follow the Robert’s Rules of Order. And according to the Robert’s Rules we are supposed to get agenda meeting minutes. We’re not getting them. We have people who are in charge of the meetings come back to us and give an oral report of what happened, but that’s not cutting it. We need to have agenda items meetings in writing so that we, too, can keep up with what’s going on in these meetings when we are not on the board -- not on the …

TOMMY DUNN: Committee.

GRACIE FLOYD: Who said that? Committee, that’s it, yeah. But if we’re not on the committee we need to have minutes. And if you can work with that, I’d appreciate that, too. Thank you.

TOMMY DUNN: Thank you. Thank you, Mark. Appreciate it.

Moving on to Item number 6, ordinance third reading. This will be 6(a), 2019-025, an ordinance imposing a prohibition on certain motor vehicle traffic on Ballard Road.

This will be a public hearing. Anyone wishing to speak on this matter, please step forward and state your name and district and address the chair, please. Anyone at all? Seeing and hearing none, the public hearing will be closed. Do we have a motion on the floor?

CINDY WILSON: So moved.
CRAIG WOOTEN: Second.
TOMMY DUNN: Ms. Wilson makes a motion to move this forward. Mr. Wooten seconds it. Now, discussion? Hearing no discussion all in favor of the motion show of hands. Opposed like sign. Show the motion carries unanimously.

Moving on to item number 6(b), 2019-026, an ordinance imposing a prohibition on certain motor vehicle traffic on Camelot Forest. Be Districts 3 and 7. Be a public hearing. Anyone wishing to speak to this matter, please step forward and state your name and district and address the chair, please. Anyone at all? Seeing and hearing none, the public hearing will be closed. Do we have a motion to move this forward?

CINDY WILSON: So moved.
TOMMY DUNN: Motion Ms. Wilson. Do we have a second?

JIMMY DAVIS: Second.
TOMMY DUNN: Second Mr. Davis. Are there any discussion? Hearing and seeing none, all in favor of the motion show of hands. All opposed like sign. Show the motion carries unanimously.

Moving on to item number (c), 2019-027, an ordinance imposing a prohibition on certain motor vehicle traffic on Murphy Road on the southbound lane only. District 7. This will be a public hearing. Anyone wishing to speak to this matter, please step forward and state your name. Address the chair, please. Anyone? Seeing and hearing none, the public hearing will be closed. Do we have a motion to move this forward?

CINDY WILSON: So moved.
TOMMY DUNN: Motion Ms. Wilson. Do we have a second?

JIMMY DAVIS: Second.
TOMMY DUNN: Second Mr. Davis. Any discussion?

GRACIE FLOYD: Yes.
TOMMY DUNN: Ms. Floyd.
GRACIE FLOYD: Mr. Chair, I notice that this is the third -- is this the third reading on all of those?

TOMMY DUNN: Yes, ma’am.
GRACIE FLOYD: Could you please explain to me again, and maybe to the audience who could be interested, why are all of these roads being -- are they being closed or just something that’s going on with them?

TOMMY DUNN: No, ma’am. They’ve had trouble over there with big trucks going down these
roads and they’ve pulled some power lines down. A couple of them has went off in ditches. They’re not made for it, and they’ve had several complaints. I think they’ve had several meetings over there with the neighborhood. I think several of the trucking companies was involved in this and they agreed to these stipulations on these roads.

GRACIE FLOYD: Okay. So they won’t be allowed to do that anymore?

TOMMY DUNN: That’s right.

GRACIE FLOYD: So what are they going to -- is there another route for them to travel?

TOMMY DUNN: Yes, ma’am. They’ll have to move on down or on up, going down on like a highway.

GRACIE FLOYD: Okay. Good. Thank you.

TOMMY DUNN: Yes, ma’am. Any more discussion? All in favor show of hands. Opposed like sign. Show the motion carries unanimously.

Moving on to item number 7(a), ordinance second reading, 2019-030, an ordinance amending Ordinance number 99-004, the Anderson County Zoning Ordinance, as adopted July 20, 1999, by amending certain sections of the zoning Ordinance text, specifically Chapter 70, Article 4, Chapter 70, Article 9, Section 5.2 and 5.3, and Chapter 70, Article 10, Section 2 to reconstitute the Zoning Advisory Groups. Two things.

LEON HARMON: Mr. Chairman, I’m sorry to interrupt, but I think you missed 6(d).

TOMMY DUNN: I did. I was making sure you was paying attention. You are. Good. We’re paying you enough keep me straight.

We’re moving on to 6(d) or back to 6(d), I should say, and that will be 2019-028, an ordinance imposing a prohibition on certain motor vehicle traffic on Stoney Brook Road. This will be a public hearing. And just for the record, I know Mr. Burns woke you up and told you to tell me that. He wouldn’t tell me himself, what you call it. This will be a public hearing. Anyone wishing to speak to this, please step forward and state your name and district. Address the chair, please.

Anyone at all? Seeing and hearing none, public hearing will be closed. Do we have a motion to move this forward?

CINDY WILSON: So moved.

TOMMY DUNN: Motion Ms. Wilson. Do we have a second?

BRETT SANDERS: Second.

TOMMY DUNN: Second Mr. Sanders. Now, discussion? Hearing none, all in favor of the motion show of hands. Opposed like sign. Show the motion
carries unanimously.

Now, going back to number 7(a). I’m not going to read all that again for the record. Mr. Harmon, would you just highlight that, or Dr. Parkey, one, what this ordinance is for the folks out there that might not know. Dr. Parkey?

JEFF PARKEY: Sure, Mr. Chair, thanks. Again, second reading on this ordinance to just make an adjustment to our zoning advisory groups, which we’ve had some difficulty with their meetings. We worked with the PPW Committee to reorganize how the Zoning Advisory Groups would work. When a new voting precinct zones, a council member would have the opportunity to make recommendations to appoint a Zoning Advisory Group that would advise them on zoning matters and it would be handled as an internal advisory and information group. And Planning Commission approved this at their -- recommended approval at their June meeting. And I can answer any further questions.

TOMMY DUNN: Do I have a motion to put this on the floor?

CINDY WILSON: So moved.

TOMMY DUNN: Motion Mr. Sanders; second Ms. Wilson. Now discussion? Do y’all have any questions for Dr. Parkey or any comments? All in favor of the motion show of hands. Opposed like sign. Show the motion carries unanimously. Thank you, Dr. Parkey. Going to be item number 7(b), 2019-031, an ordinance to amend Section 59-23, Titled Speed and Traffic Volume, of the Code of Ordinances, Anderson County, South Carolina so as to change the standard for determination that a speeding problem exists.

Before we go any further, Mr. Hopkins, do you mind come down and speak to this so everybody will know what we’re voting on. This is on the speed bumps.

HOLT HOPKINS: What we’re trying to do is, I guess, better reflect what the perception is of these neighbors speeding. What we’re doing, currently we discard the top fifteen out of a hundred speeders. We don’t pay attention -- that’s how we set speed limits and other things. We’ve always got a few that are just off the charts. By changing this percentile from eighty-five percent to ninety-five percent, we will take into account ninety-five percent of the people, how fast they’re going. In reality what that’s going to do is make a lot more neighborhoods eligible for speed humps. Where right now if everybody else drives pretty good, about thirty miles an hour, which is five miles over the speed limit, but you get two or three that are doing fifty, sixty, in some of these
neighborhoods, we’ll get closer to catching those and
being able to qualify the neighborhood for ...

TOMMY DUNN: Mr. Hopkins, if you would,
too, just the criteria percentage of the people on that
road that’s got to vote for it, sign up for it.

HOLT HOPKINS: It stays the same.

TOMMY DUNN: That’s right.

HOLT HOPKINS: It’s still seventy-five
percent have to agree to it. They have to help pay for
it. And we still have to pick safe areas to place
them. This just allows us to take into account upper
limits of the speeders that are going through there.

TOMMY DUNN: In other words, the staff
feels like some has slid through the cracks on some
roads and not been able to take care of it.

HOLT HOPKINS: I think some had a
legitimate complaint, but they would miss it by one
mile an hour. This will change that because we’ll be
including some of the high speeders.

TOMMY DUNN: Thank you. Do we have a
motion to move this forward?

JIMMY DAVIS: So moved.

CINDY WILSON: Second.

TOMMY DUNN: Motion Mr. Davis, second
Ms. Wilson. Now discussion? Thank you, Mr. Hopkins.

All in favor of the motion, show of hands. Opposed
like sign. Show the motion carries unanimously.

Moving on to item number 8(a), ordinance first
reading, be 2019-032, an ordinance to amend the zoning
map to rezone plus or minus 9.75 acres from R-20 single
family residential to C-2 highway commercial at Cartee
Road and I-85. District 4.

This will be a public hearing. Anyone wishing to

speak to this matter ---

BRETT SANDERS: Mr. Chairman?

TOMMY DUNN: Yes, sir, go ahead, Mr.

Sanders.

BRETT SANDERS: Based on -- the company

that is -- or LLC that is working on this project, I

have ...

TOMMY DUNN: There you go.

BRETT SANDERS: The company that’s working

on this project is a company that my company has done

business with in purchases and in sales. And I think I

need to recuse myself.

TOMMY DUNN: You just don’t want no

appearance of impropriety.

BRETT SANDERS: Right, sir.

TOMMY DUNN: So you recuse yourself.

Thank you, Mr. Sanders.
BRETT SANDERS: Yes, sir.

TOMMY DUNN: We'll go into a public hearing. Step forward and state your name if you want to address this matter; and your district. Address the chair. Dr. Parkey, do you want to get us started off before we go into public hearing; talk a little about this?

JEFF PARKEY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Yes, this request is to rezone a portion of that property on Cartee Road from R-20 which is the residential twenty thousand square foot lot minimum district to C-2 which is our highway commercial district. It's on Cartee Road at Exhibit 14 with 187 and I-85. The applicant's purpose for the request is to make the entire parcel C-2 commercial. Staff recommended approval of the request. The Zoning Advisory Group did meet on July the 3rd and also recommended approval. The Planning Commission met on July 9th and recommended denial of the request. That's all I have. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

TOMMY DUNN: Thank you, Dr. Parkey.

Anyone have any questions before we get started for Dr. Parkey?

GRACIE FLOYD: I do.

TOMMY DUNN: Ms. Floyd.

GRACIE FLOYD: My microphone is playing -- I don't know what it's playing, but we have to do the best we can. Dr. Cartee -- excuse me. You.

TOMMY DUNN: Dr. Parkey.

GRACIE FLOYD: It's easier to say Jeff.

What did you say at the end? You kind of like went down towards the end. The first committee accepted it and the second committee refused it?

JEFF PARKEY: Yes, ma'am. Staff recommended approval. The Zoning Advisory Group recommended approval. And the Planning Commission recommended denial.

GRACIE FLOYD: All right. Mr. Chairman, may I go on, please?

TOMMY DUNN: May you what?

GRACIE FLOYD: May I go?

TOMMY DUNN: Yes, ma'am. Go ahead.

GRACIE FLOYD: Thank you. Do you know what deja vu is? Yeah, you know what deja vu is. It's some kind of language, it's not ours, but it means something like all over again. On May the 18th, 2005, do you realize that we were right where we are now on the same street, the same thing? Do you realize that? Do you realize then that we had a hard time then trying to decipher what was going on and who did what and why.

I know that right now and then it was zoned, Mr. Chair,
as a residential community; okay? And even back then
in 2005 they were trying to change it from residential
to commercial, as they are doing now. Am I right?
Okay. I remember the vote was -- I don’t remember
anything, but I was told the vote was five to two and
that it did not -- did it go then, Dr. Parkey?
Evidently it didn’t pass; did it not?
TOMMY DUNN: No, ma’am.
GRACIE FLOYD: It didn’t pass then. Okay.
So here we find ourselves back in 2019, we’re all
supposed to be grown up a little bit. We’ve got the
same problem, same thing going on. Dr. Parkey, why?
JEFF PARKEY: All I can address, Ms.
Floyd, is the existing request. I simply know that the
applicant brought this request forward to change the
zoning on that portion of the parcel.
GRACIE FLOYD: Was it -- is it the same
applicant?
JEFF PARKEY: I’m not aware of that.
GRACIE FLOYD: Does anybody know? Do you
know?
TOMMY DUNN: No, ma’am. I don’t know if
it’s the same one or not.
GRACIE FLOYD: Well, anyway, here we are
back again. And what we did that time, we kind of
looked at the integrity of the community and what they
were trying to do there. And they were trying to keep
their community as it is, a community. I think, Ms.
Wilson, you and I -- do you remember that? Okay. You
and I voted, you know, in favor of the residents. Do
you know why we’re back at the same thing again?
CINDY WILSON: I just read the agenda
packet, and I’ve had one of the neighbors to call me.
GRACIE FLOYD: Okay. All right. Well, I
started getting phone calls about this, oh gosh, I
don’t remember what night now, but I did get some phone
calls about this from the residents. They still don’t
want it, Mr. Chairman. That’s how many years apart,
from 2005 to 2019, do you ---
TOMMY DUNN: About fourteen.
GRACIE FLOYD: How many?
TOMMY DUNN: About fourteen.
GRACIE FLOYD: Fourteen years later we
have the same thing, same problem, same people, and
they still don’t want it.
TOMMY DUNN: Thank you.
CRAIG WOOTEN: I have a question for Dr.
Parkey.
TOMMY DUNN: Go ahead.
CRAIG WOOTEN: Dr. Parkey, you had said
that staff originally approved, subsequently the Zoning Board made up of citizens that approves, then Planning Commission denied. From a staff perspective, can you say why you approved and do you have any commentary from Zoning and Planning of why it changed from the original staff position? Typically we see these things sort of come through uniform. I didn’t know there was commentary from the lower boards.

JEFF PARKEY: The staff’s recommendation for approval is based on the fact that, I guess a few things, there is commercial, as well as residential zoned property surrounding this parcel. It is located just at the exit 14 of I-85. And we’re aware of sewer expansion planned. So I think those elements are the basis for the staff’s recommendation. As far as the -- and then the Zoning Advisory Group also recommended approval. I think at the Planning Commission meeting a number of residents attended and shared their comments and concerns. The applicant himself was also present and shared his comments. And so I think the Planning Commission, in taking all of those details into consideration, made a recommendation for denial that night.

TOMMY DUNN: We’ll go into the public hearing now.

JIMMY DAVIS: Mr. Chair.

TOMMY DUNN: Yes, sir.

JIMMY DAVIS: Dr. Parkey, I noticed on the (unintelligible) that staff recommendation, and this may help you, Mr. Wooten, is through the compatibility with the character of the area and the infrastructure planned staff recommends approval of the request. But also on the front part of this you mentioned, Dr. Parkey, the county’s comprehensive land use map that that identifies that area as commercial and residential; is that correct?

JEFF PARKEY: That’s right; yes, sir.

JIMMY DAVIS: I just wanted to be clear on that. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

TOMMY DUNN: Thank you. At this time we’ll go into a public hearing. Anyone wishing to speak to this matter please step forward, state your name, your district and address the chair.

MICHAEL THOMPSON: Mr. Chairman, members, my name is Michael Thompson. I’ve lived at 1166 Cartee Road for four years. My wife and myself moved here from Denver, Colorado. So I wasn’t here back years ago when this was discussed, but I think I have a good idea of the current situation. If I may just take a moment, I’d like to explain a little bit about the area.
It was discussed that this area is both a commercial and a residential property. When I look at the 2016 future use map that the Planning Commission puts together, it shows that the current split of this particular piece of property is right in line with the way it’s mapped. In other words, the portion that was determined to be deemed commercial is within that 2016 use plan. And the portion that’s outside of that is the portion that is currently marked residential. So if I could give the county an attaboy, I think it was done correctly the first time around several years ago.

Now, we love this piece of property because we have access to the lake, but we can also walk our dogs around our neighborhood. And we don’t have sidewalks, so we walk on the street. And we have a very safe neighborhood because this particular piece of property is at the very end of Cartee Road. Now, you’re talking about fifty to sixty houses in a cul-de-sac or in a loop and then some cul-de-sacs in another little division out to the side. And these residential properties, I think, and based on my four years of experience, enjoy a very peaceful and a quiet neighborhood, a safe neighborhood without conflict and without any theft and issues that typically come in a large neighborhood. One of the reasons that occurs is because Cartee Road, even though it sounds like it’s a major thoroughfare, it’s nothing more than a driveway that gets us back to these houses. There’s no through traffic. The only traffic that’s on the road are residents, the people that come there to serve those residents, to do law work, maintenance, whatever, school buses, and some buses for senior citizens for daycare type services and so forth. So it’s a very nice community for residents.

This piece of property is a nine-acre triangle and the long end of that triangle is a buffer zone, if you will, between the (audio change). There are pieces of commercial property, only one of which actually has access to Highway 187. And Highway 187 there’s a large commercial property in this twenty-five acres; fifteen of it is commercial. My mouth is so dry I can hardly talk. Excuse me. But the portion of this is already identified as commercial. If you’ll look at the maps and the drawings of this area you’ll see that we have access -- they are viewable from the interstate. One of them has access to Highway 187 and this piece of property does not.

So this piece of property acts as a buffer. And it actually keeps traffic from coming into our neighborhood that don’t need to be there. And I don’t
I think you’re going to find anybody that has an issue with the pieces that are already identified as commercial being used in a commercial purpose. And I know that there’s a lot of pressure to develop the area. Obviously there’s a new sewer system that’s going to be brought out to that area. And it makes perfect sense that this property has some potential for the county. But it doesn’t need to extend into our neighborhood to the point that it would be directly across from homes that are already existing.

The other thing about this road and about this piece of property is it only has access to Cartee Road. And as has been mentioned before, Cartee Road only has one access in and out of our community and that is you have to go onto the off-ramp from Interstate 85 to 187 and about two-thirds of the way up the ramp you turn off this side road to get into our development. Now, that may not sound unusual, but I’ll give you an example. When I bought the property in 2015, my GPS in my car told me I had to go all the way to exit 19 at Clemson Boulevard and turn around and come back to get to my piece of property. It’s unusual to go down the wrong direction on an off-ramp to an interstate highway. I’ve lived in nine states and I’ve never seen it before.

And the point I’m making is that this is a very tricky intersection. It’s a very dangerous place to have to turn for residents, much less for people wanting to carry on commercial activities. Without a change to the highway infrastructure, the sewer infrastructure has no bearing. This is a piece of property that you can’t bring additional traffic onto that highway without creating a very dangerous situation.

When I think of on and off ramps to the interstate, I think, okay, you’ve got two off-ramps and you’ve got two on-ramps. The off-ramps are the ones that have the high speed traffic, you know. They have to come off the ramp. They’re coming up to Highway 187 unless they’re very familiar and are paying strict attention to the couple of little two-way signs, they’re going to fly right up on that highway without paying any consideration to the fact that there’s cars coming the wrong direction down that ramp. And there’s been accidents there and it’s a treacherous situation. And because of, you know, Clemson, that’s one of the main accesses to Clemson, you have a lot of football fans coming down that highway and all they’re thinking about is going to the game, not all this traffic going to -- you know, I’m going to face traffic coming in the off-
ramp. The same situation exists because of the huge
and wonderful expansion of Green Pond Landing. We have
boaters coming from all over the southeast to go to
Green Pond Landing that are coming off that ramp.
They’re not thinking about the fact that, oh, we’ve got
a road turning off to the side and we’re going to have
traffic that’s turning in front of us or, you know,
turning across that ramp to get into this home
development. It requires some serious consideration to
make this piece of property a commercial property. The
highway infrastructure does not support it.

So my concern, you know, I’m not against
development. I worked for forty years for one of the
largest engineering construction companies in this
country. I know all about development. But we have to
have infrastructure to support development. And it
doesn’t exist in this case. As the councilwoman said,
there’s been nothing changed in fourteen years other
than the fact that at some point in the future there’s
going to be a septic line there. That’s not sufficient
to preemptively change the designation of this
property.

I mean if the -- the property currently has a for
sale sign on it. So that tells me that the people who
own it currently aren’t planning to develop it.
They’re planning to sell it and put some profit in
their pocket and then let whoever buys it deal with
this situation. I would suggest that this issue be
dropped until that property does become viable. And
someone who wants to put commercial property on it
should discuss it with the people of the neighborhood.
We have every right to know what’s going to be put
there. And to make it -- just arbitrarily change it to
commercial property and allow, you know, businesses
that we don’t need, and you know what I’m talking
about, we don’t need alcohol sales, we don’t need
massage parlors. We don’t need those kinds of things
in our neighborhood.

Right across 187, the opposite side of the street
we had a Hickory Point gas station and a convenience
store. It’s out of business. The gas tanks have been
removed from the ground. Obviously it didn’t make it,
so to speak. There’s a hotel across Highway 187, a
Budget Inn. All you have to do is look at Travel
Advisor and look at the fact that there’s been seven
terrible comments out of eleven that tells you a little
bit about that piece of property. Nobody wants that in
their neighborhood. All I’m suggesting is that before
we make an arbitrary decision to make this a commercial
property so that whatever can be built there, let’s
keep it the way it is and if a piece -- if a developer
legitimately wants a business there and wants to have a
neighborhood that supports them, let them come and tell
us what they’re going to do and then we can decide.
And maybe you won’t have anybody complaining against
it. In fact, we may all be voting in favor of it.
Thank you very much.

APPLAUSE

REBECCA COFFEE MOSES: Good evening. My name
is Rebecca Coffee Moses and I live on Cartee Road. I
think that’s District 4. I’m not really sure what the
district is. Before I say what I have to say, I do
want to thank y’all for letting us speak because it’s
something that many of us feel passionate about.
Several of our neighbors weren’t able to come tonight
and it really means a lot that you are willing to hear
us out.

TOMMY DUNN: That’s your right. You
ain’t got to thank you.

REBECCA COFFEE MOSES: I do appreciate it.
And I wrote my stuff down because I was afraid I’d get
nervous and not be able to say what I needed to say.
But I’ve lived in my home on Cartee Road for twenty
years. When we selected the site we did so with our
children in mind. Safety was a top priority and we
purposely chose a small community on a dead end road so
that our children could play with our friends or ride
their bikes, walk the dog, kick a ball, wait for the
school bus across the street, to swim and fish and play
without having to worry about being hit by a car.

Our community is comprised of elderly residents and
young families and most everyone in the neighborhood
has lived there for at least fifteen years. We’re
attuned to who comes and goes. We keep an eye out for
one another. It makes us feel very safe. We’re a
close community. We spend a lot of time outdoors and
every day several people walk their dogs, work in their
yards, enjoy the lake, ride their bicycles. Basically
we enjoy the peace and tranquility of our neighborhood.

We all chose this place to live because it’s on the
outskirts of the city. We chose this dead-end street
because it’s safe and it’s secluded. Our children can
play here. And we understand that commercial would be
developed up at 187, and I don’t think anybody moved in
there thinking that that would not happen. But the
property that the developer is asking to rezone is not
along 187. It’s right in our community. None of us is
opposed to growth, but we’re concerned that rezoning
the property commercial will encroach on our existing
neighborhood and infringe on our abilities to enjoy the
property that we purchased. Several concerns that I’d like you to consider before casting your vote is the developer is requesting the property be rezoned to C-2 commercial. The zoning -- I had to look all this stuff up because I didn’t know what any of it meant. But the zoning is designed to provide for the development of commercial lands used which are oriented to customers traveling by automobile. The property the developer seeks to rezone doesn’t abut the interstate or Highway 187. In fact, it can’t be seen from either location. It doesn’t have any frontage along the interstate, but instead faces residential homes. It’s not along a major thoroughfare and it’s a road that you all classed as a minor local road in 2015 because it only has one exit and entrance. This section of Cartee Road draws away and it doesn’t parallel the interest, and that was the reason that it was zoned residential to being with. At every interchange in Anderson County, no commercial properties extend deeper than the interstate on-ramp or off-ramp. That’s as far back as it goes from the road. The zoning at our interchange does the exact same thing, but the developer’s request for rezoning would allow commercial development deeper into our current residential area than any other interchange in the county. There’s very little access or frontage for the property on 187. I think that it’s probably about thirty or thirty-five yards. And the developer has placed a retention pond along the property that comes up to the exit ramp from the I-85 side. I’m very concerned that he’s asking for the property to be rezoned in order to have additional access for commercial vehicles and patrons to enter his property. There’s only one way in and out of our neighborhood and I’m concerned that reduced access would be there for school buses and for emergency vehicles. There are currently six school buses that travel on Cartee Road daily. There are three in the morning and three in the afternoon; one for the elementary school, we have a handicap bus, and then we also have a high school bus. They cannot navigate the turns in the narrow roads. The children must exit the bus at the beginning of the neighborhood and walk the rest of the way home. I’m concerned that with the increased traffic it’ll make it unsafe for them to walk down the road. I’m concerned that we’ll no longer be safe walking our dogs and riding our bikes. People don’t attend to the signs. Every day we compete with somebody who’s trying to hit us by going up the wrong side of the interest. There’s a yellow line there where traffic that’s exiting the
interest stays to the right and traffic that’s coming
down our road goes to the left. People ignore that all
the time. And so even if there were signed posted that
it was a residential area people are not going to
adhere to that. We’re going to have increased traffic
in our area.

There’s a high turnover of commercial businesses at
187 and 85. We don’t even have enough demand for two
gas stations. And I know there’s this idea that a lot
of Clemson traffic goes that way. I’ve lived there for
twenty years. Unless we’re playing Georgia Tech nobody
takes 187 to get to the Clemson game. So it’s not as
high demand as there seems to be. And I’m concerned
that businesses will close if more businesses open and
that it will become overgrown, delapidated and that we
will have some issues with that. So I do hope that
whatever is built there, they’re mindful about it.

And finally -- I apologize because this is kind of
long-winded -- but I would like council to consider
creating an overlay zone for our community similar to
that which you developed in 2013 for the Royal American
Road. That’s the frontage road at Exit 19. It was
created to protect the community from the nuisance
arriving from non-residential activity. And it
specifically states that the protection was in the best
interest of the health, safety and welfare of the
citizens.

The ordinance was developed with the clearly stated
intention of providing an environment supportive of
existing housing within the district while permitting
commercial activities to operate in ways that minimize
the impact on residential activities. In justifying
the need for the new overlay, the ordinance recognizes
that a highly visible area directly viewable from I-85,
the Royal American Overlay District, had the potential
to provide commercial activities that could carry with
it significant volumes of traffic. They can actually
be seen from the interstate. We cannot. And we’re in
the same situation where we can have the same issues
happen within our neighborhood.

If it was necessary to protect this said equality
and residential community on the frontage road at exit
19, I think that there’s justification that it would
also be necessary for us.

And then finally, I’m not opposed to growth, but
I’m concerned that the right to enjoy the property I
purchased will be secondary to commercial ventures. I
ask that you listen to your constituents and please
come and see the property yourselves. Don’t be mislead
by the placement of the rezoning notices because
they’re placed at the very beginning of the property. The part they want to rezone goes all the way down to Melia Lane. It affronts houses there and also a little bit of pasture land that they have for goats. You can come and look and see for yourself whether or not there is sufficient access at 187 for commercial property right there to safely be able to support that and see for yourself whether or not it’s really just a way to have a back door into that property while utilizing this very narrow road. And I ask that you please deny the request and keep the property zoned as it is. And I want to thank you all for your time.

APPLAUSE

TOMMY DUNN: Anyone else? Step to the mic.

??: I’m ??, I live at 1210 Cartee. I can’t beat what they’ve said. It’s beautiful. All I can do is offer you some photographs of Cartee.

TOMMY DUNN: Mr. Burns, will you pass them up if he wants us to see them.

??: Yes, those are yours. Fifty-three foot trailers have extreme difficulty trying to get into the neighborhood. And when they try to get out of the neighborhood, it’s horrible. In fact, their wheels are in the ditches. And the other part was the silt runoff is bad, which never happened before. So we’re concerned about that. They’ve covered all my bases. I appreciate you hearing us. Thank you.

TOMMY DUNN: Thank you. Anyone else?

APPLAUSE

MIKE MANLEY: Good evening. I’m Mike Manley. I have lived at 1158 Cartee Road for twenty-two years. So I’m kind of the veteran that’s here tonight. In fact, two of the council members were on the council when we did this back in 2005, so we’re kind of sharing this opportunity again.

I really can’t add anything to what’s been said from the folks up here. One thing I can say is that we’ve gotten a petition, and in three weeks, out of about fifty-two residences, we’ve already got thirty-eight residences’ signatures. The only reason we haven’t gotten the other ones is people are out of town on vacation, etcetera, but we will soon have those other ones if we need them. I respectfully ask y’all to reject the zoning request. Thank you very much.

TOMMY DUNN: Thank you. Anyone else?

APPLAUSE

TOMMY DUNN: Anyone else?
I’ve lived on Cartee Road for about twenty years. We’ve moved over to Garlock Lane now. If you drive out to the intersection that we’re all complaining out tomorrow morning, you’ll say, what’s the matter, because the county just came out last week -- or this week -- and painted the signs real good on the lanes of which way you’re supposed to go. Wait about two months and come out there, you won’t see those arrows on the paint any more and you guess which way you’re supposed to go.

APPLAUSE

TOMMY DUNN: Anyone else? Anyone? Now’s your chance. Anyone else? Public hearing will be closed. Now, do we have a motion to put on the floor to discuss?

CRAIG WOOTEN: I make a motion to put it on the floor for discussion.

JIMMY DAVIS: I’ll second that.

TOMMY DUNN: Got a motion put on the floor for discussion. Mr. Davis seconds it. Now, open the floor for discussion.

JIMMY DAVIS: Mr. Chair?

TOMMY DUNN: Mr. Davis.

JIMMY DAVIS: Am I looking at this correct? This piece of property, the whole piece of property kind of squares off at the top and points back at the end; is that correct? And ---

CINDY WILSON: Part of it.

JIMMY DAVIS: So the part that they’re asking to rezone is not that whole parcel?

CINDY WILSON: Part of it was already zoned C-2.

JIMMY DAVIS: Okay. That’s the ---

CINDY WILSON: The part that they want to rezone now is kind of the little curved piece.

JIMMY DAVIS: I’m looking at this right here.

TOMMY DUNN: Dr. Parkey, do you mind going over there to Councilman Davis and point that out to him, help you.

CINDY WILSON: It wasn’t real clear in our agenda packet looking at the aerial photographs, just so you’ll know.

JIMMY DAVIS: Am I the only one that needs verification on this?

GRACIE FLOYD: Say it out loud.

JIMMY DAVIS: So the pointed part, for lack of a better words, the pointed part is what they’re trying to rezone. So the part up toward 187 is already zoned commercial. So it’s this whole part
right here.

TOMMY DUNN:  Dr. Parkey, if you would, show that to Ms. Floyd, too.

JEFF PARKEY:  Ms. Floyd, I’ll try to describe this as best I can. But, yes, the property is an unusual shape and it sort of has a triangular shape to it in kind of the back side of it, which is adjacent to the neighborhood. The portion of the property that’s closest to Highway 187 is what’s already zoned C-2.

TOMMY DUNN:  Ms. Wilson, do you have any questions of Dr. Parkey?

CINDY WILSON:  I don’t have questions of Dr. Parkey. I just -- when it’s time I would like to speak.

TOMMY DUNN:  Okay. Let them get where everybody can ...

CINDY WILSON:  The packet was not clear. The aerial photograph indicated the entire original parcel. And you had ---

TOMMY DUNN:  I’ve been up there. I know the property.

CINDY WILSON:  Yeah. I do have serious concerns about this; I truly do. It seems that we have to be mindful of property rights on both sides. The person who owns the property and wants to develop it to the optimum for the highest and best use. But you’ve also got to balance the needs of the people who already have huge investments in the property adjoining it; their residential properties. It’s difficult, but not impossible, to marry the two. But we have nothing presented except that the owner currently wants to go to C-2. And commercial activity juxtaposed against residential frequently will infringe on the resident’s legal right to quiet enjoyment. That is a legal right, a legal term. And you have to ask, in addition to that issue, will it devalue the properties adjoining it? And it very well could. I would prefer for this developer to present what he has in mind before I even vote for or against. I mean if it’s something that could marry well into the neighborhood and not create dangerous traffic concerns, then I would be glad to consider it. That’s my concern. Thank you.

TOMMY DUNN:  Thank you. Let me just say this. I understand. And zoning is a very, very tough thing. It brings people on both sides, passionate people, no doubt about it. What I would like to see happen tonight, myself, if -- we had something happen, probably not as dramatic to this, but close about a year ago, on the other side of Highway 24, the mini
warehouses. We have to vote on this three times if it
passes, to get this to take effect. And if we vote it
down, which I know y’all would be glad for that, this
can’t be brought back up for a year. I would like to
at least find out some more information to give him and
y’all, I’m not saying -- but I would like some more
information in talking to him, the developer, or them;
the developers along with y’all and see if something
could be worked out or not. If you can’t we’ll do
something else. Like I say, we’ve got to vote on this
again. Instead of just killing it tonight, I’d like to
see it move forward for at least a second reading --
second vote to see if we can get some common ground,
something worked out. If we can’t we can kill it then.
That’s my recommendation.

CINDY WILSON: Is the developer here
tonight?

TOMMY DUNN: He’s out of town, I think.

CINDY WILSON: Okay.

GRACIE FLOYD: Mr. Chair?

TOMMY DUNN: Ms. Floyd.

GRACIE FLOYD: Didn’t somebody say out
there that there is a for sale sign on the property
now. So if the person who put it there should sell it,
which hasn’t sold, well, when will we know who the real
owner of the property is going to be. There’s no time
---

TOMMY DUNN: We can find out between now
and next -- I plan on finding out, sitting down with
the developer and with the people in the community and
find out something between now and next vote.

GRACIE FLOYD: But it hasn’t been sold
yet. Am I hearing ---

TOMMY DUNN: Somebody owns it. The
person that’s asking for it to be rezoned has got the
right to it. I mean owns it. I don’t know if they
haven’t took -- if the person hasn’t took the for sale
sign down or they’re trying to sell it or what not, but
that’s information to find out.

GRACIE FLOYD: But I understood that the
one who owns it is trying to sell it.

TOMMY DUNN: I don’t know that to be
true.

GRACIE FLOYD: Didn’t somebody say that?

TOMMY DUNN: Uh-huh (affirmative).

Yeah.

GRACIE FLOYD: Okay. So it hasn’t sold
yet? So we don’t know what the new seller, we don’t
know who he is, but we don’t know yet what he’s going
to do there. So it’ll take some time.
CINDY WILSON: The concern that we have to have, too, is highway commercial district allows car dealerships, educational institutions, hotels, taverns, recreation buildings and stores permitted. It doesn’t specify. It’s a very broad intensive use. So there has to be protections for the residents.

TOMMY DUNN: No doubt. No doubt. And I mean it’s like one lady brought up about an overlay. That might be something to work in this thing here, work out or something another. But you’ve got to have a start and you’ve got to get people together talking.

CINDY WILSON: So you’re going to recommend that we vote in favor tonight and bring the developer back, along with the people, and find out what he has in mind?

TOMMY DUNN: Yes, ma’am, but not just us. I would like what we done -- Dr. Parkey, if he’s willing to get the developer and the people in the community to have a meeting and sit down, see what’s going on and come back before we vote on it again. We’ve got ---

CINDY WILSON: Okay.

TOMMY DUNN: I mean you can kill it second reading or third reading. It ain’t no trick. I’ll just give everybody -- if this thing is dead tonight, it’s a year. And it might be something another can be worked out and might not be. We worked this out over on 24. But it’s something that might not be able to be done; I don’t know. But I think it deserves a shot for everybody involved.

CINDY WILSON: Well, I’ll vote for it tonight, but I’ll be prepared to vote against it unless it’s something that can work in favor of the folks who came out tonight.

TOMMY DUNN: I am, too. Mr. Wooten.

CRAIG WOOTEN: Yeah, I -- these are the worst things to deal with as a council member because we’re constantly tasked with sort of balancing the right of the majority against the right of the individual. They both have rights. And the people who came tonight made a compelling argument. I guess what concerns me, and it’s the reason I was asking Dr. Parkey at the beginning, was the process. We hire engineers. We hire people who have planning degrees to give us recommendations. And they gave us a recommendation to make it commercial. But then knowing that that has limitations, we bring in citizens. And we brought in a citizen zoning board; one of them said to do it and then the Planning Commission is another citizen board and they said not to do it. So that’s
troubling because a lot of times as a council member I rely on them to work it up the chain and tell me what’s going on and see sides of it that I don’t see.

I’m very familiar with the area. I’ve known people on both sides of the issue for about thirty years and I trust both of what they’re telling me to be accurate. My aunt used to live on that cul-de-sac and I remember fishing in that cove. In going with what Mr. Dunn said, in talking, is it possible that -- we saw modifications being made in past developments where the developer said, I understand the concerns of the citizens. I’m going to bring forward a modification that addresses those concerns. I mean that was indicated to me that the developer was willing to do that, or at least entertain that.

TOMMY DUNN: I don’t know.

CRAIG WOOTEN: I don’t know either. I mean we would have to hear it from him directly. I’m okay with giving him a chance to offer that modification.

TOMMY DUNN: Hang on. Let’s keep it civil.

CRAIG WOOTEN: What would be beneficial about next year versus two weeks from now? We could go ahead and address it immediately.

FEMALE: (Not at mic)

TOMMY DUNN: No, ma’am.

FEMALE: (Not at mic)

CRAIG WOOTEN: Great point. I guess what I’m not communicating is the meetings don’t have to happen consecutively.

TOMMY DUNN: That’s right. It’s not something that’s got to be worked out -- and I’m getting off track. I don’t like to do this because this is not a town hall meeting. But let me just -- this ain’t something that’s got to be worked out in two weeks or nothing.

CRAIG WOOTEN: Yeah. And I’m sorry if I mislead people to believe that we had to do it in two weeks. We can have second reading in December. We can have second reading the second week of September. We can have second reading at whatever point you feel like y’all have exhausted every means to compromise.

TOMMY DUNN: Or the council.

CRAIG WOOTEN: Or the council. I would like to see what the modification is.

TOMMY DUNN: Sir, it’s not -- no, sir. He asked a question. It’s not a back and forth between the audience and the council. I’m sorry. It’s not a public hearing on this thing. We had it; it’s over
with on that part. Mr. Wooten, go ahead.

CRAIG WOOTEN: Okay. I mean I would like to see what the compromise is. I understand people would rather me just kill it tonight and not even look at the compromise. You know, in that regard I guess we’ve seen some of these things be worked out in the past. And I guess I’m not trying to be a Pollyanna or idealistic or naive. I was hoping to give a chance for people to reconcile it. I’m willing, you know, to try to reconcile it, but if that’s not the nature of the folks, I understand that that’s a constraint. But I don’t see what’s the harm in seeing what the compromise is. That’s been our take in the past and it’s worked, but I understand there’s limitations to that.

TOMMY DUNN: There are. I think there are limitations, but I think it’s one thing to see to try to work it out. If it don’t then we won’t. Ms. Floyd.

GRACIE FLOYD: Yeah. I am not in the same dilemma as others may be because ever since I have been up here -- they don’t like for me to say it -- I’m doing the best I can, ma’am, something is wrong with the mic. Something is wrong with the mic. But they don’t like for me to say this, but I have been up here for twenty years. In the twenty years I have been up here, I have always looked at situations like this, but I always look at the neighborhood first.

TOMMY DUNN: You’ve good. I didn’t mean to bother you. Go ahead.

GRACIE FLOYD: No, you’re fine. But I always look at the neighborhood first because I look at the fact that people chose this spot individually. And you had other people coming to choose the same spot. And so you found yourself in a community. You found yourself in a neighborhood. And you’re there because you want to be there. You bought the one lot for you to live on and your family to live on and you’re happy and you’re settled and you’re satisfied. And then here comes somebody else. But it’s not being a part of the neighborhood. It’s not been a part of a community. It’s about money. How much can I sell -- how much can I get off of this property if I put it right next door here to the neighbors.

It happened to me. It happened to my neighborhood. But we slept while it was happening. They brought in a manufacturing company and put it right next door to us. And by the time we heard it and got it and understood it, it was too late. But you have most of -- a lot of your neighbors here plus you have a signed statement saying that your neighbors, one by one, don’t like this
idea. And I tend to agree with the neighbors. You’ve there because you want to be. You aren’t trying to make money. You’re trying to just live peacefully, happily and in a nice, clean neighborhood. And with all the traffic coming through and coming down, I know about that traffic. There’s nothing you can do to stop the traffic. The heavy truck on the road. They pay taxes, too, but not like in a neighborhood when it’s all messed up like that.

So I never have a problem trying to determine or decide which way to go. I’m going the way that I would like somebody to have gone when they were messing up my neighborhood. I’m going the way that other people ---

APPLAUSE

GRACIE FLOYD: I’m going the way that I would like somebody to go with me. And I thank you.

TOMMY DUNN: Thank you.

APPLAUSE

TOMMY DUNN: Anyone else? All in favor of the motion to move this forward for the second reading show of hands. All opposed. Show the motion carries, Mr. Davis, Mr. Dunn, Mr. Wooten, Ms. Wilson in favor. Ms. Floyd opposes.

CINDY WILSON: Mr. Floyd -- I mean, Mr. Chairman, may I make a comment?

TOMMY DUNN: Yes, ma’am.

CINDY WILSON: I hope that this developer comes forward with a good plan. I have had this conversation with him before on another property and I don’t appreciate the shortcut he’s taken coming to us like this. I’ve told him in a previous situation, he was quite angry with me, that he needed to get his project and go talk to the neighbors and if it did not devalue their property and they would be in favor of it then that would work out fine. And he didn’t.

TOMMY DUNN: Well, like I said, we’ve got to vote this down. We’re going to take a five minute recess.

RECESS

TOMMY DUNN: I call the council meeting of August 6th back in order.

Moving on to item 8(b), 2019-035, ordinance to amend Section 2-633 of the Anderson County, South Carolina Code of Ordinances so as to increase from $1,000.00 to $5,000.00 the smallest amount for which an annual inventory and accounting is required. We talked about this last meeting. Do we have a motion to move this forward?

CINDY WILSON: So moved.

TOMMY DUNN: Motion Mr. Davis; second
Mr. Sanders. Any discussion?

CINDY WILSON: May I quickly point out, please, that the departments will still continue keeping an inventory, but this involves the accounting part of it, the depreciation. Thank you.

TOMMY DUNN: Yeah. All in favor of the motion show of hands. Opposed like sign. Show the motion carries unanimously.

We’re going to be moving on now to item number (c), 8(c), 2019-036, an ordinance authorizing pursuant to Title 4 of the Code of Laws of south Carolina 2976, as amended, including sections 4-1-170, 4-1-175 and 4-29-68 thereof, and Article VIII, section 13 of the South Carolina Constitution the execution and delivery of an Infrastructure Credit Agreement by and between Anderson County, South Carolina and a company known to the county as project MCPEND to provide for certain source revenue or infrastructure credit. Mr. Burriss Nelson.

BURRISS NELSON: Mr. Chairman, members of council, thank you. We’ve been approached by one of our local communities for much needed capital investment and development within the boundaries of their city limits and asked us to partner with them in helping them bring about this $31,000,000.00 project. It is an apartment complex, supposedly a high end, well constructed and well financed project. This bring substantial capital investment to the community, but it also includes the combining of the millage from the city and the county, which makes it substantially higher property tax rate. So in view of that, to help the city itself, the community bring about this capital investment, we have partnered with them and created a multi-county park agreement with a fifty percent SSRC for years one through ten, a forty percent SSRC for years eleven through twenty, for a twenty year agreement.

Last year this property, seven acres, paid twelve thousand dollars in property tax. The anticipated property tax in 2021 will be eight hundred and twenty thousand dollars. Of course, that will be split between the county, the schools and the city itself. But over the first ten years of the project generating eight million dollars in total capital investment, with a community impact of eighty-four million in the first year and a total community impact of almost eight million dollars in the first twenty.

This comes to council with a recommendation from the Economic Development staff, as well as the Economic Development Advisory Board. We ask that you give favorable consideration. Thank you.
TOMMY DUNN: Do we have a motion to move this forward?

CINDY WILSON: So moved.

TOMMY DUNN: Motion Ms. Wilson. Do we have a second?

JIMMY DAVIS: Second.

TOMMY DUNN: Second Mr. Davis. Any discussion?

GRACIE FLOYD: Yes.

TOMMY DUNN: Ms. Floyd.

GRACIE FLOYD: Mr. Nelson.

BURRISS NELSON: Yes, ma’am.

GRACIE FLOYD: Hear me well?

BURRISS NELSON: Yes, ma’am, I can hear you, certainly.

GRACIE FLOYD: Thank you. Mr. Nelson, we’ve talked considerably about this thing -- about this new thing coming up. But at my desk tonight I got here and I saw where Arthrex in Collier County, I don’t know where that is. Wait a minute. Naples Daily News. It’s from Naples.

BURRISS NELSON: Florida, where their headquarters is.

GRACIE FLOYD: Oh, okay. Collier County needs to do more to provide affordable work -- isn’t Arthrex coming to us, too?

BURRISS NELSON: Yes, ma’am. They’re getting ready to invest seventy-four million and create a thousand jobs with an average pay of twenty-one dollars an hour.

GRACIE FLOYD: So would this have anything to do with what we’re trying to do, what we’ve been discussing?

BURRISS NELSON: Well, their problem in Florida is that they don’t have enough housing for their employees there and the community there has been slow to authorize and develop community housing construction, apartment construction, and it has prevented Arthrex from growing. It is a fear that we have that would prevent Arthrex from growing here, providing and creating the jobs that they have promised that they will do for us. There’s a good chance that we may even get more capital investment out of Arthrex just because of how that behavior and those folks behave in that community.

GRACIE FLOYD: You know, if I can remember the history of Anderson County, when the mills used to be here a long time ago, and they found themselves short of housing, the mill sites built the houses for the people; did they not?
BURRISS NELSON: Yes, ma’am. That’s correct.

GRACIE FLOYD: And they created what used to be called mill villages; right? Well, Arthrex now, after reading this, this is a whole new light on it. See, I didn’t get this until just now. So I haven’t read the whole thing. But it ties in with what we’re trying to do. Yeah. We are having to build these houses ourselves by giving the builders fifty percent, only giving them fifty percent tax credit or something like that; is that right?

BURRISS NELSON: That’s correct.

GRACIE FLOYD: Oh, man, that makes it worse. You can almost see it now. I can see what’s going on here now. We need to talk some more. That’s all. You and I.

BURRISS NELSON: Yes, ma’am.

GRACIE FLOYD: We need to talk a little bit more since this thing here sheds light on a lot of the things. But anyway, I will hold off my comments and everything else until we talk maybe tomorrow.

BURRISS NELSON: Yes, ma’am. That’ll be good.

GRACIE FLOYD: Okay. Will you call me?

BURRISS NELSON: Yes, ma’am. I certainly will.

GRACIE FLOYD: After ten thirty.

BURRISS NELSON: Yes, ma’am.

GRACIE FLOYD: Good.

TOMMY DUNN: Anyone else? Go ahead, Mr. Sanders.

BRETT SANDERS: Mr. Nelson?

BURRISS NELSON: Yes, sir.

BRETT SANDERS: This will not only benefit Anderson County and the tax revenue stream, but will also help the township of Pendleton?

BURRISS NELSON: Yes, sir. That’s correct.

BRETT SANDERS: And how will it affect School District 4?

BURRISS NELSON: Well, they’ll reap twice the amount that the city and the county both will get, a substantial amount of money. In the first year alone, the split -- I apologize. I’ve got too many stacks of paper here. The split for -- even in the first year, the city is a $116,000.00; schools $215,000.00; the county is $78,000.00. In year two city is $233,000.00; schools $430,000.00; the county $156,000.00. We have the lowest millage amount of all of those three units. And over the first ten years of the project, the county is at $1.5 million, just in
this portion alone in tax receipts.
One of the things that is good about this capital
investment, we had talked about we’re using other
people’s money. We’ve not using the county’s tax
dollars to create -- right out of the general fund to
create housing. We’re using other people’s money to
bring about the housing, help folks find the housing
that is needed for those folks that would be working at
Arthrex, for example, or any other place in our county.
So it’s better for us to not have to finance with tax
dollars housing projects, especially. It gives us an
opportunity to, as I said, use other people’s money and
not tax dollars to do that.

BRETT SANDERS: And would this SSR credit
of fifty percent, based on a $31,000,000.00 investment,
it would be hard pressed to create a $31,000,000.00
investment on seven acres in Pendleton in other ways?

BURRISS NELSON: You would have to have some
very expensive houses to be able to do that.

BRETT SANDERS: It would be better to do
the SSR at fifty percent than have a hundred percent
the other way?

BURRISS NELSON: At especially a four
percent assessment ratio. You’re exactly right.

BRETT SANDERS: Thank you, sir.

BURRISS NELSON: Yes, sir.

TOMMY DUNN: Yes, sir, Mr. Davis.

JIMMY DAVIS: Mr. Nelson, (mic not
working) so what we’re looking at here is if we don’t
do anything we’re going to keep collecting about
$12,500.00 a year in taxes?

BURRISS NELSON: That’s correct.

JIMMY DAVIS: If we do something we’re
going to collect about another $800,000.00 a year in
taxes?

BURRISS NELSON: Yes, sir. That’s correct.

JIMMY DAVIS: All right. That’s all I
needed to know.

BURRISS NELSON: Thank you, sir.

JIMMY DAVIS: Thank you.

TOMMY DUNN: All in favor of the motion
show of hands. All opposed? Abstentions? Show the
motions carries, Mr. Davis, Mr. Sanders, Mr. Dunn, Mr.
Wooten and Ms. Wilson in favor. Ms. Floyd abstains.

Moving on to item number (d), 2019-037, an
ordinance to amend an agreement for the development of
a joint county industrial and business park, 2010 Park,
of Anderson and Greenville Counties to enlarge the
park. This is about the project that we just talked
about. Do we have a motion to put this on the floor?
CINDY WILSON: So moved.
TOMMY DUNN: Motion Ms. Wilson. Do we have a second?
JIMMY DAVIS: Second.
TOMMY DUNN: Second Mr. Davis. Now any discussion? You got anything you need to add to this, Mr. Nelson? Okay. All in favor of the motion show of hands. This park. Putting that project we just talked about in an industrial park of 2010.
GRACIE FLOYD: (Not speaking into mic)
TOMMY DUNN: No. It’s the same thing we just talked about. We’re putting it in that Greenville park where they can get the thing. All in favor of the motion show of hands. All opposed or abstains?
GRACIE FLOYD: I abstain.
TOMMY DUNN: Show the motion carries with Mr. Davis, Mr. Sanders, Mr. Dunn, Mr. Wooten, Ms. Wilson in favor. Ms. Floyd abstains.
BURRISS NELSON: Thank you so much.
TOMMY DUNN: Thank you. And appreciate you and your staff and the board. And I just want to say on that last thing, this is a request mainly from the town of Pendleton. We want to help them out.

Moving on to item number 9(a), a resolution to approve a welcome sign in Anderson County at Interstate I-85 near exit 4. And this was in our -- money was appropriated in our budget when we done this. Do we have a motion to move this forward?
CINDY WILSON: So moved.
TOMMY DUNN: Motion Ms. Wilson. Do we have a second?
JIMMY DAVIS: Second.
CINDY WILSON: Quick question. What will it cost and what will our source of funds be?
RUSTY BURNS: Right off the top of my head, $90,000.00, and we have that placed in the budget. It was approved by council.
CINDY WILSON: From ATAX maybe?
RUSTY BURNS: No, ma’am. General fund.
CINDY WILSON: Okay. And you said a hundred ninety?
TOMMY DUNN: No, ninety.
CINDY WILSON: Ninety; okay. I was going to say a hundred and ninety ...
TOMMY DUNN: Any more discussion on this matter?
JIMMY DAVIS: Mr. Chair?
TOMMY DUNN: Ms. Floyd and we’ll get to
GRACIE FLOYD: Okay. Mr. Burns, you say it’s $90,000.00?
RUSTY BURNS: Off the top of my head. I can get the exact figure.
GRACIE FLOYD: And you put one of these -- I mean, you had the money in the budget?
RUSTY BURNS: Yes, ma’am. The budget approved by council.
GRACIE FLOYD: Okay. Well, I didn’t see that in that budget. Did y’all call it something else?
RUSTY BURNS: No, ma’am.
GRACIE FLOYD: Okay. Well, how come we’re not putting one on Highway 29 as it is a viable transportation area into Anderson County. Why not one in District ---
RUSTY BURNS: I think that’s an excellent idea, Ms. Floyd. I would like to have brand new entrance signs to every entrance in Anderson County, but right now the ones that get the most traffic are the ones on Interstate 85. And I think it’s important that we delineate where Anderson County is. We’ve received massive public approval on the one that we placed on the Greenville side. I would like people coming from Atlanta to also know that they’re in Anderson County when they get here. But I agree with you a hundred percent about 29. I think we need a nice one up there on Clemson Boulevard.
GRACIE FLOYD: It is -- it does feed off of 85.
BURRISS NELSON: Yes, ma’am, it does. I agree with you. I’ll be making another request.
GRACIE FLOYD: Well, I thank you for agreeing with me, Mr. Burns, but it seems like that’s about all you’re doing is agreeing with me. Because if you’re going to do it for one, I don’t see why you couldn’t have done it for both of us. How many entrance ways do we have off of 85 into Anderson County?
RUSTY BURNS: Well, we have the two main ones; 85 north and south, and then 29 is the third one that I would say. And then the one on Clemson Boulevard coming out of Pickens is another one. I think those would be the main ones. But due to budget constraints, we just wanted to bite it off in small chunks.
GRACIE FLOYD: And how was that into the budget? Nobody brought it out. Nobody said anything when we were talking about the budget. I don’t remember it, I mean actually bringing it out.
TOMMY DUNN: We did. It was discussed.
Ms. Davis brought it up.
GRACIE FLOYD: Oh, Ms. Davis brought it up?
TOMMY DUNN: Yeah, when we was going through our line items on our budget. I can promise you.
GRACIE FLOYD: Well, I didn’t see it, so thank you.
TOMMY DUNN: Thank you. Anyone else?
Mr. Sanders -- I’m sorry. Let’s go to Mr. Davis. He was next. I’m sorry. I apologize. Go ahead.
JIMMY DAVIS: I must say, and I thank the previous council for the approval of the sign at 153 at exit 40. I pass that sign multiple times a day, and you know, I get so many compliments on the sign at exit 40. It really makes me proud to be a resident of Anderson County, because I do pass it several times a day. And I think this is something that will be really nice to have on our southern border on 85, as well as other entrances into the county going forward. Thank you.
TOMMY DUNN: Thank you. Mr. Sanders.
BRETT SANDERS: Yeah, it’s the same company that done the last one, is doing the one we’re doing now? (Not speaking into mic)
GRACIE FLOYD: Is that the one that the class or whatever else put up the last one?
RUSTY BURNS: Yes, ma’am. We had participation from a class to make that one of their projects.
GRACIE FLOYD: So that’s the one they’re talking about on ...
TOMMY DUNN: Exit 40, yes, ma’am.
GRACIE FLOYD: Okay. So Anderson County really didn’t do that one?
RUSTY BURNS: No, ma’am. Anderson County did all of the ground work. We secured all of the permits and we put a substantial amount of money into that project. I can remember exactly, but I can get you that figure.
GRACIE FLOYD: Okay. Because next year I would like to put in the budget that we put one on Highway 29.
RUSTY BURNS: I’m all for it.
TOMMY DUNN: All in favor of the motion show of hands. Opposed like sign. Show the motion carries unanimously.
Be moving on now to item number (b), 9(b), resolution to approve the agreement between South
Carolina Department of Transportation and Anderson County regarding an extension of the multi-use path on both the east and west ends of the existing path along the East-West Connector.

Is this something Mr. Hopkins wants to talk about, or Mr. Burns? Mr. Hopkins?

HOLT HOPKINS: I’m just here to answer any questions.

TOMMY DUNN: We got a motion to put this on the floor?

CRAIG WOOTEN: I make a motion to put it on the floor.

TOMMY DUNN: Mr. Wooten makes a motion to put this on the floor. Ms. Wilson seconds. Now discussion? Mr. Wooten.

CRAIG WOOTEN: Yes. This is something I’ve been excited about a long time and I just want to give a little bit of background of what it is to give context to the work that Mr. Hopkins has been doing.

We all know the East-West Connector has a walkway that goes from Main Street over to 81. We see folks walking on it all the time. We have a park in the middle.

Well, years ago, and I’m probably going to over-simplify it. But years ago the state allocated a chunk of money that they said, hey, Anderson, you can use this to extend your parkway, and you can extend it on the Ingles side all the way through neighborhoods to AnMed and you can extend it on the Clemson Boulevard side to go all the way and connect to the Civic Center.

So theoretically you could go from the Civic Center all the way down to AnMed. You could almost catch the sidewalks downtown. You could sort of come up through Linley Park and connect by the Civic Center. So we have the makings of a full walking trail throughout our town.

Some people say, hey, I don’t use that walking trail. That’s not of interest to me. I get that. The good news is it didn’t come from taxpayer money. It came from the state. And so a lot of people ask me, say, Craig, can you just give that money back and we’ll use that money to pave roads here because we want our roads paved. I said, that’s a good point. Problem is the state wouldn’t let us do that. They said if you do not use it for this project, then it’s going to go away. And we’re like, well, where is it going to go away to? Well, it would probably go to Myrtle Beach, Charleston or Rock Hill and they would make sidewalks for themselves.

So one of the things I hear in my district a lot is people say, hey, I want a liveable, walkable area. I
want to be able to get out and exercise and walk to the
grocery store or what not. I believe that this is a
way to get that done and get it done in the right way.
What this obligates us to -- it’s not the money.
The money has already been given to us. This just
allows us to accept the project. And yes, we would
have to maintain it. And I’m wary of when people give
me stuff and tell me to maintain it because that can be
expensive. I was given a boat one time and -- but in
this regard the benefit that we would get out of it
from the community, it’s worth the minimal maintenance
cost for the amount of money that Columbia sent us.
So I find it an overwhelmingly positive project,
but it needs that explanation because I do agree that
not everybody lives near it. And I do agree that not
everybody would find use in it. So I wanted to point
out how we came about that money and that we couldn’t
use it for other items, or we would lose it. So that’s
why I think it’s a positive thing for the county and
that’s why I think it would be a positive thing for us
to accept and move this resolution forward.

TOMMY DUNN: Thank you. Anyone else?

BRETT SANDERS: Mr. Chair?

TOMMY DUNN: Mr. Sanders.

BRETT SANDERS: Is there a time frame for
this to move forward on completion?

HOLT HOPKINS: Slow. It’s like any large
project at this stage. They’re still in the right-of-
way phase. They’ve about finished with all the plans
and utility coordination that they’re having to do.
But it probably won’t go to construction for at least
eighteen months. It could be two years.

BRETT SANDERS: I know that state money is
our money. Like Mr. Wooten said, or Councilman Wooten
said, I’d rather see it spent here in Anderson County
than Myrtle Beach or Charleston or somewhere else. And
I’m excited, as well, about it.

TOMMY DUNN: Thank you. All in favor of
the motions how of hands. Opposed like sign. Show the
motion carries unanimously. Thank you, Mr. Hopkins.

Moving on now to item number 10, road acceptance
into county inventory. This is from District 6. It’s
Three Bridges Subdivision.

JIMMY DAVIS: Can we make all this in one
motion?

TOMMY DUNN: That’s what I was going to
say. Let’s try to -- nobody got an objection, I’ll
clarify real quick for the record. Mr. Hopkins, these
roads on Three Bridges Subdivision, Phases I and II,
they’ve met all the criteria of county standards?
HOLT HOPKINS: They have.
TOMMY DUNN: Inspected and met everything?
HOLT HOPKINS: Yes, multiple times.
TOMMY DUNN: Do we have a motion to move this forward, all one, two, three, four -- all five of these roads?
JIMMY DAVIS: So moved.
TOMMY DUNN: Have a motion by Mr. Davis; second Ms. Wilson. Any discussion? All in favor of the motion show of hands. Opposed like sign.
Item number 10(b), Rogers Knoll Subdivision Phase I, again District 6, four roads. Mr. Hopkins, all four of them has met all the criteria and all the things for the county?
HOLT HOPKINS: Yes.
TOMMY DUNN: Do we have a motion to move all four of these roads on?
BRETT SANDERS: So moved.
TOMMY DUNN: Motion Mr. Sanders; second Ms. Wilson. You second, Ms. Wilson?
CINDY WILSON: Second.
TOMMY DUNN: Ms. Wilson seconds it. Any discussion? All in favor of the motion show of hands. Motion carries unanimously.
Now moving on to item number (c), that’s 10(c). Again this is Council District 6, James Lake Way. Mr. Hopkins, again, met all the criteria of the county?
HOLT HOPKINS: Yes, sir.
TOMMY DUNN: Do we have a motion to move this forward?
JIMMY DAVIS: So moved.
TOMMY DUNN: Motion Mr. Davis; second Ms. Wilson. Any discussion? All in favor of the motion show of hands. Opposed like sign. Show the motion carries unanimously. Thank you, Mr. Hopkins.
Moving on to item number 11, report from the Finance Committee held on August 5, 2019. Chairman Wooten.
CRAIG WOOTEN: Thank you, sir. Yes, we had a finance meeting this past Monday. To start off with we had a bid for what they call police interceptor SUVs. And these are SUVs that are not Chevy Tahoes but Ford Explorers that have a certain equipment package that allow the police to do their job the way they need it and it goes fast enough and meets all their requirements. So what we did was we sent it out for a bid. And one of the first things I look for in a bid is did we get multiple people responding because we want to get the best deal for the county. Multiple
people didn’t respond on this one. And I asked why and
they said because it was the technical nature of these
cars that they were buying. Not everybody sells these
kind of cars. These are specialty cars for police. So
it made sense the amount that we got it from. And it
looks like about $920,000.00 and it’s going to be about
twenty-five police interceptor vehicles. So we felt
good about that because it was on state contract and
that had been bid out in the past. And we move this
forward as a full recommendation from the Finance
Committee.

TOMMY DUNN: Coming from the Finance
Committee, it doesn’t need a second. Now are there any
discussions? All in favor of the motion show of hands.
All opposed like sign. Abstentions? You in favor, Ms.
Floyd?

GRACIE FLOYD: Yes.

TOMMY DUNN: I’m sorry. Unanimous.

Moving on, Mr. Chairman.

CRAIG WOOTEN: Yes, sir. The second item
was -- line item was Brownfield Revolving Loan Fund.
And basically what this is, this is the ability for the
county to borrow money to clean up old mill sites. And
through this Brownfield Fund that was set up, you know,
we can get money for like one percent. The good news
is because this is getting subsidized from I guess the
feds and the state is they forgive thirty percent of
the loan. So they give you really cheap money and then
they knock off thirty percent of it because they want
us to clean up these sites. And I know people around
the county are always telling me that they have great
memories of working and living in the mill areas and
they hate to see it delapidated. So this is going to
allow us to work on two sites in Anderson County. And
one is the Toxoway site and the other is Pelzer Lower
Mill site. So the first thing in regards to this is,
you know, we asked Councilman Wilson and she approved
of what was going on in her area. And then I deferred
to Ms. Floyd from the Toxoway site that she felt
comfortable with what was going on in her area. And
when I felt like that was the case, looking at it
financially, it made sense. And so this comes forward
as a full recommendation from the Finance Committee.

TOMMY DUNN: Coming from the Finance
Committee, it doesn’t need a second. Are there any
discussion? All in favor of the motion show of hands.
Opposed like sign. Show the motion carries
unanimously.

Next, Mr. Chairman.

CRAIG WOOTEN: Yes. The next item is the
1 capital -- the service for the Anderson TTI building.
2 And I’m looking at my notes here. I want to make sure
3 that I don’t misquote this. Ms. Davis, would you help
4 elaborate on this item? This is in regards to the
5 Anderson TTI building that we obtained through a
6 project I believe a couple of years ago now on 28
7 Bypass.

8 RITA DAVIS: That is correct, sir. And
9 Mr. Brian Richardson has asked for four capital items;
10 one heavy duty pickup, two zero turn mowers, one SUV
11 hatchback and one work body. That’s for his
12 housekeeping, maintenance and ground employees that he
13 hopes to hire. But of course we’re not in the facility
14 and those employees have not been hired at this time.
15 However, Mr. Stone -- there’s a lead time, month’s lead
16 time before he can get these vehicles. He’s asking
17 council’s indulgence to go ahead and allow Mr. Stone to
18 get those items ordered. Remember, we had money in
19 continency in the general fund for this, and we told
20 you we would come back before council before any
21 employees, any capital or any operating money was
22 transferred into his budget.

23 TOMMY DUNN: Thank you, Ms. Davis?

24 RITA DAVIS: That totals maximum of a
25 hundred and forty-two thousand dollars.

26 TOMMY DUNN: So this would have to be --
27 I’m asking now. This would have to be bidded out and
28 come back before the Finance Committee.

29 RITA DAVIS: Yes, sir. This is for Mr.
30 Stone to do ---

31 TOMMY DUNN: To start the process. But
32 there will be a vote when you come back with a dollar
33 figure.

34 RITA DAVIS: That is correct; yes, sir.

35 CRAIG WOOTEN: And that was the concerns
36 of the committee, is you know, first of all could we do
37 this on contract less expensive. But in regards to the
38 type of work we needed out there, we needed county
39 employees that could stay on site and then the timing
40 of it, these are tractors and items that aren’t
41 necessarily -- can be obtained off the lot at the local
42 tractor dealer; that we needed to be able to buy them
43 ahead of time to be ready to use them at a later date.
44 So these items from Mr. Richardson came forward as a
45 full recommendation from the Finance Committee. And I
46 put that in the form of a motion.

47 TOMMY DUNN: Again, coming from the
48 Finance Committee, it doesn’t need a second. Any more
49 discussion? All in favor of the motion show of hands.
50 All opposed like sign. You in favor -- show the motion
carries unanimously.

Moving on to item number 6. Mr. Chairman.

CRAIG WOOTEN: Yes. Item number 6 is a capital transfer for police laptops. And basically we were submitted a budget transfer form that was going to take some money out of uniforms and clothing and safety and moving it into capital to purchase the vehicle computers that go into a police car. Once again, this is not your standard Dell computer. It’s configured with all of their software and has all of their mechanisms that they need to do their job. And so this was moved into their account to buy it. And we had a quote from an ARC Pivot Company that we knew was a good deal based on the recommendations from our Information Director. So we move this forward as a unanimous motion from the Finance Department to allow this capital transfer for police laptops.

TOMMY DUNN: Coming from the Finance Committee, it doesn’t need a second. Are there any discussion? Hearing none, all in favor of the motion show of hands. Opposed like sign. Show the motion carries unanimously.

And I believe, Mr. Chairman, those last items will be covered in executive session. Do we have a motion on item number 13 to add those when we go into executive session?

CRAIG WOOTEN: Yes, sir.

TOMMY DUNN: You can give your report on that.

So we’ll be moving on now to item number 12, report from the Planning and Public Works meeting held August 5, 2019. Chairman Wilson.

CINDY WILSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

JIMMY DAVIS: Madam Chairwoman, do I need to recuse myself now?

CINDY WILSON: Yes.

TOMMY DUNN: State your reason, Jimmy.

JIMMY DAVIS: I need to recuse myself for matters that I deal with business with one of the companies that deal with our landfill.

TOMMY DUNN: Thank you, Mr. Davis.

Appreciate it.

CINDY WILSON: It must be said, we are so grateful for the integrity of the people who serve up here now to disclose and recuse properly. And I’m very grateful for that, too.

It’s no secret we’ve had difficulties over at the landfill for decades. The new company that has come in started off on -- had a rocky start. They finally have been meeting with the community. We’ve had multiple
meetings over there. We’ve worked with hauling companies to get the traffic pattern sorted out so it’s safer and less onerous for the folks who live around there. And the company itself has stepped up. They have hired a new trucking company. They have gotten a number of the odor issues resolved and other unpleasant noxious problems over there. The last meeting we had was Monday a week ago. Mr. Greg Smith came. The mayor and administrator from Belton and community people and I, along with the representatives of the company. We are well satisfied that they have been very diligent and very mindful of the community. And we will continue these meetings as they are beginning to really bear fruit. We are also mindful of the need for the county to have -- and the company to have the extra fifty thousand tons per year in addition to the four hundred and thirty-eight thousand tons that they currently have because we have more industry coming here. We have that margin concern if we have a disaster and have to haul a lot of things out there. Also we have a lot of demolition continuing.

So as the representative of District 7 in which the landfill resides, I’m bringing to you, along with my co-committeemen, Mr. Brett Sanders and Solid Waste Director, Mr. Greg Smith, the request that we support the need for the additional fifty thousand tons per year. And you have in front of you a very brief agreement. It basically states that this is an amendment to paragraph 9(b). Paragraph 9(b) of the agreement is hereby amended such that the limit is -- disposal of solid waste at the landfill shall be not more than four hundred eighty-eight thousand tons per year, an increase of fifty thousand tons per year in addition to this. We would like for this to remain for at least two years and let the company continue to demonstrate their diligence and good neighborliness and review the needs then. We also have to represent the needs of the county. We don’t want them to fill up too fast over there because that’s also a concern for the county.

But anyway, we bring this to you without a quorum to vote to recommend this to you. We’re bringing it to you for your consideration. And if you would like to vote on it at this meeting we can do so. If you need more time to review it, we can do it next meeting.

Does anyone have questions of Mr. Smith or of me?

TOMMY DUNN: I think it’s good.

CINDY WILSON: Then may I make a motion to vote for this tonight?

TOMMY DUNN: This coming from the
committee or ---

TOMMY DUNN: Ms. Wilson makes a motion.

Do we have a second?

BRETT SANDERS: I’ll second it.

TOMMY DUNN: Mr. Sanders seconds it.

Any more discussion? All in favor of the motion show

of hands. All opposed like sign.

Mr. Sanders, do you mind getting Mr. Davis?

Moving on next -- wait a minute. Number 4 doesn’t

involve Mr. Sanders; does it? Mr. Harmon?

CINDY WILSON: We just made the

presentation of the need.

LEON HARMON: That was number 4.

CINDY WILSON: We just voted for the

amendment to the ---

TOMMY DUNN: He’s good to come back is

what I’m getting at? Are we on number 5 now?

CINDY WILSON: Yes. We’re moving to that

next item.

TOMMY DUNN: Okay.

CINDY WILSON: The next item continues the

discussion among council members in various

communities, people who voted for zoning expect us to

enforce zoning. People who buy into zoned areas are

buying subject to that protection. And we’re having

issues around the county. For example, one popped up

in my neighborhood this past week. It’s a car repair

shop sandwiched between three or four houses. And it’s

zoned, I think, R, three quarters of an acre roughly.

And then we have a logging operation that is up on

Hopewell Road that’s only -- I don’t even think they

have a full acre there. And then in Mr. Sanders’
district there is a property that’s part of an old HOA

subdivision that’s subject to zoning where there are

containers and mountains of dirt and stuff on those

properties. So we have requested of our Planning

Department to come back with some new language. We’ve

all been struggling over the language to provide

enforcement capability for our staff. So that’s just

for your information tonight. We’ll come back to you

later.

The other item for your information is recently Ms.

Alesia Hunter and Dr. Parkey were able to bring to our

county Mr. Randall Arendt who is a renowned

conservation design development expert. He is

connected to N.C. State and has written extensively.

He has come to teach us better ways to develop. And

what we’ll be coming back to you with later are some

measures that we would prefer to incorporate in our

zoning ordinances encouraging good development.
Basically what he presented to us allows for, in some cases, more intense development but more open area. And he was able to prove that some of the -- most of these designs actually cost the developer far less money and yet are more desirable for the people and it’s more pleasant living conditions.

So if anyone needs a copy of this that has not gotten it -- I don’t know if Mr. Davis or Ms. Floyd or Mr. Dunn have gotten this yet, the workshop materials that we had. Okay. You may want to review those and we’ll have another session on that later.

But that concluded the efforts of our committee. We will meet again, I believe, next week. I can’t remember the date. I think it’s 11:00 on Wednesday; is it?

JIMMY DAVIS: Yes, ma’am. 11:30 on Wednesday.

CINDY WILSON: Yes, 11:30, August 14th, a Wednesday. And our public is certainly most welcome to come. Thank you.

TOMMY DUNN: Thank you, Ms. Wilson.

Moving on to item number 13. Do we have a motion to go into executive session for contractual matters related to the Piedmont property acquisition; contract matters involving the Voter Registration and Elections office; contractual matters involving bid on software solution proposal and also discussion of energy services contract. Do we have a motion?

CINDY WILSON: So moved.

TOMMY DUNN: Motion Ms. Wilson. Do we have a second?

BRETT SANDERS: Second.

TOMMY DUNN: Second Mr. Sanders. All in favor of the motion show of hands. Opposed like sign. Show the motion carries. Executive session. We’ll go right back here.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

CINDY WILSON: Mr. Chairman, may I make the motion that we come out of executive session, having received contractual information regarding contractual matters involving the Piedmont property acquisition, Voter Registration and Elections and the bid 19-039 software solution proposals. No action taken.

TOMMY DUNN: Thank you, Ms. Wilson. Have a second?

BRETT SANDERS: Second.

TOMMY DUNN: Discussion? All in favor of the motion. Motion carries unanimously.

We’re going to be -- give me just a second. Do we
I have a motion about the property, the Piedmont property acquisition?

JIMMY DAVIS: Mr. Chair.

TOMMY DUNN: Mr. Davis.

JIMMY DAVIS: I would like to make a motion that we can direct the county administrator to negotiate an agreement with the purchase of a twenty-seven acre parcel above the Piedmont dam on the Saluda River for the development of a kayak launch.

TOMMY DUNN: Have a motion by Mr. Davis.

Have a second?

CINDY WILSON: Second.

TOMMY DUNN: Second Ms. Wilson. Any discussion? All in favor of the motion show of hands. Show the motion carries unanimously.

Now we’re going to be moving on to item (b), contractual matters involving Voter Registration and Elections.

GRACIE FLOYD: Mr. Chairman?

TOMMY DUNN: Ms. Floyd.

GRACIE FLOYD: I would like to approve a memorandum of agreement regarding the new voting machines acquired by the State Election Commission for use by the South Carolina counties for conducting elections and to authorize the county administrator or to sign the agreement on behalf of Anderson County. And that’s in the form of a motion.

TOMMY DUNN: Ms. Floyd makes a motion. We have a second by Ms. Wilson. Any discussion? I’d just like to say I want to thank Mr. Harmon for his hard work on this matter. This is a lot better document than what was handed to us. I want to thank Senator Gambrell for what he done, and the other delegation members. Thank you very much. Any more discussion? All in favor of the motion show of hands. All opposed like sign. Show the motion carries unanimously.

Now we’ll be moving on to item number (c), contract matters regarding the bid of software solutions proposals.

BRETT SANDERS: Yes, sir, Mr. Chairman?

TOMMY DUNN: Mr. Sanders.

BRETT SANDERS: I would like to make a motion to approve the selection of Central Square for negotiation of contract for an Enterprise Software system pursuant to the request for proposal number 19-039.

GRACIE FLOYD: And I second it.

TOMMY DUNN: We have a motion by Mr. Sanders. Second by Ms. Floyd. Any discussion? Again,
I’d just like to say I want to appreciate council, all the hard work that Mark Williamson has done, the head of our IT Department and his staff. Appreciate it. And all the county employees that participated in these workshops and everything that had a say in this and worked on it. I think it’s good. I think this is going to make Andersen County a lot better. But the bottom line is, all of this hard work that everybody has done, our citizens are the ones that’s going to come out the winner on this when they get this implemented. And I appreciate all of that. Hearing nothing else, all in favor of the motion show of hands. Opposed like sign. Show the motion carries unanimously.

Now we’re going to be moving on to the next item number (d), will be energy services.

JIMMY DAVIS: Mr. Chair?

TOMMY DUNN: Mr. Davis.

JIMMY DAVIS: I would like to make a motion to direct the County Administrator to issue appropriate procurement documents for obtaining energy service proposals for all of county buildings.

TOMMY DUNN: We have a motion by Mr. Davis. Do we have a second?

CINDY WILSON: Second.

GRACIE FLOYD: Second.


Now we’ll be moving on to appointments.

CRAIG WOOTEN: Excuse me, sir. We had one clarification.

TOMMY DUNN: Oh, I’m sorry. Mr. Wooten.

CRAIG WOOTEN: Yes, sir, thank you.

Earlier in the Finance Committee meeting we moved forward a recommendation in regards to a Brownfield revolving loan. I should have presented it more accurately in title only because the staff is still working on the details but moving it forward. So this is an ordinance to authorize Anderson County to obtain a loan from the Brownfield Revolving Loan Fund administered by the Catawba Regional Council of Governments for an environmental cleanup at the Toxoway Mill site and the Pelzer Lower Mill site, and other matters related thereto. I put that title in the form of a motion.

TOMMY DUNN: Have a motion by Mr. Wooten. Have a second?

CINDY WILSON: Second.
TOMMY DUNN: Second Ms. Wilson. Any discussion? We want to say that’s first reading tonight for the record. We’ve got two more readings. Anything else? All in favor of the motion show of hands. Opposed like sign. Show the motion carries unanimously.

Now moving on to item number 14, appointments. I make the motion -- has anybody -- we got appointments for the library. Anybody want to change their library -- their time is up and I all of them, I understand, wants to serve again.

GRACIE FLOYD: (Not speaking into mic)

TOMMY DUNN: So you’re good.

GRACIE FLOYD: I’m good; yeah.

TOMMY DUNN: What about y’all’s?

CINDY WILSON: Mine may be moving out of district and I haven’t had a chance to talk with her.

TOMMY DUNN: You want to ---

CINDY WILSON: I’m going to try and talk with her this week. Thank you.

TOMMY DUNN: You good with yours?

CRAIG WOOTEN: I’m good.

TOMMY DUNN: Is it all right with y’all if I make the motion we reappoint the Library Board, all but Ms. Floyd, because she’s already got hers, and Ms. Wilson is going to wait to talk to hers. That will be Mr. Wooten, Mr. Dunn, Mr. Sanders and Mr. Davis. We’ll let Mr. Graham talk for himself when he gets here. So that’s four that’s going to reappoint ours.

Do we have a second?

BRETT SANDERS: Second.

TOMMY DUNN: Second Mr. Sanders. Now any further discussion? All in favor of the motion show of hands. Like sign. Show the motion carries unanimously.

Now we’ll be moving on to requests from council members. Mr. Davis.

JIMMY DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. And I’ll make this in the form of one motion. I would like to appropriate from my special projects account to the Distinguished Young Women, two hundred fifty dollars; and to the Shalom House Ministries, two hundred fifty dollars. I make that in the form of a motion.

TOMMY DUNN: Have a motion by Mr. Davis.

Have a second?

CINDY WILSON: Second.

TOMMY DUNN: Second Ms. Wilson. Any further discussion? All in favor of the motion show of hands. All opposed like sign. Show the motion carries
unanimously. Anything else, Mr. Davis?

JIMMY DAVIS: No, sir.

TOMMY DUNN: Mr. Sanders?

BRETT SANDERS: Yes, sir, Mr. Chairman. I would like to combine these if possible.

TOMMY DUNN: Okay.

BRETT SANDERS: I would like to donate five hundred to the Anderson Pregnancy Care Center; two fifty to Distinguished Young Women; seven fifty to the Shalom House Ministries. I’d like to put that in the form of a motion.

CINDY WILSON: Second.

TOMMY DUNN: Motion Mr. Sanders; second Ms. Wilson. Any further discussion? All in favor of the motion show of hands. Opposed like sign. Show the motion carries unanimously. Ms. Floyd?

GRACIE FLOYD: Yes. I would like to make two in the form of a motion.

TOMMY DUNN: Yes, ma’am.

GRACIE FLOYD: I would like to allocate from District 2’s rec account three thousand dollars for the Friends of Broadway Lake family day. It’s this Saturday coming. That’s one. And the other one is I would like to allocate seventy-five dollars for the meeting of the Susan Booker Street, which is going to be held Monday. And that money will go for the food and the -- well mostly the food. That’s this Monday coming.

TOMMY DUNN: You ain’t going to be too hungry is you’re only going to get seventy-five dollars worth; are you?

GRACIE FLOYD: (Not speaking into mic)

TOMMY DUNN: We have a second to Ms. Floyd’s request? Second Mr. Sanders. Any discussion? All in favor of the motion show of hands. Opposed like sign. Show the motion carries unanimously. Anything else, Ms. Floyd?

GRACIE FLOYD: That’s it. Thank you.

TOMMY DUNN: Mr. Wooten?

CRAIG WOOTEN: Yes. I would like to allocate ten thousand dollars to the Anderson Pregnancy Care Center from District 1. I put that in the form of a motion.

CINDY WILSON: Second.

TOMMY DUNN: Have a motion by Mr. Wooten and second by Ms. Wilson. Any further discussion? All in favor of the motion show of hands. All opposed like sign. Show the motion carries unanimously. Anything else, Mr. Wooten?

CRAIG WOOTEN: That’s it.
1 TOMMY DUNN: Ms. Wilson?
2 CINDY WILSON: I have several. May I make
3 the following appropriations from District 7’s
4 recreation account: thirty-five hundred dollars to the
5 Pelzer Heritage Commission for their ongoing programs
6 and needs; three hundred dollars for the Anderson
7 Pregnancy Care Center; three hundred dollars for
8 Distinguished Young Women; and three hundred dollars
9 for Shalom House Ministries; and twenty-five hundred
10 dollars for the Cheddar Youth Center programs.
11 TOMMY DUNN: Have a motion by Ms.
12 Wilson. Have a second?
13 BRETT SANDERS: Second.
14 TOMMY DUNN: Second by Mr. Sanders. Any
15 discussion? All in favor of Ms. Wilson’s motion show
16 of hands. Opposed like sign. Show the motion carries
17 unanimously. Anything else, Ms. Wilson?
18 CINDY WILSON: That’s all. Thank you.
19 TOMMY DUNN: From District 5’s account,
20 I’d like to appropriate fifteen hundred dollars to
21 Friends of Sadlers Creek State Park; five hundred
22 dollars to the Anderson Pregnancy Center; two hundred
23 fifty dollars to the Distinguished Young Women; five
24 hundred dollars to Shalom House Ministries. Put that
25 in the form of a motion.
26 CINDY WILSON: Second.
27 TOMMY DUNN: Second Ms. Wilson. Any
28 further discussion? All in the favor of the motion
29 show of hands. Opposed like sign. Show the motion
30 carries unanimously. Anyone got anything else?
31 At this time we’ll be moving on to Administrator’s
32 report.
33 RUSTY BURNS: Nothing at this time, Mr.
34 Chairman.
35 TOMMY DUNN: Mr. Harmon, citizens
36 comments?
37 LEON HARMON: Mr. Chairman, we have one
38 person signed up, Mr. Stanley Gaines. I think he left.
39 So no one else is signed up.
40 TOMMY DUNN: Okay. Thank you.
41 At this time remarks from council members. Mr.
42 Davis?
43 JIMMY DAVIS: I have nothing, sir.
44 TOMMY DUNN: Thank you, Mr. Davis. Mr.
45 Sanders?
46 BRETT SANDERS: None at this time, sir.
47 TOMMY DUNN: Thank you. Ms. Floyd?
48 GRACIE FLOYD: I have nothing.
49 TOMMY DUNN: Thank you, Ms. Floyd. Mr.
50 Wooten?
CRAIG WOOTEN: Nothing at this time.
TOMMY DUNN: Ms. Wilson?
CINDY WILSON: Simply a big thank you to all who made all these measures possible this week.
It’s been a hard week and I appreciate everybody’s help. Thank you.
TOMMY DUNN: Thank you. Appreciate everybody. Meeting adjourned.

(MEETING ADJOURNED AT 8:40 P.M.)